



Article
scientifique

Commentaire

2022

Published
version

Open
Access

This is the published version of the publication, made available in accordance with the publisher's policy.

Global burden of antimicrobial resistance: essential pieces of a global puzzle

De Kraker, Marlieke; Harbarth, Stéphan Juergen

How to cite

DE KRAKER, Marlieke, HARBARTH, Stéphan Juergen. Global burden of antimicrobial resistance: essential pieces of a global puzzle. In: Lancet, 2022, vol. 399, n° 10344, p. 2347. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00940-0

This publication URL: <https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch//unige:166912>

Publication DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)00940-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00940-0)

Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa (EC, MME); Department of Infection Control and Epidemiology, Amrita Institute of Medical Science, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Kochi, India (EC, SS); Centre for Antimicrobial Optimisation, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Hospital, London, UK (AHH)

- 1 Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2022; **399**: 629–55.
- 2 Charani E, McKee M, Ahmad R, et al. Optimising antimicrobial use in humans—review of current evidence and an interdisciplinary consensus on key priorities for research. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 2021; **7**: 00161.
- 3 Shafiq N, Pandey AK, Malhotra S, et al. Shortage of essential antimicrobials: a major challenge to global health security. *BMJ Glob Health* 2021; **6**: e006961.
- 4 Charani E, Mendelson M, Ashiru-Oredope D, et al. Navigating sociocultural disparities in relation to infection and antibiotic resistance—the need for an intersectional approach. *JAC Antimicrob Resist* 2021; **3**: dlab123.
- 5 Ahmad R, Zhu NJ, Leather AJM, et al. Strengthening strategic management approaches to address antimicrobial resistance in global human health: a scoping review. *BMJ Glob Health* 2019; **4**: e001730.

Christopher J L Murray and colleagues¹ highlight the global impact of the silent antimicrobial resistance (AMR) pandemic. The applied methodology is more thorough than previous efforts,² but there are a number of important limitations.

The basic building block for the complex modelling exercise is the fraction of sepsis and infectious syndromes among all deaths, which was based on data from only 16 of 204 countries, with more than 60% of the data coming from the USA. This type of data might not be the most suitable entry point for AMR burden estimation.

Although referred to as a “comprehensive assessment of the global burden of AMR”,¹ many issues are not considered. Incidence of drug-resistant infections are not presented, complicating the interpretation of the mortality estimates. The burden estimates covered hospitalised and non-hospitalised patients, but pathogen distributions and case fatality ratios were based on hospital data. Disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) did not include the effects of re-infection, prolonged treatment, disability, or other long-term sequelae,

resulting in only a minor difference between global years of life lost (YLLs; 189 000) and DALYs (192 000). For YLLs, the authors applied the standard life expectancy; however, severe AMR infection mostly affects patients with a reduced life expectancy.³ Finally, resistance proportions and relative risks (RRs) to determine health burden were kept equal for age, gender, anatomical infection site, and location (RRs only) due to data sparsity. This practical assumption distorts results, reducing the validity of ranking of infection types and geographical regions.

Ongoing studies, such as the Mortality from Bacterial Infections Resistant to Antibiotics (also known as MBIRA) and Predicting the Impact of Monoclonal Antibodies and Vaccines on Antimicrobial Resistance (also known as PrIMAVeRa) studies, will contribute to more precise AMR burden estimates.

SH and MEAdK received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (Predicting the Impact of Monoclonal Antibodies and Vaccines on Antimicrobial Resistance [PrIMAVeRa]). This Joint Undertaking receives support from the EU's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations.

**Marlieke E A de Kraker, Stephan Harbarth*
marlieke.dekraker@hcuge.ch

Infection Control Programme, Geneva University Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland

- 1 Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2022; **399**: 629–55.
- 2 O'Neill J. Antimicrobial resistance: tackling a crisis for the health and wealth of nations. London: Review on Antimicrobial Resistance, 2014.
- 3 Cassini A, Högberg LD, Plachouras D, et al. Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019; **19**: 56–66.

Christopher J L Murray and colleagues¹ provide a sobering analysis on the burden of common antimicrobial-resistant infections and a warning that, as a global community, we are rapidly surrendering any advantage

we had on treating infections such as pneumonia and sepsis.²

However, Murray and colleagues note some weaknesses in the veracity of the collated data, not least from low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), and infer that poor validity of data could underestimate the burden of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) for LMICs due to antibiotic accessibility. This notion is supported by a 2021 study,² which reported that, in six of seven LMICs studied, the cost of the antibiotic influenced accessibility and, in some cases, the cost was deferred to the patient who could not afford second-line and third-line antibiotic combinations, even for the treatment of life-threatening infections.

This Article³ also highlights the acute need for prospective studies that combine accurate microbiology (including genomics) with detailed epidemiology data, not least from LMICs.³ Although excellent initiatives (eg, the Fleming Fund) are addressing LMIC AMR surveillance, it is essential that data from LMICs record all socioeconomic and geographical regions to truly address burden across all populations.

Crucially, there is an urgent need for greater engagement and support in LMICs that addresses the vital link between the microbiology laboratory and clinical wards, particularly with regard to critically ill patients.⁴ Effective and timely laboratory reporting of AMR with access to appropriate and affordable drugs must now be a global priority.

We declare no competing interests.

**Timothy R Walsh, Rabaab Zahra, Kenneth Iregbu, Sharon J Peacock, Andrew Stewardson*
timothy.walsh@zoo.ox.ac.uk

Ineos Oxford Institute of Antimicrobial Research, University of Oxford OX1 3RE, Oxford, UK (TRW); Department of Microbiology, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan (RZ); Department of Clinical Microbiology, National Hospital Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria (KI); Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK (SJP); Department of Infectious Diseases, The Alfred and Central Clinical School,

For more on the **MBIRA study** see <https://www.lshmt.ac.uk/research/centres-projects-groups/mbira/about>

For more on the **PrIMAVeRa studies** see <https://www.primavera-amr.eu/>

For more on the **Fleming Fund** see <https://www.flemingfund.org>