

Archive ouverte UNIGE

https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch

Article scientifique

Article 2019

Accepted version

Open Access

This is an author manuscript post-peer-reviewing (accepted version) of the original publication. The layout of the published version may differ .

The precision of attentional selection is far worse than the precision of the underlying memory representation

Kerzel, Dirk

How to cite

KERZEL, Dirk. The precision of attentional selection is far worse than the precision of the underlying memory representation. In: Cognition, 2019, vol. 186, p. 20–31. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2019.02.001

This publication URL:https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:147045Publication DOI:10.1016/j.cognition.2019.02.001

© This document is protected by copyright. Please refer to copyright holder(s) for terms of use.

1	Kerzel, D. (2019). The precision of attentional selection is far worse than the precision of the underlying memory
2	representation. Cognition, 186, 20-31. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2019.02.001
3	
4	
5	The precision of attentional selection is far worse than the precision of the underlying
6	memory representation
7	
8	
9	
10	Dirk Kerzel
11	Faculté de Psychologie et des Sciences de l'Education
12	Université de Genève
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Abstract: 231
18	Main text: 11340
19	Figures: 4
20	Tables: 0
21	Running head: Precision of selection and memory
22	Address:
23	Dirk Kerzel
24	Faculté de Psychologie et des Sciences de l'Éducation
25	Université de Genève
26	40 Boulevard du Pont d'Arve, 1205 Genève, Switzerland
27	Tel: +41 (0) 22 / 37.99.132 / Fax: +41 (0) 22 / 37.99.129
28	Email: dirk.kerzel@unige.ch

29	
20	

Abstract

Voluntary attentional selection requires the match of sensory input to a stored 30 representation of the target features. We compared the precision of attentional selection to 31 the precision of the underlying memory representation of the target. To measure the 32 precision of attentional selection, we used a cue-target paradigm where participants 33 searched for a colored target. Typically, RTs are shorter at the cued compared to uncued 34 locations when the cue has the same color as the target. In contrast, cueing effects are 35 absent or even inverted when cue and target colors are dissimilar. By systematically varying 36 the difference between cue and target color, we calculated a function relating cue color to 37 cueing effects. The width of this function reflects the precision of attentional selection and 38 was compared to the precision of judgments of the target color on a color wheel. The 39 40 precision of the memory representation was far better than the precision of attentional selection. When the task was made more difficult by increasing the similarity between the 41 target and the nontarget stimuli in the target display, the precision of attentional selection 42 increased, but was still worse than the precision of memory. When the search task was 43 44 made more difficult, we also observed that for dissimilar cue colors, RTs were slower at cued than at uncued locations (i.e., same location costs), suggesting that improvements in 45 46 attentional selectivity were achieved by suppressing non-target colors. 47 Keywords 48 visual search, attentional template, attentional selection, feature-based attention, 49 contingent attentional capture, visual working memory 50

51

Introduction

When we look for an object in the environment, search is guided by an internal 53 representation of the object's features, which is referred to as attentional template (e.g., 54 Duncan & Humphreys, 1989). The attentional template is thought to be stored in visual 55 working memory (Bundesen, Habekost, & Kyllingsbaek, 2005; Chelazzi, Miller, Duncan, & 56 57 Desimone, 1993), but it may be offloaded into long-term memory when the target does not change over successive trials (see Carlisle, Arita, Pardo, & Woodman, 2011). The previous 58 59 literature has been concerned with the question whether the content of visual working 60 memory automatically guides attentional selection (e.g., Downing & Dodds, 2004; Foerster & Schneider, 2018; Hollingworth & Beck, 2016; Houtkamp & Roelfsema, 2006; Kim & Cho, 61 2016; Olivers, Meijer, & Theeuwes, 2006; Soto, Heinke, Humphreys, & Blanco, 2005; 62 Woodman & Luck, 2007) or with the question how simultaneous control of attention is 63 achieved when there are multiple attentional templates (Hollingworth, Matsukura, & Luck, 64 2013; Ort, Fahrenfort, & Olivers, 2017). 65

In the present study, we investigated how the precision of the attentional template 66 67 relates to the precision of attentional selection. In case of a one-to-one correspondence 68 between the internal target representation and attentional selection, observers would only 69 select stimuli for further processing that correspond to the stored features of the target. Research on the contingent capture paradigm developed by Folk, Remington, and Johnston 70 71 (1992) suggests that this may indeed occur. When observers search for an unchanging target 72 color, a different color does not capture attention even when it is equally salient (Eimer, 73 Kiss, Press, & Sauter, 2009; Folk & Remington, 1998; Lien, Ruthruff, Goodin, & Remington, 74 2008). For instance, during search for a green target, a green cue presented at the target 75 location results in shorter RTs than a green cue presented at a non-target location (i.e., a 76 cueing benefit). In contrast, a red cue of equal saliency does not affect RTs, suggesting that 77 only target-matching colors capture attention. However, attentional selection is somewhat 78 imprecise because target-similar cues that do not completely match the target color also 79 capture attention. For instance, in search for a green target, a greenish cue will capture 80 attention more than a reddish cue (Anderson & Folk, 2010). Thus, similarity between cue 81 and target color increases attentional capture by the cue (Anderson & Folk, 2010; Ansorge & Heumann, 2003; Becker, Folk, & Remington, 2013; Goller, Ditye, & Ansorge, 2016). 82

83 **Precision of attentional selection and the attentional template**

The reason for attentional capture by target-similar cues may be that attentional 84 selection is imprecise despite a very precise memory representation of the target. 85 Alternatively, the precision of the memory representation may correspond to the precision 86 of attentional selection. While target and target-similar colors would certainly be 87 discriminable in a perceptual task (e.g., Brady, Konkle, Gill, Oliva, & Alvarez, 2013), little is 88 known about the target representation in memory while the search task is performed. 89 Judgments of the color of a single item stored in visual working memory (VWM) have a 90 91 standard deviation of ~14° in color space (Zhang & Luck, 2008), which may suggest that it is the poor precision of the attentional template in memory that accounts for the failure to 92 exclude target-similar stimuli from attentional selection. Presently, no data is available to 93 94 decide whether the precision of attentional selection is worse, better or equal to the precision of memory. 95

However, data on shared or independent limits of precision would constrain models 96 on the interaction between memory and attentional selection in important ways. If the 97 98 precision of attentional selection is worse than the precision of the underlying memory 99 representation, it appears unlikely that the capacity of attentional selection is close to the 100 capacity of memory. In the literature, there is an unresolved dispute between proponents of a severe capacity limit for attentional selection who claim that only a single item in VWM 101 102 can guide attentional selection (reviewed in Olivers, Peters, Houtkamp, & Roelfsema, 2011). 103 Others suggest that attentional selection has a capacity that approaches the capacity of 104 visual working memory, with at least two possible search targets (Beck, Hollingworth, & 105 Luck, 2012; Grubert & Eimer, 2016; Irons, Folk, & Remington, 2012; Moore & Weissman, 106 2010; Roper & Vecera, 2012). The relation between capacity and precision is 107 straightforward. If the precision of attentional selection is lower than the precision of the 108 underlying memory representation, it is not possible to discriminate between as many 109 search as memory targets. For instance, if colors A and B are close in color space, it may be possible to store them with sufficient precision in memory to allow for successful 110 111 differentiation of a nontarget color C that is intermediate between A and B. If attentional 112 selection is less precise than the memory representation, it may not be possible to select A or B without also selecting C. Thus, the number of stimuli that can be distinguished and 113

independently selected is reduced. However, the reduced capacity of selective attention willonly be evident when the similarity between target and nontargets is high.

The relation between the precision of attentional selection and its underlying 116 memory representation is complicated by the fact that the precision of both may be adapted 117 to task requirements. Geng, DiQuattro, and Helm (2017) showed that the precision of 118 attentional selection increased when target-similar nontargets were more frequent. In their 119 study, two color patches were shown and participants had to identify the shape presented 120 121 on the patch in the target color. In one group of participants, the target color was frequently 122 presented together with a similar nontarget color, whereas in another group of participants, dissimilar nontarget colors were more frequent. The typical increase in RTs caused by similar 123 nontargets (e.g., Duncan & Humphreys, 1989) was smaller when trials with similar 124 125 nontargets were more frequent, suggesting that attentional selection was more precise. At 126 the same time, there was some evidence that the memory representation of the target shifted away from the nontarget color, in accordance with the idea that the difference 127 between target and nontargets is exaggerated to increase the signal-to-noise ratio 128 129 (Navalpakkam & Itti, 2007).

130 An objection to the conclusions of Geng et al. (2017) is that the smaller increase in 131 RTs with frequent similar nontargets may be unrelated to precision, but may arise from increased top-down control of attention. It has been demonstrated that frequent distractors 132 133 capture attention less than rare distractors (Folk & Remington, 2015; Müller, Geyer, 134 Zehetleitner, & Krummenacher, 2009; Sayim, Grubert, Herzog, & Krummenacher, 2010; 135 Schönhammer & Kerzel, 2018). Thus, an alternative account of the shorter RTs with similar 136 nontargets may be increased top-down control over stimulus-driven capture in the group that was frequently exposed to similar nontargets. To disentangle contributions of search 137 138 requirements and nontarget frequency, it may be necessary to separate the target display (used to manipulate search difficulty) from the distractor display (used to measure 139 attentional selectivity). This can be easily achieved in the contingent capture paradigm 140 where the cue display serves to measure effects of attention (i.e., it acts as a distractor) 141 142 while the target display provides the opportunity to vary target-nontarget similarity (e.g., 143 Gaspelin, Ruthruff, & Lien, 2016; Gaspelin, Ruthruff, Lien, & Jung, 2012).

144 Cueing costs

145 Cueing effects in the present experiments were mostly used as a tool to measure the 146 precision of attentional selectivity. Nonetheless, our experiments allowed us to contribute to a debate on the inversion of cueing effects that sometimes occurs with cue colors that do 147 not match the target color (e.g., a red cue with a green target). Typically, these non-148 149 matching cues do not capture attention. That is, RTs were not different between cued and uncued target locations (Folk & Remington, 1998; Folk et al., 1992). However, when the 150 search display was composed of multiple colored nontargets, RTs were found to be longer 151 152 for targets at the cued compared to the uncued location (Lamy & Egeth, 2003), which 153 represents a cueing cost as opposed to the typical cueing benefit with target-matching cues. Cueing costs with cues that do not match the target were not observed when the target was 154 the only colored stimulus in the target display (i.e., a singleton) or when it was accompanied 155 156 by only one colored nontarget (see Figure 1C; Folk & Remington, 1998). The explanation for 157 cueing costs is elusive. Initially, it was proposed that cueing costs reflect attentional suppression of non-target features (Eimer et al., 2009; Lamy & Egeth, 2003), but cueing costs 158 were found to depend on the duration of the cue. Because cue duration determines the 159 160 perceived continuity of the display elements, it was suggested that cueing costs may reflect 161 the time it takes to update an object whose features change between the cue and target 162 display (Carmel & Lamy, 2014, 2015). That is, when a non-matching cue is shown at the 163 target location, the color at the target location changes between cue and target display, 164 which may be perceived as an object with changing features. However, object updating is 165 assumed to be a conscious process, yet Schoeberl, Ditye, and Ansorge (2017) found that 166 subliminal cues also result in cueing costs. Thus, the origin of cueing costs remains an open 167 question.

168 Goals of study

169 The current investigation pursued three goals. First, we aimed at a comparison 170 between the precision of attentional selection and the precision of the attentional template. To this end, we measured the width of a function that relates color differences to cueing 171 effects and the width of the distribution of memory errors. We used the typical design of 172 173 experiments on contingent attentional capture where the target is fixed for each participant 174 and is therefore repeated on each trial (Folk & Remington, 1998; Folk et al., 1992). Target repetition is expected to facilitate attentional selection (e.g., Maljkovic & Nakayama, 1994) 175 176 and recall from memory (e.g., Besner, Keating, Cake, & Maddigan, 1974). The second goal

was to investigate the effect of task requirements on precision. We wondered whether
increased target-nontarget similarity would affect the precision of attentional selection and
memory performance in the same way. Third, we investigated the effects of task
requirements on cueing costs. So far, there are only anecdotal observations (Carmel & Lamy,
2014) suggesting that displays with multiple nontarget colors result in cueing costs, but a
systematic investigation is missing.

183

Experiment 1

In Experiment 1, we opposed search for singleton and non-singleton targets, similar 184 185 to Folk and Remington's (1998) Experiment 1. In principle, search for a singleton target only requires a very crude target template because it is not necessary to search for a specific 186 color. Nonetheless, Folk and Remington (1998) found that participants did search for a 187 specific color because only target-matching colors captured attention. If they had searched 188 189 for any color, cueing effects would be expected for all cue colors. In the feature-search condition, we presented the target together with a colored nontarget so that participants 190 had to search for a specific color. In principle, a more precise target template was required 191 192 in feature than in singleton search, but the color difference between target and the colored 193 nontarget was large (Witzel & Gegenfurtner, 2013, 2015a).

194 We probed attentional selection by measuring cueing effects for cue colors that 195 varied systematically around the target color (see Figure 1A and 1B). On some trials, we also 196 probed memory for the target color by asking participants to indicate the target color on a 197 color wheel. Then, we fit a model inspired by the mixture model of visual working memory 198 (Ma, Husain, & Bays, 2014) to cueing effects and memory errors. One parameter of the 199 model, the width of the curve, could be directly compared between cueing effects and 200 memory errors, allowing for the joint characterization of the precision of attentional 201 selection and the underlying memory representation (i.e., the attentional template). 202 -- insert Figure 1 about here --

The mixture model accounts for performance in experiments where participants retained one or more colors from a memory display and later reproduced these colors on a color wheel. It was proposed that the distribution of the memory error (i.e., the deviation between judged and true stimulus color) is composed of a mixture of high-precision responses to the target and random guesses (Ma et al., 2014). That is, the distribution of color errors shows a peak around the true memory color but does not reach zero because of 209 guessing on trials where the target color could not be retrieved from memory. More

210 technically, the precision of responses corresponds to the width of the peak of the

distribution whereas random guesses correspond to a shift of the distribution on the y-axis.

212 Further, there may be a bias in the distribution, which corresponds to a shift of the

213 distribution on the x-axis.

The transfer of the mixture model to the function relating cue color and cueing 214 215 effects is straightforward (see Figure 3). We expect attentional capture to be maximal for 216 cue colors that are close to the target color in color space. With increasing separation of cue 217 and target color, attentional capture is expected to decrease. The decrease may be slow or rapid, resulting in broad or narrow peaks, respectively. At large separations between cue 218 219 and target color, the function is expected to reach a plateau. Because large separations 220 correspond to non-matching cues in the previous literature, we expect the plateau to be at 221 zero or below zero. That is, at large separations between cue and target color, cueing 222 benefits should be absent or there may even be cueing costs.

223 To compare the precision of the attentional template and attentional selection, we 224 focussed on the width of the peaks because the width was determined in the same units for 225 both memory error and cueing effect (i.e., degrees of color separation). In contrast, the shift 226 on the y-axis and the height of the functions was determined in milliseconds for the cueing 227 effects and in relative frequency for the memory error. Thus, a comparison of these 228 parameters was not meaningful. Further, a comparison of the bias parameter was not 229 feasible because we had to collapse across positive and negative differences between cue 230 and target colors to achieve reliable fits for the cueing effects.

231 Methods

Participants. In a previous study, we found cueing effects in a relevant color to be 87-232 99 ms larger than cueing effects in a neutral (irrelevant) color (Exp. 2 and Exp. 3 in Barras & 233 Kerzel, 2016). The partial etasquare of the respective interaction was .57 and .59, 234 respectively. When aiming for a power of 0.8 with a type 1 error rate of 5%, the necessary 235 sample size is 9. Because we had many more conditions in the present experiment, we 236 237 aimed at a sample size of 16 for the singleton (5 male, age: M = 22.8 years, SD = 5.5) and 238 feature search groups (2 male, age: M = 19.4 years, SD = 1.5). First-year psychology students participated for class credit. All reported normal or corrected-to-normal vision. The study 239 240 was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences and was carried out in accordance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association
(Declaration of Helsinki). Informed consent was given before the experiment started.

Apparatus. Stimuli were displayed on a 21-inch CRT monitor with a refresh rate of 85 Hz and a pixel resolution of $1,280 \times 1,024$ (horizontal × vertical), driven by an ATI Radeon HD 3450 graphics card with a colour resolution of eight bits per channel. CIE1931 chromaticity coordinates and luminance (xyY) of the monitor primaries were R = (0.630, 0.340, 18.5), G = (0.293, 0.610, 60.9), and B = (0.152, 0.069, 9.9). Gamma corrections were applied based on the measured gamma curves of the monitor primaries. Observers viewed the screen at 70 cm. Head position was stabilized with a chin/forehead rest.

Stimuli. There was a placeholder, a cue, and a target display for the RT task and a 250 251 display with a color wheel for the memory task. The placeholder display was composed of a 252 central fixation cross (0.6° diameter) and four outline rings, all drawn in light gray. The 253 distance from the center of the fixation cross to the center of the outline rings was 3°. The 254 outline rings were composed of an inner and an outer circle with a radius of 1.1° and 1.4°, respectively. The linewidth of the circles was 1 pixel or 0.03°. In the cue display, all rings 255 256 were filled. Three rings were filled with the same light gray as the circles and one ring with a 257 color. In the target display, the letter T rotated by 90° clockwise or counter-clockwise was 258 shown in each placeholder. The bars making up the rotated T were 1° long and 0.3° thick. 259 Depending on the search group, one or two of the Ts were colored. The remaining letters 260 were achromatic.

CIELAB space was used because distances in CIELAB space approximate perceived color differences (Fairchild, 2005; Witzel & Gegenfurtner, 2015b). CIELAB consists of one achromatic axis (perceived lightness L*) and two chromatic axes (a green-red dimension a* and a blue-yellow dimension b*). The polar coordinates of the chromatic axes (a* and b*) correspond to hue (azimuth) and chroma (radius). Hue indicates how reddish, yellowish, greenish and bluish a colour is, and chroma is a measure of perceived colorfulness (i.e., difference from grey).

The white-point of CIELAB was xyY = (0.29, 0.30, 89.27), which corresponds to chromatic adaptation and maximum lightness. Stimuli were presented on an achromatic background with the chromaticities of the white-point and a lightness of L* = 55, which corresponds to a luminance of 20.5 cd/m². The placeholders, the achromatic cues and letters were light gray (L* = 73 or 40.3 cd/m²). The colors that served as cue, target and nontarget colors were sampled along an isoluminant hue circle at a lightness of L* = 73 and a chroma
of 34.

In singleton search, the target was the only colored item in the target display. In
feature search, the target was shown with a nontarget that was at 180° from the target color
in CIELAB-space. The cue was a color singleton throughout.

278 The color wheel represented an isoluminant hue circle with the same lightness and chroma as the cue and target colors. The color wheel was 0.6° thick and the inner edge was 279 280 1.2° from fixation. To cancel motor biases and to avoid response repetition, the spatial 281 orientation of the zero hue angle was randomized between trials. By turning the mouse around the initial mouse position on the desk, participants were able to rotate the line 282 cursor. The color pointed to by the line cursor was used to draw the cursor line and a central 283 284 disk with 0.3° radius shown inside the color wheel. Participants confirmed their judgment with an unspeeded mouse click. The individual median RT for the mouse click was between 285 286 2,267 and 12,452 ms (M = 5,323 ms) from the onset of the color wheel. After the click, the 287 static color wheel remained on the screen for another 300 ms before a blank screen was 288 shown for 500 ms.

289 **Design.** The 128 combinations of 4 cue positions, 4 target positions, 4 cue colors (0°, 290 $\pm 15^{\circ}$, $\pm 30^{\circ}$, $\pm 60^{\circ}$), and 2 responses (left, right) were presented once in a block of 128 trials. The sign of the cue color was randomly positive or negative (i.e., randomly +15° or -15° from 291 292 the target color). In the singleton search group, participants completed 5 blocks for a total of 293 640 trials. In the sixth block, 40 trials were run where participants judged the target color on 294 each trial. The RT data from this block was discarded because it is likely that the memory 295 task directed participants' attention to the specific color of the target. However, the goal of 296 singleton search was to examine cueing effects when participants looked for any color. In 297 the feature search group, participants completed 6 blocks for a total of 768 trials. The color 298 task was run on every 12th trial for 60 color judgments. To counterbalance target color, we 299 selected 16 equally spaced colors from CIELAB color space and assigned a different color to each participant. Thus, we hoped to cancel out possible inhomogeneity in CIELAB space. 300

Procedure. A trial started with the presentation of the placeholder display for 706
 ms. Then, the cue display was shown for 47 ms, followed by the placeholder display for 106
 ms and the target display for 47 ms. The resulting cue-target SOA was 153 ms. After target

offset, the placeholder display remained visible until a response was registered. On trials
with a color judgment, the color wheel was shown after the response to the target letter.

Participants responded to the orientation of the target letter by clicking the corresponding mouse button (T rotated counter-clockwise: left button, T rotated clockwise: right button). They were instructed to respond as rapidly as possible while keeping the error rate below 10%. They were also instructed to ignore the cue display. For the color judgment task, they were told that the target color was always the same and that they should try to be as precise as possible without consideration of time.

In the singleton search group, participants started the experiment by practicing the RT task for at least 30 trials or until t hey felt comfortable with it. After having completed five blocks of the RT task, they were briefly trained on the color judgment task. Finally, they performed the sixth block with the combined RT and color task. In the feature search group, participants were first trained on the color judgment task, then on the RT task, and finally completed six blocks of the combined task. To avoid biases, the target color was not named during practice.

Visual feedback informed participants about choice errors, anticipations (RTs < 0.2 s, which were extremely rare and will not be reported) and late trials (RTs > 1 s). Every 64 trials, visual feedback about the proportion of correct responses, median RTs and median color error (if applicable) were displayed for 10 s, forcing participants to take a short break. **Results**

324 One dataset from the singleton search group was removed because the distribution 325 of color errors was centered on -75°, whereas the other participants had biases smaller than 326 ±25°. We considered trials with RTs longer than 1 s as late and excluded these trials (0.07%) from analysis. Next, the data for each participant and condition were trimmed by removing 327 328 trials with corrected RTs that were further than 2.5 standard deviations away from the 329 respective condition mean, which amounted to 1.9% of the trials. Cues presented at the target location are referred to as valid cues, whereas cues presented at a non-target location 330 are referred to as invalid cues. 331

Mean RTs as a function of cue validity and cue color (relative to the target color) are shown in Figure 2. We subtracted mean RTs on valid trials from mean RTs on invalid trials to obtain cueing effects. Average cueing effects are shown in Figure 3 together with the distribution of color errors. Figure 4 shows the precision of attentional selection andmemory (i.e., mean width parameters).

337

-- insert Figure 2 about here --

RTs. We collapsed across negative and positive deviations of the cue color to have 338 enough trials in the valid conditions. With four possible cue and target positions, only 25% of 339 the trials are valid, resulting in 40 valid trials per collapsed cue color in singleton search and 340 48 in feature search. Thus, the color differences in the following text refer to absolute 341 values. We conducted a 2 (group: singleton search, feature search) × 4 (cue color relative to 342 target color: difference of 0°, 15°, 30°, 60°) × 2 (cue validity: valid, invalid) mixed ANOVA on 343 individual mean RTs. The effect of cue validity, F(1, 29) = 280.6, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .906$, showed 344 that responses were faster on valid than invalid trials (445 vs. 497 ms, corresponding to a 345 346 cueing effect of 53 ms). The interaction of cue validity and search group, F(1, 29) = 6.23, p =.019, $\eta_p^2 = .177$, showed that the cueing effect was larger in singleton than in feature search 347 (61 vs. 45 ms). The interaction of cue color and cue validity reached significance, F(3, 87) =348 42.11, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .592$, confirming the well-known effect of color similarity on attentional 349 350 capture. The cueing benefit decreased with increasing angular separation between cue and target color (73, 64, 50, and 24 ms for separations of 0°, 15°, 30°, and 60°, respectively). 351 352 Inspection of the upper panels of Figures 2 and 3 suggests that cueing effects decreased more rapidly in the group performing feature search than in the group performing singleton 353 354 search. This impression was confirmed by the three-way interaction of search type, cue color, and cue validity, F(3, 87) = 3.95, p = .011, $\eta_p^2 = .12$. To examine the origin of the 355 356 interaction in more detail, we compared the cueing effects between singleton and feature 357 search for each cue color. The critical p-value was adjusted to .0125 to correct for four tests. By paired t-test, the cueing effect was comparable between singleton and feature search for 358 359 the 0° cue color (79 vs. 67 ms), p = .07, and the 15° cue color (63 vs. 64 ms), p = .959. In 360 contrast, it was significantly larger for singleton than feature search for the 60° cue color (39 vs. 9 ms), t(22.43) = 2.75, p = .012, Cohen's d = 1. The difference approached significance for 361 the 30° cue color (61 vs. 40 ms), t(29) = 2.59, p = .015, Cohen's d = 0.93. To examine whether 362 363 cueing effects were reliable, we conducted one-sample t-tests against zero with an adjusted 364 *p*-value of .0063 to correct for eight tests (2 search groups × 4 cue colors). All cueing effects were significantly different from zero, ts > 4.1, ps < .002, Cohen's ds > 1.06, except for the 365 366 60° cue color in feature search, p = .124. Finally, there were some less interesting results.

The interaction of search group and cue color, F(3, 87) = 5.49, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .159$, modulated the main effect of cue color, F(3, 87) = 3.79, p = .013, $\eta_p^2 = .115$, showing that RTs did not change with increasing angular separation between cue and target color in singleton search (472, 469, 466, 470 ms), whereas they increased slightly in feature search (468, 468, 473, 481 ms),

Choice Errors. Individual percentage of choice errors was submitted to the same ANOVA as above to rule out speed-accuracy tradeoff. The main effect of cue validity, *F*(1, 29) = 32.15, *p* < .001, η_p^2 = .526, was modulated by the interaction of cue color and cue validity, *F*(3, 87) = 13.48, *p* < .001, η_p^2 = .316, showing that the difference between valid and invalid trials decreased with increasing angular separation of cue and target colors (differences of 5.1%, 4.4%, 1.9%, 1.1%). The average percentage of choice errors was 4.2%.

378

-- insert Figure 3 about here --

Gaussian fits of color errors. All color judgments were included in the following analysis (40 per participant in singleton search, 60 in feature search). We calculated the relative frequency of color errors in bins of 15° for each participant. The bin size was chosen to match the differences in cue color of 0°, 15°, and 30°. We then fit the relative frequencies with a Gaussian function.

384

$$Y = a * exp(-((X - b) / c)^2) + d$$

Where Y is the relative frequency of color errors and X is the color error of the 385 386 respective bin. The parameter a estimates the scaling of the function, which corresponds to 387 its height and describes its range. The parameter b estimates the position of the function on 388 the x-axis, which corresponds to a bias in the color judgements (e.g., a participant may 389 consistently judge a red target to be orange-red). The parameter c estimates the width of 390 the function, which corresponds to the precision of color judgments where small width 391 values correspond to high precision. The parameter d estimates the position of the function 392 on the y axis, which corresponds to the guess rate for color judgments. Only the width parameter was of theoretical relevance, but all parameters will be briefly presented. 393

We compared the four parameters between groups with singleton and feature search, but none of the independent-samples t-tests was significant, ps > .245. Across all participants, the precision was 12 (SD = 4), the estimated height was 0.9 (SD = 0.8), the bias was 1 (SD = 13), and the guess rate was 0.0004 (SD = .001). The low guess rate shows that only few judgments badly missed the target color, which may compensate for the rather 399 small number of trials used to perform the fit (40-60 trials, compared to 150-160 trials per 400 condition in studies using mixture modeling, see Bays, Catalao, & Husain, 2009; Wilken & Ma, 2004; Zhang & Luck, 2008). To corroborate results from the Gaussian fits, we calculated 401 the means and standard deviations of the color judgments because these are expected to be 402 403 robust measures. To remove guesses, we excluded color judgments that were beyond 2.5 SD from the individual mean (1.8% of the color judgments). By independent-samples t-test, the 404 variability of the color judgments did not differ between singleton and feature search (9 vs. 405 406 8), p = .466. Similarly, the mean was not significantly different (2° vs. -2°), p = .395. Further, 407 one characteristic of this sample of participants was the rather large between-subjects variability of the bias parameter, which led to a discrepancy between the fit to the average 408 409 relative frequencies depicted in Figure 3 (singleton search: width of 22, feature search: width 410 of 18) and the mean individual precision (12). The reason is that shifts of the distribution 411 from one participant to another broaden the average distribution. The large betweensubjects variability of the bias parameter was not replicated in Experiment 2 and is probably 412 a chance finding. 413

414 Gaussian fits of cueing effects. We fit the same Gaussian function as above to cueing 415 effects instead of relative frequencies. Because we had collapsed across positive and 416 negative color differences for the RT data, the cueing effects were mirrored from positive to 417 negative before fitting the Gaussian function. For cueing effects, the shift of the function on 418 the y-axis estimates the asymptotic cueing effect, and not the guess rate as for color 419 judgments. To improve fits, we restricted the height parameter to the difference between 420 the smallest and the largest cueing effect, which corresponds to the observed range of 421 cueing effects. Even so, some functions could not be fit. For singleton search, three functions showed a dip and not the expected peak, which resulted in a negative height parameter 422 423 (values of -1, -21, and -41). For three further functions, the width parameter was implausibly small (values of 1, 3, 9). Visual inspection revealed that the fit resulted from a single peak at 424 the 0° cue difference, but an otherwise flat function. For another participant, the fit was 425 poor (r^2 = .35 compared to a range of .58 to .99 for the remaining participants). Thus, the 426 427 data from seven participants could not be fit in the singleton search group. In the feature 428 search group, the width of one Gaussian was implausibly large (value of 104 compared to a 429 range of 23 to 52 for the remaining participants). In sum, fits could not be performed for 7 430 out of 15 participants in the singleton search group, and for 1 out of 16 participants in the

431 feature search group. A Chi-square test showed that the success of our fitting procedure 432 depended significantly on search group, $\chi^2(1) = 6.61$, p = .015.

For the 23 participants with successful fits, we compared the height, width, and asymptotic cueing effect between the singleton (n = 8) and feature (n = 15) search groups, but none of the independent-samples t-tests was significant, ps > .419. Collapsed across search groups, the precision was 37 (SD = 10), the estimated height was 67 (SD = 22), and the asymptotic cueing effect 6 ms (SD = 22). By one-sample t-test against zero, the asymptotic cueing effect was not significantly different from zero, p = .184, suggesting that dissimilar cue colors resulted neither in cueing benefits nor suppression.

Comparison of the precision of attention and memory. Only the 23 participants with 440 441 successful fits were analyzed. Individual width parameters were entered into a mixed 2 442 (group: singleton search, feature search) × 2 (dependent variable: cueing effect, color error) 443 ANOVA. The mean width parameters are shown in Figure 4. The width of the Gaussian functions was larger for cueing effects than for the color error (38 vs. 12), F(1, 21) = 139.97, 444 p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .87$. None of the other effects were significant, p > .354. Because the sample 445 446 size varied between the singleton and feature search groups, we compared the precision of 447 cueing effects to the precision of color judgments for each group separately. The larger 448 width of the functions fit to the cueing effects were confirmed for singleton search (39 vs. 11), *t*(7) = 6.28, *p* < .001, Cohen's *d* = 2.22, and feature search (36 vs. 13), *t*(14) = 10.97, *p* < 449 450 .001, Cohen's *d* = 2.8.

451

-- insert Figure 4 about here --

We believe that the comparison of precision is conservative because participants with the least precise cueing effect functions (which could not be fit) were excluded from analysis. The comparison is also conservative because cueing effects are difference values, whereas color judgments were absolute values. Therefore, some variability from motor and perceptual processes was removed from cueing effects, whereas this variability contributed directly to the width of the distribution of color errors.

458 Discussion

We measured cueing effects to cue colors that differed between 0° and 60° from the color target. Consistent with the previous literature, cueing effects decreased with increasing color difference (Anderson & Folk, 2010; Ansorge & Heumann, 2003; Becker et al., 2013). Further, we had reasoned that singleton search would be less precise than feature search, and the results provide partial support for this conclusion. The cueing effects with
30° and 60° cue colors were larger in singleton than in feature search. However, evidence for
improved precision in feature search was limited to results from the ANOVA. The Gaussian
fits to the cueing effects showed no improvements with feature search. Possibly, the
difficulty of fitting Gaussian functions to the participants in the singleton search group
contributed to the lack of difference.

The central finding of the current experiment was that the precision of attentional 469 470 selection was far worse than the precision of the memory representation underlying 471 attentional selection. Concretely, the function fit to the cueing effects was much broader 472 than the function fit to the memory error. As a matter of fact, some individual cueing 473 functions were essentially flat, suggesting that there was no attentional selectivity in the 474 range of tested color differences at all. Because we excluded these functions from analysis, 475 the precision of attentional selection may be overestimated. With respect to our original 476 question, we conclude that cueing effects by similar colors are only to some degree caused 477 by an imprecise representation of the target color in memory. Rather, attentional selection 478 is broadly tuned despite a precise memory representation. This point is best illustrated by 479 the 30° color difference. Figure 3 shows that the relative frequency of a 30° color error is 480 close to zero, suggesting that the memory representation was sufficiently precise to reject the 30° color separation as different. In contrast, there was a solid 50 ms cueing benefit with 481 482 a 30° cue color, providing evidence for attentional selection by these cues. With respect to 483 the question whether the capacity of attentional selection is equal or inferior to the capacity of VWM, the present results suggest that the lower resolution of attentional selectivity 484 485 decreases the capacity of attentional selectivity, at least when the target is similar to the 486 nontargets.

487 However, conclusions regarding differences or similarities between singleton and feature search are limited by the fact that the comparison was run between-subjects. To 488 some degree, this was necessary because previous studies demonstrated that participants 489 keep on using the same search mode even after changes of the search requirements. For 490 491 instance, participants who performed feature search also applied feature search to stimuli 492 that allowed for singleton search (Kerzel & Barras, 2016; Leber & Egeth, 2006; Zehetleitner, Goschy, & Müller, 2012). Therefore, carry-over from one search type to another may 493 494 compromise findings of within-subjects designs. Nonetheless, the procedural differences

between singleton and feature groups make the comparison of the color judgments
unconvincing. In singleton search, the color judgments were blocked at the end to avoid
inadvertent induction of feature search in the first part of the experiment. In the final block,
however, it is likely that observers switched to feature search because they had to focus on
the target color to perform the color judgments. Therefore, the lack of difference between
singleton and feature groups regarding memory performance may result from the blocking
of memory judgments in the singleton group.

502 Finally, it should be noted that dissimilar cues at the largest separation between cue 503 and target color (60°) did not result in cueing costs, but in a significant cueing benefit 504 (singleton search) or no cueing effect (feature search). This result is consistent with previous studies using highly dissimilar cue colors, which found cueing benefits (Ansorge & Becker, 505 506 2014) or no cueing effects (Ansorge & Becker, 2014; Folk & Remington, 1998; Folk et al., 1992). In these studies, the target was either a singleton or it was accompanied by a single 507 508 colored nontarget. Possibly, cueing costs may be induced by showing more than a single 509 nontarget color (Carmel & Lamy, 2014, 2015; Lamy & Egeth, 2003). With more colors in the 510 target display, the nontarget colors would necessarily be more similar to the target color. 511 Somewhat trivially, only a single color can be maximally dissimilar in CIELAB-space (i.e., at 512 180°). Therefore, the similarity between target and nontargets increases when the number of colored nontargets is increased. 513

514

Experiment 2

To avoid the caveats of comparisons between singleton and feature search, 515 516 Experiment 2 manipulated precision requirements in feature search with a fixed number of 517 colored nontargets. In easy feature search, two nontarget colors were separated by 90° from the target and the third nontarget was separated by 180°, so that the four colors in the 518 519 target display were equally distributed in CIELAB-space (see Figure 1A). Because the colors 520 were highly discriminable and belonged to different color categories (Witzel & Gegenfurtner, 2013, 2015a), precision requirements were low, and the task was easy. In difficult feature 521 search, two nontarget colors were separated by only 30° from the target color, while the 522 523 third nontarget color was at 180°. Because two nontarget colors were similar to the target 524 color, precision requirements were high, and the task was difficult. The cue colors were the same as in the previous experiment. Precision requirements were manipulated within 525

526 participants in separate sessions. In both conditions, the target color was judged every

527 twelve trials.

528 Methods

The methods were as in the previous experiment with the following exceptions. Participants completed two sessions with 768 trials on different days for a total of 1536 trials. There were 120 trials with color judgment, with individual median RTs between 3,248 and 12,999 ms (*M* = 6,248 ms). Between days, the target-nontarget similarity changed. The order of conditions was initially counterbalanced across participants.

534 We noticed that the difficult feature search led to high error rates in some subjects. To reduce noise in the data, we fixed an exclusion criterion of maximally 25% choice errors 535 536 (see Luck, 2005). Above 25% choice errors, performance is closer to chance performance (i.e., 50% choice errors) than to optimal performance (i.e., 0% choice errors). Because we 537 538 initially tried to replace lost subjects, we increased the number of participants from 16 to 19 students. However, five failed the 25% criterion in the difficult feature search condition and 539 540 one had a large bias in the color judgments, leaving only 13 participants in the final sample 541 (1 male, age: M = 20.2 years, SD = 1.1). Possibly, some target colors were more difficult than 542 others, pointing to inhomogeneity in CIELAB space (Allred & Flombaum, 2014). Two 543 participants failed with the 11° target (reddish), and another two failed with the 236° target 544 (green-yellow).

545 Results

546 **RTs.** Late trials (0.07%) and outliers (2%) were removed from analysis. We conducted 547 a 2 (search difficulty: easy, difficult) × 4 (cue color relative to target color: difference of 0°, 548 15°, 30°, 60°) × 2 (cue validity: valid, invalid) repeated-measures ANOVA on the individual 549 mean RTs. The effect of cue validity, F(1, 12) = 15.11, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .557$, was modulated by search difficulty, F(1, 12) = 24.02, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .667$, showing that the cueing effect was 550 551 larger with easy than difficult search (26 vs. 4 ms). The interaction of cue color and cue validity, F(3, 36) = 73.67, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .86$, showed that the cueing effects decreased with 552 decreasing cue-target similarity (60, 37, -18, -35 ms for 0°, 15°, 30° and 60° cue colors). 553 554 Inspection of the lower panels of Figures 2 and 3 shows that cueing effects decreased more 555 rapidly with increasing separation of cue and target color when the search task was difficult than when it was easy. This observation was substantiated by a three-way interaction of 556 search difficulty, cue color, and cue validity, F(3, 36) = 4.63, p = .008, $\eta_p^2 = .278$. To examine 557

558 the origin of the interaction in more detail, we compared the cueing effects between easy and difficult search for each cue color. The critical p-value was adjusted to .0125 to correct 559 for four tests. By paired t-test, the cueing effect was comparable between easy and difficult 560 search for the 0° cue color (66 vs. 54 ms), p = .269. In contrast, it was significantly larger for 561 easy than difficult search with the 15° cue color (51 vs. 23 ms) and the 30° cue color (11 vs. -562 46 ms), ts(12) > 3.87, ps < .001, Cohen's ds > 1.08. Finally, the difference between easy and 563 difficult search only approached significance with the 60° cue color (-25 vs. -46 ms), t(12) =564 565 2.24, *p* = .045.

Further, the ANOVA confirmed shorter RTs with easy than difficult search (473 vs. 541 ms), F(1, 12) = 19.56, p = .001, $\eta_p^2 = .62$. Of lesser interest, there was an effect of cue color, F(3, 36) = 25.82, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .683$, that was modulated by search difficulty, F(3, 36) =3.74, p = .02, $\eta_p^2 = .237$. RTs increased with larger cue-target color separation, but this increase was less pronounced with easy (460, 465, 479, 489 ms) than with difficult search (524, 535, 557 548 ms).

572 It is noteworthy that cueing effects did not always result from shorter RTs to targets 573 at the cued compared to the uncued position (cueing benefit), but sometimes resulted from 574 shorter RTs at the uncued position (cueing cost). To provide evidence for cueing costs, we 575 conducted one-sample t-tests against zero with an adjusted p-value of .0063 to correct for eight tests (2 search difficulty × 4 cue color). For easy search, there were significant cueing 576 577 benefits with the 0° and 15° cue color (66 and 51 ms), ts(12) > 11.36, ps < .001, Cohen's ds > 3.1, and significant cueing costs with the 60° cue color (-25 ms), t(12) = 5.08, p < .001, 578 579 Cohen's d = 1.41. For difficult search, there was a significant cueing benefit with the 0° cue 580 color (54 ms), *t*(12) = 4.94, *p* < .001, Cohen's *d* = 1.37, and cueing costs with the 30° and 60° 581 colors (both -46 ms), *t*s(12) > 5.33, *p*s < .001, Cohen's *d*s > 1.47.

582 Choice errors. The same ANOVA as above was conducted on choice errors to rule out speed-accuracy tradeoff. Fewer errors occurred with easy compared to difficult search (3.1% 583 vs. 9%), F(1, 12) = 11.78, p = .005, $\eta_p^2 = .495$. The interaction of search difficulty and cue 584 validity, F(1, 12) = 22.29, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .65$, showed that fewer errors occurred with valid 585 586 than invalid cues when search was easy (2.5% vs. 3.6%), but the opposite was true when 587 search was difficult (10.5% vs. 7.5%), which is probably due to the dominance of cueing costs when search was difficult. Further, the interaction of cue color and cue validity, F(3, 36) =588 15.98, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .571$, showed that cueing benefits turned into cueing costs with 589

increasing separation of cue and target color (cueing effects of 3.4%, 0.3%, -3.6%, and -4%
for cue colors of 0°, 15°, 30°, and 60°, respectively). To rule out speed-accuracy tradeoff, we
calculated inverse efficiency scores (IES). IES were calculated as RT / (1-PE), where PE is the
proportion of choice errors (Townsend & Ashby, 1978). Running the above ANOVA on IES
yielded the same pattern of results as the analysis of RTs.

Gaussian fits to color errors. We fit the color error with the Gaussian function described above. Paired t-tests did not reveal any significant differences in the height, bias, and width parameters between easy and difficult search, ps > .31. Averaged over easy and difficult search, the estimated precision was 9 (SD = 3), the height was 1.4 (SD = 0.8), the bias was 0 (SD = 4), and the guess rate was 0.0003 (SD = 0.0005).

600 Gaussian fits to cueing effects. For one participant, it was not possible to fit the 601 cueing effects in the difficult search condition because the function showed a dip and not 602 the expected peak. Thus, 12 out of 13 subjects were retained in this analysis. The mean 603 width of individual Gaussians was smaller with difficult than easy search (20 vs. 33), t(11) =604 4.57, p = .001, Cohen's d = 1.32, showing that the precision of attentional selectivity was 605 improved with difficult search. The height of the Gaussian was larger with difficult than easy 606 feature search (119 vs. 92), t(11) = 3.27, p = .008, Cohen's d = 0.94, showing that the range of 607 cueing effects was larger in difficult than in easy feature search. Finally, the asymptotic 608 cueing effect was more negative in difficult than easy feature search (-52 vs. -24), t(11) = 3.5, 609 p = .005, Cohen's d = 1.01, showing a larger downward shift of the functions with difficult 610 compared to easy search that is consistent with the occurrence of stronger cueing costs. By 611 one-sample t-test against zero, the asymptotic cueing effect was significantly different from 612 zero in both conditions, ts(11) > 4.92, ps < .001, Cohen's ds > 3.61, suggesting that dissimilar cue colors were suppressed in both conditions. 613

614 Comparison of the precision of memory and attention. Only the 12 participants with successful fits were analyzed. The mean width parameters are shown in Figure 4. Individual 615 width parameters were entered into a 2 (dependent variable: cueing effect, color error) × 2 616 (search difficulty: easy, difficult) ANOVA. The width of the Gaussian functions was smaller for 617 618 the color error than for cueing effects (9 vs. 27), F(1, 11) = 88.88, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .89$. The effect of search difficulty, F(1, 11) = 15.07, p = .003, $\eta_p^2 = .578$, was modified by the 619 interaction of dependent variable and search difficulty, F(1, 11) = 25.44, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .698$, 620 showing that the search difficulty affected the width of the functions fit to cueing effects, 621

- but not the width of the functions fit to the color error. By paired t-test, the width was
- smaller with difficult than easy search for cueing effects (20 vs. 33), t(11) = 4.57, p = .001,
- 624 Cohen's d = 1.32, but not for color errors (9 in both conditions), p = .646. Importantly, the
- width of the function was smaller for color error than for cueing effects with easy (9 vs. 33),
- 626 t(11) = 8.42, p < .001, Cohen's d = 2.43, and difficult search (9 vs. 20), t(11) = 7.68, p < .001,
- 627 Cohen's *d* = 2.32.

628 Discussion

We compared feature search with low and high precision requirements. Unlike in 629 630 Experiment 1, the search displays were balanced between conditions because there were always four colors in the target display. We observed that cueing effects decreased with 631 decreasing similarity of cue and target color, but that the decrease occurred faster with 632 difficult search. Accordingly, fits to the cueing effects showed that the precision of 633 634 attentional selection was better with difficult than easy search. At the same time, the precision of the attentional template (i.e., the memory error) was not different between 635 difficult and easy search. Consistent with Experiment 1, attentional selection was overall less 636 637 precise than the attentional template even when the precision of attentional selection was 638 much improved. That is, even the high precision of attentional selection with difficult search 639 was far worse than the precision of the attentional template.

640 Further, cueing costs emerged for the 60° cue color in easy search and for the 30° 641 and 60° cue colors in difficult search. In Experiment 1, cueing costs were not observed with 642 these cue colors, suggesting that the emergence of cueing costs followed the precision 643 requirements of the search task. In search tasks that imposed only minimal precision 644 requirements (Experiment 1), cueing costs were absent. In search tasks that imposed moderate precision requirements (feature search with dissimilar colors), cueing costs were 645 646 observed for dissimilar colors at a color separation of 60°, whereas with high precision 647 requirements (feature search with similar colors), cueing costs also emerged for similar colors at a color separation of only 30°. 648

Finally, the between-subject variability of the bias parameter was much reduced in the current compared to the previous experiment (*SD* of 4 vs. 13). We do not have a good explanation for the difference between the two samples. Possibly, participants were more motivated to respond accurately when they were invited to two experimental sessions (Experiment 2) instead of just one (Experiment 1).

General Discussion

655 Voluntary attentional selection is the process by which sensory input is matched to an internal template of the search goal (Duncan & Humphreys, 1989), but both attentional 656 selection and the internal target representation are subject to variability. The first goal of the 657 present study was to compare the precision of attentional selection to the precision of the 658 underlying memory representation (i.e., the attentional template). To this end, we measured 659 effects of cue validity across a range of cue colors from identical up to 60° of separation from 660 the target in CIELAB space. Further, we measured participants' memory for the target color 661 662 on a color wheel. To estimate precision, the same Gaussian function was fit to cueing effects and memory errors. The results showed that the precision of the memory representation 663 664 was far better than the precision of attentional selectivity. In other words, the internal 665 representation of the search target was very precise, whereas attentional selection was not. 666 Thus, the cause of attentional capture by target-similar stimuli is only to some degree a poor 667 underlying memory representation, but mostly poor attentional selectivity. Our results favor models that propose that the capacity of attentional selection is lower than the capacity of 668 669 memory (reviewed in Olivers et al., 2011) because limited resolution in attentional selectivity 670 will pool stimuli that can be differentiated in VWM.

671 So far, our assumption has been that color errors in the memory task reflect the 672 same representation that is used in the visual search task. However, it is also possible that 673 there are two sources of information with independent representations. Possibly, the 674 representation related to color judgments is more explicit than the representation related to 675 visual search. Depending on the task at hand, the appropriate representation would be 676 selected to optimally meet the task requirements. Alternatively, the same representation is 677 involved in both tasks, but used differently. Presently, we cannot decide between these two 678 accounts, but because the assumption of a unitary memory representation is more 679 parsimonious, we hold on to it for now.

Further, the results showed that the precision of attentional selection increased with increasing task demands, whereas the precision of the attentional template (i.e., the memory error) did not. In contrast, previous research suggests that the precision of the attentional template determines the efficiency of attentional selection. For instance, the attentional template is less precise when participants are asked to search for an object category compared to when they are asked to search for a particular object. Accordingly, search for an object category is slower than search for an exemplar of the category (e.g.,
Jenkins, Grubert, & Eimer, 2018; Malcolm & Henderson, 2009). In our current study,
however, we did not find that the precision of the attentional template determined the
precision of attentional selectivity. Rather, the precision of the attentional template was
invariable across conditions, whereas the precision of attentional selection varied with task
demands.

Improvements of attentional selectivity through increased target-nontarget similarity 692 are consistent with results by Geng and collaborators (Geng et al., 2017; Won & Geng, 2018) 693 694 who found that the increase in RTs with similar nontargets was reduced for participants who were more frequently exposed to these nontargets. The present study took a different 695 696 methodological approach by probing attentional selection with a cueing procedure. The 697 cueing procedure has the advantage that characteristics of the search display can be 698 separated from the cue display. Thus, the same cue colors were used throughout, while the 699 target display varied in the number of colored nontargets and target-nontarget similarity. 700 For the precision of the memory representation, we did not find a modulation by task 701 requirements. Consistent with this conclusion, Geng et al. (2017) provided no evidence to 702 suggest that the width of the distribution of memory errors changed between groups of 703 participants who were frequently or rarely exposed to highly similar nontargets. However, Geng et al. (2017) found a change in the bias of the distribution, which was more 704 705 pronounced for participants frequently exposed to similar nontargets. In particular, the 706 distribution of the memory error was shifted away from the nontarget colors, in support of 707 optimal tuning theory (Navalpakkam & Itti, 2007).

708 Visual long-term memory vs. visual working memory

709 In Geng et al. (2017) and the current study, the search target was fixed for each 710 participant, which may explain why neither the search mode nor target-nontarget similarity 711 affected the precision of the memory representation. Possibly, there was a ceiling effect because the target representation was transferred into long-term memory (see Carlisle et 712 al., 2011). Contribution from long-term memory are likely because the precision in the 713 present study was better than for a single color stored in VWM, but similar to the precision 714 715 in perceptual color matching tasks. For comparison, we fit the standard mixture model with added bias parameter to the color judgments (Suchow, Brady, Fougnie, & Alvarez, 2013) and 716 717 found the average SD of color judgments to be 9° in Experiment 1 and 5.5° in Experiment 2,

which is close to the 5-7° observed for perceptual matching tasks (Brady et al., 2013). Thus, 718 the repeated exposure and recall of an unchanging target certainly improved performance 719 compared to VWM tasks where the memory target changed from trial to trial and where the 720 precision for a single target was found to be around 14° (Zhang & Luck, 2008). Possibly, 721 effects of tasks requirements on memory performance may emerge in VWM tasks with 722 variable targets. For instance, Rajsic, Sun, Huxtable, Pratt, and Ferber (2016) reported that 723 memorized targets were recalled with higher precision when they had to be searched for, 724 725 and Rajsic, Zhao, and Woodman (2018) found that targets in difficult search were better 726 remembered than targets in easy search. Thus, tasks that tax working memory more strongly may bring effects of search requirements on the precision of memory to the fore. 727

728 Mechanisms underlying the lower precision of attentional selection

729 The results demonstrated that the precision of attentional selection was far worse than the precision of the memory for the target (i.e., the attentional template). What caused 730 731 the loss in precision? This question is difficult because it is generally accepted that 732 attentional selection involves the match of sensory information to an internal target 733 representation (Eimer, 2014; Olivers et al., 2011), but little is known about how the stored 734 target features are recoded to match the perceptual input. After all, the stored features are 735 in memory, whereas the incoming information is in the visual system. To find an answer to this question, it may be useful to distinguish between attentional guidance and selection. 736 737 Cueing effects in the present study arise from the processing advantage resulting from 738 attentional selection of stimuli at the cued location. Therefore, cueing effects result from 739 spatially selective processes. However, there is agreement that attention is guided to spatial 740 locations based on features of the target in a display-wide manner (Wolfe, Cave, & Franzel, 741 1989), which is commonly referred to as feature attention. Feature-based attention is 742 believed to enhance the processing of the selected feature across the visual field (reviewed 743 in Maunsell & Treue, 2006). Necessarily, the display-wide enhancement involves neurons with large receptive fields (reviewed in Eimer, 2014) and possibly, this loss of spatial 744 precision is accompanied by a loss in featural precision. In other words, it may be that the 745 746 difference between the precision of the attentional template and attentional selection arises 747 at the stage where the stored target features are transformed into display-wide

enhancement of the target feature. However, this idea is speculative and requires furtherresearch.

Another - equally speculative - idea is that the same neuronal representation is used 750 for attentional selection and memory judgments, but in different ways. Possibly, attentional 751 selection relies on individual neurons whereas memory judgments rely on the average of the 752 same population of neurons. One may assume that the representation of the target color is 753 754 distributed across a population of color-tuned neurons where each neuron has a distribution 755 of responses to color (Gegenfurtner, 2003; Witzel & Gegenfurtner, 2018). For instance, a 756 neuron tuned optimally to red would be best stimulated by red, but would still respond suboptimally to yellow. At the population level, the average response of color-tuned neurons 757 758 may reliably code the stimulus color (Wachtler, Sejnowski, & Albright, 2003). The population 759 of neurons may be accessed in two different ways: at the level of the average and at the 760 level of individual neurons. The probability of finding an activated neuron for a given 761 stimulus increases with increasing similarity to the average population response. For 762 instance, with a red target stimulus, it is more probable to find activated neurons tuned to 763 red than activated neurons tuned to yellow. Based on the assumption that sensory areas are 764 recruited to maintain representations in VWM (Kamitani & Tong, 2005), we also expect a 765 population of color-tuned neurons to underlie the storage of the target color in VWM. Possibly, the match of stimulus color to memorized target color is achieved by matching the 766 767 activity of sensory neurons to the activity of memory-related neurons. Because this match 768 relies on individual neurons, the precision of the match will mirror the population of 769 activated neurons. That is, it will be easier to find matching neurons close to the population 770 average, but a match may also occur for other activated neurons, which makes selection 771 imprecise. For instance, a yellow stimulus may be occasionally selected when the memorized 772 target stimulus is red, even if selection of red is more probable. In contrast, color judgments 773 may rely on the population average, and not on individual neurons. The reason for the 774 different access to the same population may be that visual search must operate rapidly, whereas memory judgments are performed without time constraints, leaving sufficient time 775 776 for calculation of the average. As a result, the observed memory errors reflect the errors in 777 the calculation of the average, which will be much closer to the true average than individual neurons drawn from the same population of neurons. 778

779 Cueing costs and suppression

--25--

780 Further, our results shed some new light on the potential causes of cueing costs. As 781 outlined in the introduction, feature suppression (Lamy & Egeth, 2003) or object updating (Carmel & Lamy, 2014; Schoeberl et al., 2017) have been discussed as potential 782 explanations. The emergence of cueing costs in search displays with multiple nontarget 783 784 colors (Experiment 2) confirms the anecdotal observation that heterogeneous color displays lead to cueing costs (Carmel & Lamy, 2014). Experiment 2 suggests that the causal factor for 785 cueing effects was not the number of colored nontargets per se, but the increased precision 786 787 requirements. In Experiment 2, the number of colored nontargets was always three, but 788 cueing costs were more pronounced with difficult than easy search. In particular, the 30° cue color separation produced no cueing effect with easy feature search, but a significant cueing 789 790 cost with difficult feature search. To provide further evidence for the idea that it was the 791 required precision in the search task, and not the number of colored nontargets in the 792 search display that was responsible for cueing costs, we ran a supplementary experiment. In 793 this experiment, there was only a single colored nontarget (as in the feature search group of 794 Experiment 1), but with a smaller color separation from the target (randomly 30° or 60°). By 795 one-sample t-test, we observed significant cueing benefits with cues matching the target 796 color (41 ms), t(15) = 9.83, p < .001, Cohen's d = 2.46, and significant cueing costs with non-797 matching cues of 30° and 60° separation (-25 and -29 ms), ts(15) > 4.5, ps < .001, Cohen's d > 798 1.12. In contrast, there were no cueing costs in the feature search group of Experiment 1 799 where there was also a single colored nontarget, but at a large color separation (180° from 800 the target). Thus, it is not the number of colored nontargets, but their similarity to the target 801 color that promotes cueing costs.

802 Another way of looking at the switch from cueing benefits to cueing costs is as an 803 increase in suppression of non-target features. Along these lines, Lamy and Egeth (2003) 804 proposed that participants suppressed the known feature of the cue, rather than salient 805 stimuli per se. There is research to support the idea that known distractors, but not 806 unknown or variable distractors, can be suppressed. For instance, interference from a distractor is large in the first trials of an experiment, but decreases as participants become 807 808 familiar with the distractor's features (Gaspelin & Luck, 2017; Vatterott & Vecera, 2012; 809 Zehetleitner et al., 2012). Further, the number of distractors that can be effectively suppressed may be severely limited. Possibly, only a single known distractor can be entirely 810 811 suppressed so that it does not interfere with search (Graves & Egeth, 2016; Kerzel & Barras, 2016). In the current study, however, there were six different cue colors (±15°, ±30°, ±60°
relative to the target color) and therefore, it seems unlikely that suppression was directed to
only a single known color. Rather, the current results suggest that there was suppression of
any color that differed from the target color.

816 Further, suppressive effects in the current study are fully in line with electrophysiological research that considered suppression as a top-down process 817 818 counteracting capture by salient stimuli (reviews in Gaspelin & Luck, 2018b; Geng, 2014). In 819 this context, a contralateral positivity at posterior electrodes about 200-300 ms after 820 stimulus onset, the P_D component, has been used as an index of attentional suppression (Hickey, Di Lollo, & McDonald, 2009; Sawaki, Geng, & Luck, 2012). However, most of the 821 822 research on the P_D has used Theeuwes' (1991) additional singleton paradigm where 823 distractor and target are simultaneously present (Burra & Kerzel, 2013; Gaspar & McDonald, 824 2014; Gaspelin & Luck, 2018a; Hilimire & Corballis, 2014; Jannati, Gaspar, & McDonald, 2013). To our knowledge, there is no existing evidence for the P_D component in the 825 contingent capture paradigm. Nonetheless, clear predictions can be derived. For instance, 826 827 the 30° cue color condition would be expected to result in a P_D in the difficult search 828 condition (similar to Experiment 2), whereas an index of attentional selection, the N2pc 829 (Eimer & Kiss, 2008; Lien et al., 2008), would be expected to the same color in singleton 830 search (similar to Experiment 1).

831 Alternatives to suppression

832 Measurements of the electrophysiological response to the cue may also be necessary to rule out alternative accounts of the cueing costs. Possibly, RTs depend on the evidence in 833 834 favor of the target color that is observed at the target location. Short RTs are expected when there is a lot of evidence in favor of the target color and long RTs are expected when there is 835 836 little evidence. When cue and target are presented at the same location on valid trials, there 837 is more evidence in favor of the target color when cue and target color match than when they are dissimilar, which may explain the shorter RTs with matching than with non-838 matching cues. Conversely, when cue and target are presented at different locations on 839 840 invalid trials, there will be evidence in favor of the target at a non-target location with 841 matching cue colors, which may explain the longer RTs with matching than non-matching cues. Further, the accumulated evidence may result in a tie between target and non-target 842 locations when similarity is high. Let us assume that the evidence in favor of the target color 843

844 corresponds to the average of cue and target color at a given location. For a 60° cue and a 0° target, the average would be 30°. When the nontargets are 90° away from the target color 845 (i.e., easy feature search in Experiment 2), the average on valid trials would still favor the 846 target location. However, when nontargets are only 30° away from the target color (i.e., 847 848 difficult feature search), the average may result in a tie between target and nontarget locations, which may underlie cueing costs. This alternative account would be invalidated by 849 850 electrophysiological indices of attentional suppression to the cue because the accumulator 851 account does not rely on attentional processes, but rather on decision making.

852 Feature-based attention

The cueing costs observed in Experiment 2 provide evidence for feature-based 853 854 suppression, which fits nicely with studies on feature-based attention. For instance, Störmer 855 and Alvarez (2014) asked participants to attend to identical or different colors on opposite 856 sides of fixation. The target dots were accompanied by nontarget dots and participants 857 reported brief intervals of coherent motion in dots of the attended colors. Unsurprisingly, 858 performance was best when the same color was attended on both sides of fixation. More 859 interestingly, performance decreased with increasing color separation between the two 860 attended colors. The decrease reached a minimum at a color difference of 30°. Between 30° 861 and 60°, however, performance improved again. This pattern of results suggests surround 862 suppression in feature space that is centered on 30° of color separation. However, using a 863 different methodological approach, Wang, Miller, and Liu (2015) found no evidence for a 864 "rebound" of performance, but rather a continuous decrease. In the current study, we did not observe a "rebound" of cueing effects between 0° and 60° as in Störmer and Alvarez 865 866 (2014). Rather, we observed a floor effect that occurred earlier with difficult feature search (at 30°) and later with singleton or easy feature search (at 60°). Nonetheless, our results are 867 868 broadly in line with the suppression of non-attended features, even if suppression in the present study occurred only with feature search and displays with multiple nontarget colors 869 870 (Experiment 2).

871 Conclusions

We compared the precision of attentional selection and its underlying memory representation. We observed that the precision of attentional selection is far worse than the precision of the attentional template. Thus, attentional capture by target-similar stimuli is explained by poor attentional selectivity and not by poor memory. Further, the precision of

876	attentional selection improved with increasing task demands, but there was no change in
877	the precision of the attentional template. Possibly, the invariable precision of the attentional
878	template was due to contributions from long-term memory. Further, we observed that the
879	cueing benefit with cues similar to the target turned into a cueing cost when task
880	requirements were high, suggesting that increases in precision are achieved by suppressive
881	mechanisms.
882	Acknowledgments
883	Thanks to Christoph Witzel for helping with the CIE color space and Greta
884	Mikneviciute for running the experiments. DK was supported by grant No. 100019_182146
885	from the Swiss National Foundation.
886	
887	References
888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906	 Allred, S. R., & Flombaum, J. I. (2014). Relating color working memory and color perception. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 18</i>(11), 562-565. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2014.06.002 Anderson, B. A., & Folk, C. L. (2010). Variations in the magnitude of attentional capture: Testing a two-process model. <i>Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 72</i>(2), 342-352. doi:10.3758/APP.72.2.342 Ansorge, U., & Becker, S. I. (2014). Contingent capture in cueing: The role of color search templates and cue-target color relations. <i>Psychological Research, 78</i>(2), 209-221. doi:10.1007/s00426-013-0497-5 Ansorge, U., & Heumann, M. (2003). Top-down contingencies in peripheral cuing: The roles of color and location. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 29</i>(5), 937-948. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.29.5.937 Barras, C., & Kerzel, D. (2016). Nogo stimuli do not receive more attentional suppression or response inhibition than neutral stimuli: Evidence from the n2pc, pd and n2 components in a spatial cueing paradigm. <i>Frontiers in Psychology, 7:630.</i> doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00630 Bays, P. M., Catalao, R. F., & Husain, M. (2009). The precision of visual working memory is set by allocation of a shared resource. <i>Journal of Vision, 9</i>(10), 7 1-11. doi:10.1167/9.10.7 Beck, V. M., Hollingworth, A., & Luck, S. J. (2012). Simultaneous control of attention by multiple working memory representations. <i>Psychological Science, 23</i>(8), 887-898. doi:doi:10.1177/0956797612439068
907 908 909 910	 Becker, S. I., Folk, C. L., & Remington, R. W. (2013). Attentional capture does not depend on feature similarity, but on target-nontarget relations. <i>Psychological Science</i>, <i>24</i>(5), 634-647. doi:10.1177/0956797612458528 Besner, D., Keating, J. K., Cake, L. J., & Maddigan, R. (1974). Repetition effects in iconic and verbal short term memory. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology</i>, <i>102</i>(5), 901, 902
912 913 914 915 916 917	 doi:10.1037/h0036357 Brady, T. F., Konkle, T., Gill, J., Oliva, A., & Alvarez, G. A. (2013). Visual long-term memory has the same limit on fidelity as visual working memory. <i>Psychological Science</i>, <i>24</i>(6), 981-990. doi:10.1177/0956797612465439 Bundesen, C., Habekost, T., & Kyllingsbaek, S. (2005). A neural theory of visual attention: Bridging cognition and neurophysiology. <i>Psychological Review</i>, <i>112</i>(2), 291-328.

- Burra, N., & Kerzel, D. (2013). Attentional capture during visual search is attenuated by target
 predictability: Evidence from the n2pc, pd, and topographic segmentation. *Psychophysiology*,
 50(5), 422–430. doi:10.1111/psyp.12019
- Carlisle, N. B., Arita, J. T., Pardo, D., & Woodman, G. F. (2011). Attentional templates in visual working
 memory. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *31*(25), 9315-9322. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1097 11.2011
- Carmel, T., & Lamy, D. (2014). The same-location cost is unrelated to attentional settings: An object updating account. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*,
 40(4), 1465-1478. doi:10.1037/a0036383
- Carmel, T., & Lamy, D. (2015). Towards a resolution of the attentional-capture debate. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 41*(6), 1772-1782.
 doi:10.1037/xhp0000118
- Chelazzi, L., Miller, E. K., Duncan, J., & Desimone, R. (1993). A neural basis for visual search in inferior
 temporal cortex. *Nature*, *363*(6427), 345-347. doi:10.1038/363345a0
- Downing, P. E., & Dodds, C. M. (2004). Competition in visual working memory for control of search.
 Visual Cognition, 11(6), 689-703. doi:10.1080/13506280344000446
- Duncan, J., & Humphreys, G. W. (1989). Visual search and stimulus similarity. *Psychological Review*,
 96, 433-458. doi:10.1037/0033-295x.96.3.433
- Eimer, M. (2014). The neural basis of attentional control in visual search. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*,
 18(10), 526-535. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2014.05.005
- Eimer, M., & Kiss, M. (2008). Involuntary attentional capture is determined by task set: Evidence
 from event-related brain potentials. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 20*(8), 1423-1433.
 doi:10.1162/jocn.2008.20099
- Eimer, M., Kiss, M., Press, C., & Sauter, D. (2009). The roles of feature-specific task set and bottom-up
 salience in attentional capture: An erp study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 35*(5), 1316-1328. doi:10.1037/a0015872
- 944 Fairchild, M. D. (2005). *Colour appearance models*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Foerster, R. M., & Schneider, W. X. (2018). Involuntary top-down control by search-irrelevant
 features: Visual working memory biases attention in an object-based manner. *Cognition*, 172,
 37-45. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2017.12.002
- Folk, C. L., & Remington, R. W. (1998). Selectivity in distraction by irrelevant featural singletons:
 Evidence for two forms of attentional capture. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 24*(3), 847-858. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.24.3.847
- Folk, C. L., & Remington, R. W. (2015). Unexpected abrupt onsets can override a top-down set for
 color. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 41(4), 1153 1165. doi:10.1037/xhp0000084
- Folk, C. L., Remington, R. W., & Johnston, J. C. (1992). Involuntary covert orienting is contingent on
 attentional control settings. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 18*(4), 1030-1044. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.18.4.1030
- Gaspar, J. M., & McDonald, J. J. (2014). Suppression of salient objects prevents distraction in visual
 search. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *34*(16), 5658-5666. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4161-13.2014
- Gaspelin, N., & Luck, S. J. (2017). Distinguishing among potential mecanisms of suppression. *Journal* of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance.
- Gaspelin, N., & Luck, S. J. (2018a). Combined electrophysiological and behavioral evidence for the
 suppression of salient distractors. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*.
- Gaspelin, N., & Luck, S. J. (2018b). The role of inhibition in avoiding distraction by salient stimuli.
 Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 22(1), 79-92. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2017.11.001
- Gaspelin, N., Ruthruff, E., & Lien, M.-C. (2016). The problem of latent attentional capture: Easy visual
 search conceals capture by task-irrelevant abrupt onsets. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 42*(8), 1104-1120. doi:10.1037/xhp0000214

968 Gaspelin, N., Ruthruff, E., Lien, M. C., & Jung, K. (2012). Breaking through the attentional window: Capture by abrupt onsets versus color singletons. Attention Perception & Psychophysics, 969 970 74(7), 1461-1474. doi:10.3758/s13414-012-0343-7 971 Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2003). Cortical mechanisms of colour vision. Nature Reviews: Neuroscience, 4(7), 972 563-572. doi:10.1038/nrn1138 973 Geng, J. J. (2014). Attentional mechanisms of distractor suppression. Current Directions in 974 Psychological Science, 23(2), 147-153. doi:10.1177/0963721414525780 975 Geng, J. J., DiQuattro, N. E., & Helm, J. (2017). Distractor probability changes the shape of the 976 attentional template. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and 977 Performance, 43(12), 1993-2007. doi:10.1037/xhp0000430 978 Goller, F., Ditye, T., & Ansorge, U. (2016). The contribution of color to attention capture effects 979 during search for onset targets. Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 78(3), 789-807. 980 doi:10.3758/s13414-015-1053-8 981 Graves, T., & Egeth, H. E. (2016). When does feature search fail to protect against attentional 982 capture? Visual Cognition, 1-26. doi:10.1080/13506285.2016.1145159 983 Grubert, A., & Eimer, M. (2016). All set, indeed! N2pc components reveal simultaneous attentional 984 control settings for multiple target colors. Journal of Experimental Psychology-Human 985 Perception and Performance, 42(8), 1215-1230. doi:10.1037/xhp0000221 986 Hickey, C., Di Lollo, V., & McDonald, J. J. (2009). Electrophysiological indices of target and distractor 987 processing in visual search. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 21(4), 760-775. 988 doi:10.1162/jocn.2009.21039 989 Hilimire, M. R., & Corballis, P. M. (2014). Event-related potentials reveal the effect of prior 990 knowledge on competition for representation and attentional capture. Psychophysiology, 991 51(1), 22-35. doi:10.1111/psyp.12154 992 Hollingworth, A., & Beck, V. M. (2016). Memory-based attention capture when multiple items are 993 maintained in visual working memory. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human 994 Perception and Performance, 42(7), 911-917. doi:10.1037/xhp0000230 995 Hollingworth, A., Matsukura, M., & Luck, S. J. (2013). Visual working memory modulates rapid eye 996 movements to simple onset targets. Psychological Science, 24(5), 790-796. 997 doi:10.1177/0956797612459767 998 Houtkamp, R., & Roelfsema, P. R. (2006). The effect of items in working memory on the deployment 999 of attention and the eyes during visual search. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human 1000 Perception and Performance, 32(2), 423-442. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.32.2.423 1001 Irons, J. L., Folk, C. L., & Remington, R. W. (2012). All set! Evidence of simultaneous attentional 1002 control settings for multiple target colors. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human 1003 Perception and Performance, 38(3), 758-775. doi:10.1037/a0026578 1004 Jannati, A., Gaspar, J. M., & McDonald, J. J. (2013). Tracking target and distractor processing in fixed-1005 feature visual search: Evidence from human electrophysiology. Journal of Experimental 1006 Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 39(6), 1713-1730. doi:10.1037/a0032251 1007 Jenkins, M., Grubert, A., & Eimer, M. (2018). Category-based attentional guidance can operate in 1008 parallel for multiple target objects. Biological Psychology, 135, 211-219. 1009 doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.04.006 Kamitani, Y., & Tong, F. (2005). Decoding the visual and subjective contents of the human brain. 1010 1011 Nature Neuroscience, 8(5), 679-685. doi:10.1038/nn1444 1012 Kerzel, D., & Barras, C. (2016). Distractor rejection in visual search breaks down with more than a 1013 single distractor feature. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and 1014 Performance, 42(5), 648-657. doi:10.1037/xhp0000180 1015 Kim, S., & Cho, Y. S. (2016). Memory-based attentional capture by colour and shape contents in visual 1016 working memory. Visual Cognition, 24(1), 51-62. doi:10.1080/13506285.2016.1184734 1017 Lamy, D., & Egeth, H. E. (2003). Attentional capture in singleton-detection and feature-search modes. 1018 Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 29(5), 1003-1020. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.29.5.1003 1019

- Leber, A. B., & Egeth, H. E. (2006). It's under control: Top-down search strategies can override
 attentional capture. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *13*(1), 132-138.
 doi:10.3758/BF03193824
- Lien, M.-C., Ruthruff, E., Goodin, Z., & Remington, R. W. (2008). Contingent attentional capture by
 top-down control settings: Converging evidence from event-related potentials. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 34*(3), 509-530.
 doi:10.1037/0096-1523.34.3.509
- Luck, S. J. (2005). *An introduction to the event-related potential technique*. Cambridge,
 Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Ma, W. J., Husain, M., & Bays, P. M. (2014). Changing concepts of working memory. *Nature Neuroscience*, *17*(3), 347-356. doi:10.1038/nn.3655
- 1031Malcolm, G. L., & Henderson, J. M. (2009). The effects of target template specificity on visual search1032in real-world scenes: Evidence from eye movements. J Vis, 9(11), 8 1-13. doi:10.1167/9.11.8
- Maljkovic, V., & Nakayama, K. (1994). Priming of pop-out: I. Role of features. *Memory and Cognition*,
 22(6), 657-672. doi:10.3758/BF03209251
- Maunsell, J. H. R., & Treue, S. (2006). Feature-based attention in visual cortex. *Trends in Neurosciences, 29*(6), 317-322. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2006.04.001
- Moore, K. S., & Weissman, D. H. (2010). Involuntary transfer of a top-down attentional set into the
 focus of attention: Evidence from a contingent attentional capture paradigm. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 72*(6), 1495-1509. doi:10.3758/app.72.6.1495
- Müller, H. J., Geyer, T., Zehetleitner, M., & Krummenacher, J. (2009). Attentional capture by salient
 color singleton distractors is modulated by top-down dimensional set. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 35*(1), 1-16.
 doi:10.1037/0096-1523.35.1.1
- 1044 Navalpakkam, V., & Itti, L. (2007). Search goal tunes visual features optimally. *Neuron*, 53(4), 605 1045 617. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.018
- Olivers, C. N. L., Meijer, F., & Theeuwes, J. (2006). Feature-based memory-driven attentional capture:
 Visual working memory content affects visual attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 32*(5), 1243-1265. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.32.5.1243
- Olivers, C. N. L., Peters, J., Houtkamp, R., & Roelfsema, P. R. (2011). Different states in visual working
 memory: When it guides attention and when it does not. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15*(7),
 327-334. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2011.05.004
- Ort, E., Fahrenfort, J. J., & Olivers, C. N. L. (2017). Lack of free choice reveals the cost of having to
 search for more than one object. *Psychological Science, 28*(8), 1137-1147.
 doi:10.1177/0956797617705667
- Rajsic, J., Sun, S. Z., Huxtable, L., Pratt, J., & Ferber, S. (2016). Pop-out and pop-in: Visual working
 memory advantages for unique items. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 23*(6), 1787-1793.
 doi:10.3758/s13423-016-1034-5
- 1058Rajsic, J., Zhao, C., & Woodman, G. F. (2018). We remember what we looked for more precisely when1059search is difficult. Paper presented at the Vision Sciences Society, St. Petersburg Beach, FL.
- Roper, Z. J. J., & Vecera, S. P. (2012). Searching for two things at once: Establishment of multiple
 attentional control settings on a trial-by-trial basis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 19*(6),
 1114-1121. doi:10.3758/s13423-012-0297-8
- Sawaki, R., Geng, J. J., & Luck, S. J. (2012). A common neural mechanism for preventing and
 terminating the allocation of attention. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *32*(31), 10725-10736.
 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1864-12.2012
- Sayim, B., Grubert, A., Herzog, M. H., & Krummenacher, J. (2010). Display probability modulates attentional capture by onset distractors. *Journal of Vision, 10*(3), 1-8. doi:10.1167/10.3.10

Schoeberl, T., Ditye, T., & Ansorge, U. (2017). Same-location costs in peripheral cueing: The role of cue awareness and feature changes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*. doi:10.1037/xhp0000470

- Schönhammer, J. G., & Kerzel, D. (2018). Optimal task-sets override attentional capture by rare cues.
 Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 44(5), 681-692.
 doi:10.1037/xhp0000483
- Soto, D., Heinke, D., Humphreys, G. W., & Blanco, M. J. (2005). Early, involuntary top-down guidance
 of attention from working memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *31*(2), 248-261. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.31.2.248
- 1077Störmer, V. S., & Alvarez, G. A. (2014). Feature-based attention elicits surround suppression in1078feature space. Current Biology, 24(17), 1985-1988. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.07.030
- Suchow, J. W., Brady, T. F., Fougnie, D., & Alvarez, G. A. (2013). Modeling visual working memory
 with the memtoolbox. *Journal of Vision*, *13(10)*(9), 1-8. doi:10.1167/13.10.9.
- Theeuwes, J. (1991). Cross-dimensional perceptual selectivity. *Perception & Psychophysics, 50*(2),
 184-193. doi:10.3758/Bf03212219
- Townsend, J. T., & Ashby, F. G. (1978). Methods of modeling capacity in simple processing systems. In
 J. N. J. Castellan & F. Restle (Eds.), *Cognitive theory* (Vol. 3, pp. 199–239). New York:
 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 1086Vatterott, D. B., & Vecera, S. P. (2012). Experience-dependent attentional tuning of distractor1087rejection. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 19(5), 871-878. doi:10.3758/s13423-012-0280-4
- 1088 Wachtler, T., Sejnowski, T. J., & Albright, T. D. (2003). Representation of color stimuli in awake
 1089 macaque primary visual cortex. *Neuron*, *37*(4), 681-691. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0896 1090 6273(03)00035-7
- Wang, Y. X., Miller, J., & Liu, T. S. (2015). Suppression effects in feature-based attention. *Journal of Vision*, 15(5), 16. doi:10.1167/15.5.15
- 1093 Wilken, P., & Ma, W. J. (2004). A detection theory account of change detection. *Journal of Vision*,
 1094 4(12), 1120-1135. doi:10.1167/4.12.11
- Witzel, C., & Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2013). Categorical sensitivity to color differences. *Journal of Vision*,
 1096 13(7). doi:10.1167/13.7.1
- 1097 Witzel, C., & Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2015a). Categorical facilitation with equally discriminable colors.
 1098 *Journal of Vision, 15*(8). doi:10.1167/15.8.22
- Witzel, C., & Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2015b). Chromatic contrast sensitivity. In R. Luo (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of color science and technology* (pp. 1-7). Heidelberg: Springer.
- Witzel, C., & Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2018). Color perception: Objects, constancy, and categories. *Annual Review of Vision Science*.
- Wolfe, J. M., Cave, K. R., & Franzel, S. L. (1989). Guided search: An alternative to the feature
 integration model for visual search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *15*(3), 419-433.
- Won, B.-Y., & Geng, J. J. (2018). Learned suppression for multiple distractors in visual search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 44*(7), 1128-1141.
 doi:10.1037/xhp0000521
- Woodman, G. F., & Luck, S. J. (2007). Do the contents of visual working memory automatically
 influence attentional selection during visual search? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 33*(2), 363-377. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.33.2.363
- Zehetleitner, M., Goschy, H., & Müller, H. J. (2012). Top-down control of attention: It's gradual,
 practice-dependent, and hierarchically organized. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 38*(4), 941-957. doi:10.1037/a0027629
- Zhang, W., & Luck, S. J. (2008). Discrete fixed-resolution representations in visual working memory.
 Nature, 453(7192), 233-235.



Figure 1. Illustration of experimental stimuli (not drawn to scale). Panel A illustrates CIELAB 1120 color space. Chroma increases from the center to the periphery of the disk. The azimuth 1121 1122 corresponds to the hue. Arrows illustrate hue differences of 90° for a red target at 0°. We presented cue colors that varied from -60° to +60° around the target color. Panel B shows 1123 1124 the sequence of events in the singleton search condition of Experiment 1. Participants 1125 discriminated the orientation of the letter T in the target color by mouse click. They were 1126 instructed to ignore the cue display. The cue is also referred to as distractor. On some trials, a color wheel appeared, and participants judged the target color. Panel C shows the target 1127 1128 displays in Experiments 1-2. In the example, the target was red. In Experiment 1, the target

- was shown without colored nontarget in the singleton search group and with one highlydiscriminable nontarget of 180° color difference in the feature search group. In the easy
- feature search condition of Experiment 2, the target color was shown with one nontarget at
- 1132 180° and two nontargets at 90° color difference, all of which were highly discriminable from
- 1133 the target color (lower left). In the difficult feature search condition, the target was shown
- 1134 with two similar nontarget colors of 30° color difference and one dissimilar color at 180°
- 1135 (lower right). Note that placeholders in the experiments were composed of two unfilled
- 1136 circles. The dark gray rings in the figure were used for clarity.
- 1137



Figure 2. Mean reaction times as a function of cue validity and cue color (relative to the
target color) in Experiments 1 and 2. Singleton and feature search was manipulated between
participants in Experiment 1 (upper panels), whereas easy and difficult feature search was
compared within participants in Experiment 2 (lower panels). Error bars show the standard
error of the mean.

1144



Figure 3. Cueing effects and color errors in Experiments 1 and 2. The black filled circles show
the mean cueing effects (invalid minus valid, left axis) as a function of angular difference
between cue and target color (relative cue color). The red histograms show the mean
relative frequencies of the color error in 15° bins (right axis). Error bars show the standard
error of the mean.



Figure 4. Mean precision of attentional selection and memory in Experiments 1 and 2. The precision corresponds to the width of Gaussian functions fit to cueing effects (attention) and color errors (memory). Wide functions (high values) correspond to low precision. Singleton and feature search were compared between participants in Experiment 1. Because it was not always possible to fit a Gaussian function, the indicated number of participants was lower than the size of the complete sample. Error bars show the standard error of the mean.