

Archive ouverte UNIGE

https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch

Article	Revue de la	2020	
scientifique	littérature	2020	

Published Open version Access

This is the published version of the publication, made available in accordance with the publisher's policy.

Point of care ultrasonography from the emergency department to the internal medicine ward: current trends and perspectives

Leidi, Antonio Siro Gabriele; Rouyer, Frédéric; Marti, Christophe; Reny, Jean-Luc; Grosgurin, Olivier

How to cite

LEIDI, Antonio Siro Gabriele et al. Point of care ultrasonography from the emergency department to the internal medicine ward: current trends and perspectives. In: Internal and Emergency Medicine, 2020, vol. 15, n° 3, p. 395–408. doi: 10.1007/s11739-020-02284-5

This publication URL:https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:152326Publication DOI:10.1007/s11739-020-02284-5

© This document is protected by copyright. Please refer to copyright holder(s) for terms of use.

IM - REVIEW



Point of care ultrasonography from the emergency department to the internal medicine ward: current trends and perspectives

Antonio Leidi¹ · Frédéric Rouyer² · Christophe Marti¹ · Jean-Luc Reny¹ · Olivier Grosgurin^{1,2}

Received: 13 January 2020 / Accepted: 22 January 2020 / Published online: 7 February 2020 © Società Italiana di Medicina Interna (SIMI) 2020

Abstract

The advent of portable devices in the early 80s has brought ultrasonography to the patient's bedside. Currently referred to as 'point of care ultrasonography' (POCUS), it has become an essential tool for clinicians. Initially developed in the emergency and critical care settings, POCUS has gained increasing importance in internal medicine wards in the last decade, with both its growing diagnostic accuracy and portability making POCUS an optimal instrument for everyday clinical assessment and procedures. There is large body of evidence to confirm POCUS' superiority when compared to clinical examination and standard X-ray imaging in a variety of clinical situations. On the contrary, only few indications, such as procedural guidance, have a proven additional benefit for patients. Since POCUS is highly user-dependent, pre- and post-graduate curricula are needed and the range of use should be clearly defined. This review focuses on trends and perspectives of POCUS in the management of diseases frequently encountered in emergency and internal medicine. In addition, questions are raised regarding the teaching and supervision of POCUS needing to be addressed in the near future.

Keywords Point of care · Ultrasonography · Ultrasound · Internal medicine · Emergency medicine

Introduction

In 1819, René Laennec published the book *L'auscultation médiate* [1] introducing the world to a revolutionary diagnostic tool: the stethoscope. It was subsequently

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-020-02284-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Olivier Grosgurin
 Olivier.Grosgurin@hcuge.ch
 Antonio Leidi
 Antonio.Leidi@hcuge.ch
 Frédéric Rouyer

Frederic.Rouyer@hcuge.ch Christophe Marti

Christophe.Marti@hcuge.ch

Jean-Luc Reny Jean-Luc.Reny@hcuge.ch

¹ General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland

² Emergency Medicine, Department of Acute Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland universally adopted in clinical practice, becoming a symbol of medical science but also as a source of criticism due to deceptive diagnostic performances [2]. Two hundred years later, point of care ultrasonography (POCUS) is emerging as a change of paradigm in clinical practice. This bedside technology allows caregivers to answer basic diagnostic questions, guide procedures and make choices on therapies [3]. As soon as POCUS appeared Roy Filly, an American radiologist, declared it with skepticism the stethoscope of the future, a performant tool in improper hands [4]. Whether POCUS is a complement or a replacement for the stethoscope remains a source of debate [5]. Mastering POCUS has a steep learning curve and its success is explained by its increased diagnostic accuracy in comparison to clinical examination and standard X-rays [6], its absence of ionizing radiations, and its growing availability. This article reviews the expanding use of ultrasonography (US), from pioneer disciplines to pre-graduate medical schools, focusing on its practical applications for emergency and internal medicine physicians.

From World War I to medical school

The first ultrasound transducer, called a hydrophone, was created by Paul Langevin in 1915 to detect German submarines during World War I. Clinical US appeared in medicine 40 years later through a pioneering paper on abdominal pathologies by Ian Donald, a Scottish obstetrician [7]. US was progressively adopted by obstetricians, radiologists and cardiologists in the subsequent decades. POCUS, also known as focused, clinical or goal-directed US, started gaining ground in the 1980's in the hands of emergency and critical care physicians. In 1990, the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) issued their first statement supporting POCUS, which has been followed by regularly updated guidelines [3]. Simultaneously, surgeons developed the Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma (FAST) [8]. This first pivotal bedside protocol for blunt abdominal trauma is currently endorsed by the Advanced Trauma Life Support and adopted worldwide in emergency departments (ED). Joint efforts from the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) and the Société de Réanimation de Langue Française (SRLF) resulted in the first international stance on US competence in critical care settings being published in 2008 [9]. POCUS concurrently spread to other medical disciplines being adopted in the field of internal medicine during the last decade [10, 11]. Although the American College of Physicians (ACP) recently called for international guidelines for the use of POCUS in internal medicine, such guidelines are yet to be published. Over recent years, the wide-spread use of POCUS in clinical practice in Europe and the USA has prompted medical schools to develop curricula for students [12, 13]. This boom in the practice of US has largely been facilitated by the digitalization and technological progress, which have led to the development of compact, high-quality and highly portable devices. Wireless probes and telesonography with remote readers are a reality nowadays with the classic piezoelectric crystal system being replaced by versatile microchip technology in last generation transducers. The advances in miniaturization, as well as the historical milestones of this ongoing process, are summarized in Fig. 1.



US = ultrasonography; FAST = Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma

Indications for POCUS

In conventional practice, a frontline clinician asks a specialist (e.g. radiologist, cardiologist) for a comprehensive US imaging based on his initial clinical suspicion. With POCUS, the frontline doctor acquires and interprets relevant US images, while collecting a medical history and performing a physical examination. POCUS results are immediately integrated into the care plan reducing delays from clinical assessment to US results [3]. There are various indications for POCUS which whilst depending on the clinical setting can be classified under the general headings of diagnostic, resuscitation, procedural guidance and monitoring. Table 1 outlines the differences and overlap of POCUS use in internal and emergency medicine. Table 2 reports existing diagnostic indications of POCUS with sensitivities and specificities. Selected indications are discussed below.

From the resuscitation room to the ward: the unstable patient

As shown for the early introduction of antibiotic therapy in patients with septic shock, faster diagnosis and commencement of appropriate therapy are likely to reduce morbidity and mortality in acutely ill patients [14]. POCUS is useful in resolving relevant clinical questions with minimal time delay, probably explaining its increasing usage in ED and prehospital units [15]. Several POCUS protocols have been developed to ensure a structured and rapid approach to acute respiratory failure [16], shock state [17], severe trauma [18] and cardiac arrest [19]. Some of these protocols are reported in Fig. 2. As trauma does not come under the general umbrella of internal medicine, trauma procedures including extended FAST have been deliberately left out in this review.

Acute respiratory failure

Respiratory failure is associated with poor short-term outcomes [20]. POCUS outperforms clinical judgment and/ or standard X-rays in assessing the main causes of respiratory failure, such as acute heart failure [21, 22], pneumonia [23, 24], pneumothorax [25], pulmonary embolism [26, 27], acute respiratory distress syndrome [28], pleural effusion [29] and exacerbation of COPD or asthma [30]. Details of diagnostic performance of POCUS for most of the aforementioned diseases are developed below. Integration of focused lung and vein US in the Bedside Lung Ultrasound in Emergency (BLUE) protocol (Fig. 2) has proven to be highly effective. In a retrospective study of 260 consecutive patients admitted to the intensive care, utilization of BLUE protocol allowed to identify the underlying cause of acute dyspnea with a diagnostic accuracy of 90.5%. Unclear, multiple and rare diagnosis were excluded from the study (n=41) [16]. Accuracy was lower (77.5%) in another single-center prospective observational study including 383 consecutive emergency patients [31]. In a large prospective trial, 320 emergency patients with acute respiratory failure were randomized to standard care or US of heart, lungs and deep veins. Results showed that in the intervention arm, POCUS increased the proportion of correct diagnosis in the first 4 h following admission from 64 to 88% (primary outcome). There was no evidence of clinical benefit in several secondary endpoints for which the study was not powered (length of stay, 30-day readmission and mortality rate) and a slight increase of further advanced test performed [32]. Recommendations for lung US are available in an international expert consensus [33].

Future perspectives

Faster baseline diagnosis leading to faster treatment is expected to influence clinically relevant outcomes. No currently existing data yet evaluate the impact of a POCUSdriven approach on patient- or community-targeted

	Internal medicine	Emergency medicine
Diagnostic	Raise accuracy of daily clinical assessment ^b	Reduce time to first diagnosis and therapy ^b
Monitoring	Monitor treatment effect (e.g. decongestion) and complications (e.g. urinary retention after catheter removal) ^b	Monitor immediate treatment effect and complications (e.g. fluid overload after volume repletion) ^a
Resuscitation	Management of acute decompensated patients before transfer in advanced care units ^a	Management of acutely ill patients before orientation to wards, advanced care units or operating rooms ^b
Procedural guidance	Guide diagnostic and therapeutic procedures ^b	
10 1		

 Table 1 Goals of internal versus emergency medicine point of care ultrasonography

^bFrequent use

^aOccasional use

Diagnostic POCUS application	Diagnostic performance		Comparator
	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	
Sinus Acute sinusitis ^a	0.71 (0.61–0.79)	0.83 (0.71–0.91)	MRI, CT, antral puncture
Eye			
Optic nerve sheath Intracranial hypertension	0.90 (0.80-0.95)	0.85 (0.73-0.93)	Invasive measurement
Retinal detachment	0.94 (0.78-0.99)	0.96 (0.89-0.99)	Surgery, CT, ophthalmologist, follow-up
Trachea Tube placement	0.98 (0.97-0.99)	0.98 (0.95-0.99)	Capnography, direct visualization
Lung			
Effusion ^b	0.94 (0.88-0.97)	0.98 (0.92-1.00)	СТ
Pneumonia	0.78 (0.70-0.84)	0.95 (0.68-0.99)	CT, MRR
Acute heart failure ^a	0.88 (0.75-0.95)	0.90 (0.88-0.92)	MRR
A-profile, with no PLAPS ^a	0.78 (0.67-0.86)	0.94 (0.89-0.97)	COPD or asthma exacerbation on MRR
Pneumothorax ^b	0.91 (0.86-0.94)	0.98 (0.97-0.99)	СТ
Heart			
Left systolic dysfunction ^a	0.84 (0.74-0.91)	0.89 (0.85-0.91)	Cardiologist TTE
Pericardial effusion ^c	0.96 (0.90-0.99)	0.98 (0.96-0.99)	Image review by cardiologist
Right ventricle dilatation ^c	0.92 (0.65-0.98)	0.99 (0.95-1.00)	Cardiologist TTE
Abdomen			
Inferior cava vein Fluid responsiveness ^b	0.63 (0.56–0.69)	0.73 (0.67–0.78)	Transpulmonary thermodilution, TTE, NI BP, bioreactance
FAST Blunt intra-abdominal injury ^b	0.82 (0.75-0.89)	0.99 (0.98-0.99)	CT, surgery, autopsy, follow-up
Ascites ^c	0.96 (0.87-0.99)	0.82 (0.59-0.94)	CT, radiologist US, paracentesis
Cholecystitis ^b	0.82-0.91	0.66-0.95	Pathology
Gallbladder stones ^a	0.90 (0.86-0.93)	0.88 (0.84-0.91)	CT, MRI, radiologist US, surgery
Splenomegaly ^c	1.00 (0.57-1.00)	0.74 (0.57-0.85)	CT, radiologist US
Abdominal aortic aneurism	0.99 (0.96–1.00)	0.98 (0.97-0.99)	CT, MRI, aortography, US reviewed or performed by radiologist, surgery, autopsy
Hydronephrosis ^c	0.81 (0.80-83)	0.59 (0.56-0.63)	CT
Nephrolithiasis ^b	0.70 (0.67-0.73)	0.75 (0.72-0.78)	CT, follow-up
Small bowel obstruction ^b	0.92 (0.89–0.95)	0.97 (0.88–0.99)	Surgery, pathology, CT, colonoscopy, enteroclysis, contrast enema, clinical follow-up
Appendicitis ^b	0.84 (0.72–0.92)	0.91 (0.85-0.95)	Surgery, pathology
Pneumoperitoneum ^c	0.96 (0.86-0.99)	0.82 (0.73-0.86)	CT
Pelvis			
Bladder distention ^c	0.96 (0.79–0.99)	0.75 (0.53-0.90)	Urine catheterisation (≥ 600 ml)
Ectopic pregnancy ^b	0.99 (0.97–1.00)	0.42-0.90	Radiologist or gynaecologist US, images review by radiologist, medical record review, follow-up
Acute scrotal pain ^c	0.95 (0.78 to 0.99)	0.94 (0.72 to 0.99)	Radiologist US, surgery
Limbs			
Tendon injury ^c	0.94 (0.73-1.00)	1.00 (0.92-1.00)	Surgery
Long bone fracture ^c	0.93 (0.75-0.99)	0.83 (0.65–0.94)	Plain radiography, CT
Soft Tissue Abscess ^a	0.96 (0.89 to 0.98)	0.80 (0.56 to 0.93)	Purulent discharge from incision, CT, follow-up
Deep vein thrombosis ^b			
2-point	0.91 (0.68–0.98)	0.98 (0.96-0.99)	Radiologist US or contrast venography
3-point	0.90 (0.83-0.95)	0.95 (0.83-0.99)	Radiologist US or contrast venography

MRI magnetic resonance imaging, *CT* computer tomography, *MRR* medical record review, *PLAPS* posterolateral alveolar and/or pleural syndrome, *COPD* chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, *TTE* transthoracic echocardiography, *NI BP* non-invasive blood pressure, *FAST* focused assessment with sonography in trauma, *US* ultrasonography. A reference list is available in Online Resource 1

^aResult from meta-analysis with no test for heterogeneity

^bResult from meta-analysis with high heterogeneity ($I^2 \ge 75\%$)

^cResult from single studies



Numbers correspond to the ultrasonography views sequence of protocol. BLUE = Bedside Lung Ultrasound in Emergency; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PLAPS = posterolateral alveolar and/or pleural syndrome; RUSH = Rapid Ultrasound in SHock; RV = right ventricle; LV = left ventricle; PE = pulmonary embolism; ICV = inferior cava vein; CAUSE = Cardiac Arrest UltraSound Exam; CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation; US = ultrasonography

Fig. 2 a-c The BLUE, RUSH and CAUSE protocols [16, 17, 19]

outcomes, such as length of stay in the ED or hospital, delay in receiving adequate therapy, survival and costeffectiveness. Randomized controlled trials are vital to evaluate the net benefit of POCUS in comparison to conventional management in patients suffering from acute respiratory failure.

Acute circulatory failure

Shock is generally classified as distributive (e.g. septic, anaphylactic), obstructive (e.g. massive pulmonary embolism, tamponade), cardiogenic (e.g. acute coronary syndrome, acute valve dysfunction), hypovolemic (e.g. bleeding) or multifactorial. Early recognition and treatment are mandatory to prevent its progression to irreversible organ dysfunction. Treatment largely depends on the etiology and can be detrimental when prescribed inappropriately. Left ventricular or significant valve dysfunction, right ventricular enlargement, pericardial fluid, hypovolemia and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurism can be readily diagnosed using POCUS; it is, therefore, expected to allow the early differentiation and treatment of unexplained shock after a primary clinical evaluation. Additionally, the monitoring of signs of congestive overload with lung US can be used to tailor fluid administration [34]. Figure 2 illustrates the *Rapid Ultrasound in SHock* (RUSH) protocol evaluating the cardiac status (pump), the fluid status (tank) and the vascular status (pipes) [19]. The utility of bedside US using protocols in unstable patients has been suggested by several authors [35]. In a randomized trial including 184 patients with undifferentiated shock, immediate versus delayed POCUS significantly narrowed the differential diagnosis and raised the rate of correct diagnosis at 15 min from 50% (95% CI 40-60%) to 80% (95% CI 70-87%) [36]. In a subsequent observational trial of 118 emergency patients, utilization of POCUS changed the treatment plan and the imaging strategy in a quarter and a third of patients, respectively [37]. To date, only one multicenter randomized controlled trial has evaluated the effect of a POCUS strategy in 270 patients with undifferentiated shock and failed to show benefit in survival, length of stay or therapeutic choices. It is important to note that this trial did not achieve inclusion goals and was underpowered [38].

Future perspectives

POCUS is an integral part of routine practice for shock management but more data are needed to evaluate its impact on patient-centred outcomes. Future trials should aim at assessing the added value of artificial intelligence for automated measurement of velocity time integral in left ventricular outflow tract, as well as inferior cava vein size and collapsibility.

Cardiac arrest

Despite progress in basic and advanced life support, patients experiencing a cardiac arrest have low survival rates. Prompt uninterrupted chest compression and early defibrillation in the case of shockable rhythms are the cornerstone of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Eighty percent of patients, however, presenting in out of- or in-hospital cardiac arrest situations have a non-shockable rhythm [39]. In these circumstances, guidelines emphasize the identification of a potential reversible underling cause summarized by the 5 H's (hypoxemia, hypovolemia, hyper/ hypokalemia, hypothermia, H + (acidosis)) and 5 T's (tamponade, tension pneumothorax, pulmonary or coronary thrombosis and toxins) [40]. Ultrasonography is a reliable diagnostic tool for four of the above-mentioned conditions [41] and identification of the correct etiology coupled with timely adequate treatment (aggressive volume repletion, pericardiocentesis, chest tube insertion or thrombolysis) may improve survival rates in this subgroup of patients. Additionally, the prognostic value of POCUS in a cardiac arrest setting has been evoked. In one large multicenter study evaluating POCUS in non-shockable out of hospital and in-ED cardiac arrest, the absence of echographic cardiac motion during pulse check was associated with an extremely poor rate of survival at hospital discharge (0.6%). Survival increased to 4% in patients maintaining a wall and valve motion [42]. Another study reported that cardiac standstill was associated with 100% of mortality in the ED [43], suggesting that POCUS may help decision-making regarding interruption of resuscitation efforts. The Cardiac Arrest UltraSound Exam (CAUSE) protocol investigates the four leading potentially reversible causes of asystole or PEA by using a four chamber cardiac view and imaging the anterior bilateral pleura (Fig. 2) [19]. Due to a great risk of delay in compression cycles [44], international guidelines highlight the importance of brief imaging interval during pulse check with the review of saved clips during the next compression cycle [35]. In intubated patients, transesophageal-focused echocardiography offers an added advantage of avoiding interference with chest compressions, allowing continuous imaging during resuscitation. In 1 small retrospective study of 25 patients, pulse checking was significantly shorter with the transesophageal approach compared to that of the transthoracic (9 versus 19 s) [45].

Future perspectives

Whether POCUS is beneficial for, or interferes with, the resuscitation process remains an open question. Given the small amount of potentially reversible causes in asystole/ PEA, large multicentric randomized controlled trials are needed to answer this research question.

Coma

Causes of coma are classified as structural brain diseases, diffuse neuronal dysfunction (e.g. metabolic imbalance, toxins) and psychogenic unresponsiveness. If neglected, a reversible cause can become irreversible (e.g. untreated subdural hematoma). Trans-ocular US of the optic nerve sheath accurately estimates high intracranial pressure and potentially influences the choice of brain imaging and therapeutic intervention [46]. Optic nerve sheath diameter is measured at 3 mm distance of the globe (Fig. 3). In a meta-analysis, a threshold between 5 and 5.9 mm diagnosed intracranial hypertension with a pooled diagnostic odd ratio of 51 (95% CI 22-121) compared to invasive measurement. The area under the summary receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was 0.94 (95% CI 0.91-0.96) [47]. In case of head trauma, a cut-off value of 5 mm showed moderate sensitivity (84%, 95% CI 60-97%) and low specificity (73%, 95% CI 59–86%) for any intracranial injury found by CT [48]. When toxins and drugs review, blood analysis and brain imaging fail to identify the cause, meningitis or encephalitis should be ruled out by a cerebrospinal fluid analysis. In patients with difficult anatomy, US-assisted lumbar puncture may improve success rates [49].

Future perspectives

Optic nerve sheath US has never been implemented in large prospective trials involving patients with undifferentiated



Fig. 3 Optic nerve sheath ultrasonography. To measure the optic nerve sheath diameter, a high-frequency linear array probe is applied to the closed eyelid. Both eyes are scanned in the sagittal and transverse plan. Optic nerve sheath diameter is measured at a 3 mm distance from the ocular globe. An average value of 5 mm or more indicate intracranial hypertension

coma in ED or internal medicine ward. Future studies should assess its sensitivity for space-occupying brain lesions, its potential benefit on time to diagnosis and treatment or on reduction of referral for brain imaging. Transcranial Doppler is used in neurocritical care as a non-invasive measure of intracranial pressure and midline shift. This technique may also be tested in the ED and in the ward [50].

From the emergency room to the ward: the stable patient

Development of POCUS skills in the ED has naturally spread to the ward. In fact, there is very much an overlap of most POCUS indications in internal and emergency medicine as the majority of patients attending ED are not critically ill and ward patients may develop acute conditions at any given moment. The above-mentioned BLUE, RUSH and CAUSE protocols may, therefore, be used for the management of deteriorating ward patients. The accuracy of clinical judgement can be enhanced with the use of POCUS in some of the most frequent diagnoses among medical patients, for example, heart failure, pneumonia, acute chest pain or acute kidney injury. Observational studies suggest that integration of POCUS in medical wards may change diagnosis and treatment in one-third of patients [51], may decrease by up to tenfold referrals for a focused echocardiography and surprisingly reduce the time needed for the ward round [52]. The use of POCUS in a few typical ward situations are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Acute heart failure

Acute decompensated heart failure is the leading cause of hospital admissions and one of the most frequent reasons for readmission [53]. US semiology has been well documented in this area and is summarized in Fig. 4. When applied to lungs, POCUS performs better than clinical examination and chest X-ray in the diagnosis of acute heart failure, raising the sensitivity from 0.73 (95% CI 0.70-0.76) to 0.88 (95% Cl 0.75–0.95) [21]. Additionally, in one multicenter randomized controlled trial including 518 dyspnoeic patients consulting the ED, POCUS significantly reduced time to definite diagnosis of heart failure from 104.5 to 5 min when compared to a chest X-ray plus NT-pro-BNP strategy [54]. The clearing of B-lines has a high correlation with clinical improvement and it can be used to guide diuretic treatment [55]. Persistence of B-lines after treatment is associated to an increased risk of readmission in hospitalized and outpatients [56, 57]. IVC diameter and collapsibility index have been used as a surrogate of central venous pressure and fluid status, but recent data from meta-analysis show insufficient performance [58]. Focused cardiac US performed by internal



Fig. 4 a–c Lung ultrasonography. The concept of lung ultrasonography as densitometer presented by Gargani [80]: different lung patterns for different level of lung aeration. In setting of heart failure, these patterns correspond to incremental lung congestion

medicine residents accurately estimates left ventricular function and may in turn reduce cardiology referrals and healthcare costs [59, 60]. There is little evidence supporting the use of US-driven decongestive strategies. One randomized controlled study including 123 patients investigated the role of POCUS as a guide for therapy titration [61]. In outpatients, this study found a reduction of the number of urgent consultations for worsening heart failure but no effect on mortality and hospital readmission at the 6 months follow-up.

Future perspectives

Several protocols currently exist to evaluate lung congestion using different numbers of scanned regions. To date, no comparative prospective trials have been undertaken. Studies demonstrating POCUS cost-effectiveness and impact on hospital-related (e.g. length of stay) or post-discharge outcomes (e.g. readmission rate) in both ambulatory and hospitalized patients are still needed. Evaluation of left ventricular ejection fraction with new automated techniques as speckle tracking and strain have shown good performance leading to possible future generalization [62].

Pneumonia and COPD acute exacerbations

Given the poor accuracy of symptoms and physical signs [63], a radiological confirmation is generally recommended to differentiate pneumonia from exacerbated COPD or bronchitis. When integrated in clinical context, lung US outperforms chest X-ray in the identification of pneumonia with a summary ROC under the curve area of 0.901 versus 0.590, using chest CT as gold standard [24]. In a recent metaanalysis, pooled sensitivity and specificity for the detection of pneumonia were 0.78 (95% CI 0.70-0.84) and 0.95 (95% CI 0.68–0.99), respectively [30]. Additionally, in cases of non-response to adequate antibiotic therapy, POCUS can be used to identify complications. Ultrasonography identifies the presence of abscesses, and provides details about parapneumonic effusion size and characteristics (e.g. echogenicity, presence of septa or elements) whilst securely guiding thoracentesis [64].

Future perspectives

There is evidence supporting the use of lung ultrasound as a diagnostic tool among patients with suspected pneumonia or COPD exacerbation. Future prospective trials should evaluate the influence of POCUS on net diagnostic reclassification, on antibiotics down- or up-prescription decision, on hospital length of stay and costs. Randomized controlled trials should compare the different radiological modalities, including low-dose chest CT, in real life settings.

Acute chest pain

Chest discomfort is a troublesome symptom potentially related to life-threatening conditions. In the ED, POCUS can significantly narrow the differential diagnosis [65]. POCUS detects pericardial effusion, with sensitivity and specificity exceeding 95% [66]. Although excellent in detecting deep vein thrombosis [67], two-point (common femoral vein, popliteal vein) venous compression US has low sensitivity in the detection of pulmonary embolism. Sensitivity is higher when combined with lung (looking for lung infarction) and cardiac US (assessing right ventricle dilatation) and could potentially be an alternative in patients ineligible for CT chest angiography [36]. POCUS can accurately detect alternative diagnoses such as pleural effusion, pneumonia, pneumothorax or even acute coronary syndrome [68]. Even though POCUS findings may suggest acute aortic dissection in the presence of a dilatation of an enlarged aortic root (>4 cm), an intimal flaps or an aortic insufficiency, it has suboptimal diagnostic accuracy in the detection of this condition [69].

Future perspectives

There remains a lack of well-designed and sufficiently powered studies to assess the discriminative value of POCUS in patients consulting in the ED with chest discomfort as the sole complaint. Integration of POCUS in prognosis and risk stratification scores for acute coronary syndrome or acute venous thromboembolism has been suggested but not yet tested in prospective trials.

Acute kidney injury and pyelonephritis

The identification and urgent treatment of urinary tract obstruction are vital in both acute kidney injury and pyelonephritis. Even though POCUS has insufficient diagnostic accuracy for nephrolithiasis [70], it accurately identifies acute urinary retention and rules out obstructive nephropathy requiring procedural drainage. In a large multicenter trial including 2759 suspected cases of nephrolithiasis, patients were randomized to an emergency physician renal POCUS or a radiologist US or an abdominal CT scan. Complication rates were similar in the three groups. Radiation exposure in the 6 months following randomisation was significantly lower in US groups. The trial was powered to detect differences among study groups of 5% for high incidence events (10%), 0.34% for low incidence events (0.5%) and a difference of 0.14 SD for radiation exposure [71]. Acute kidney injury is a common complication in patients suffering from acute heart failure following the introduction of diuretic therapy. Increases in serum creatinine may correlate with effective decongestion and improved outcomes (pseudo-worsening) or indicate an excessive and deleterious fluid loss (true worsening) [72]. In this context, fluid status assessment using POCUS could guide diuretic therapy and directly influence kidney outcomes.

Future perspectives

POCUS can be safely used in urinary tract obstruction and should be implemented in both emergency and internal medicine clinical practice. Studies should assess its effect on kidney recovery time and length of ED or hospital stay. Futures trials should also evaluate the benefit of POCUS in the management of cardio-renal syndrome type 1.

Procedural guidance

Diagnostic or therapeutic procedures are an integral and important part of both emergency and internal medicine. The greatest evidence of benefit to patient care using POCUS has arisen in the field of procedural guidance. A meta-analysis of 35 studies enrolling 5108 patients, showed that US supervision of central venous catheter insertion reduced the rate of complications by 71% (RR 0.29, 95% CI 0.17–0.52) and increased the success rate by 12% (RR 1.12, 95% CI 1.08–1.17) [73]. In a large cohort, US increased the success of abdominal paracentesis and thoracentesis and reduced, albeit rare, bleeding complications by 68% (OR 0.32; 95% CI 0.25–0.41) and the risk of pneumothorax by 19% (OR 0.81; 95% CI 0.74–0.90), respectively [74]. Moreover, US efficiently guides peripheral arterial and venous catheter insertion, arthrocentesis and lumbar puncture [49]. Due to the increase in successful procedures and the decrease in mechanical complications, US-guided procedures have become the standard of care.

The educational challenge

The availability of US devices in healthcare centres is rising exponentially and an increasing number of physicians worldwide is enthusiastically following basic US courses. The benefit of POCUS depends essentially on the experience and skill of the operator. Indiscriminate use and lack of supervision can lead to unfounded reassurance, false positive diagnosis or an increased number of additional tests. A study demonstrates that a well-trained resident experiences a net loss of proficiency after only 2 years of non-use [75]. Such rapid growth of US use in internal medicine has seemingly left tutors struggling to provide adequate supervision. In order that POCUS established benefits be maintained and in addition to strengthen them, efforts should be directed towards training trainers and tutoring programs. Some authors suggest that this transition period could be overcome by close collaboration between the internist and highly skilled emergency physicians [76]. Concerned by the indiscriminate use of this valuable tool, pre- and postgraduate POCUS curricula have been developed in our institution (Geneva University Hospitals). The country's pre-graduate medical program now incorporates specific objectives to familiarize students with US devices and imaging and to teach them sonographic patterns in healthy subjects and the identification of pathological free fluid (ascites, pleural effusion). Our post-graduate internal medicine program targets doctors at the beginning of their internal medicine residency with residents individually following an e-Learning course. Their newly obtained theoretical knowledge is then put into practice on both healthy volunteers and patients during a 1-day hands-on workshop. Finally, new practitioners follow a tutoring program for their first POCUS exams. Training content is in global agreement with the Canadian internal medicine ultrasound curriculum and a recent position paper of the European federation of internal medicine [10, 77] with an emphasis put on focused lung and cardiac US. Post-graduate training has been described elsewhere in Western countries with the same objectives of identifying POCUS core and advanced competencies for internal medicine physicians, structuring training and defining criteria for certification [78, 79]. It is essential that internal medicine departments accept the challenge of developing training courses to allow learners to gradually achieve competency on image acquisition, image interpretation and the integration of POCUS in clinical reasoning.

Conclusion

Point of care ultrasonography is an innovative approach and a milestone in the clinical management of patients. The miniaturization process and the ready availability have changed the paradigm, bringing clinical imaging from radiology to the patient's bedside. When compared to clinical examination, POCUS has demonstrated increased diagnostic accuracy for most of the diseases encountered in the ED and the ward. The net benefits of POCUS for patients are clearly demonstrated for procedural guidance with a strong level of evidence. However, for other POCUS indications, methodologically rigorous studies demonstrating benefits on patient-centered outcomes are still lacking, despite a wealth of publications produced to date. The near future has to answer two crucial questions: *is POCUS useful for patients? Can medical institutions ensure high-quality POCUS training?* Only a positive answer to these two questions can guarantee POCUS a brighter future than that of the stethoscope. Contrary to Filly's prophecy [4], this will help make POCUS a performant tool, in good hands.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to Tamara Mann for correcting grammatical errors of the manuscript. AL and OG thank Pr Thierry Fumeaux and Dr Damien Tagan for the E-learning elaboration.

Author contributions The idea for this article originally came from OG. AL carried out the literature search, and conceptualized and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors have read, critically revised and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Statement of human and animal rights This article does not containany studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent None.

References

- Laennec RTH (1819) De l'auscultation médiate, ou, Traité du diagnostic des maladies des poumons et du coeur : fondé principalement sur ce nouveau moyen d'exploration. J.-A. Brosson, et J.-S. Chaudé, Paris
- Mangione S, Nieman LZ (1997) Cardiac auscultatory skills of internal medicine and family practice trainees. A comparison of diagnostic proficiency. JAMA 278(9):717–722
- Ultrasound Guidelines: emergency, point-of-care and clinical ultrasound guidelines in medicine (2017). Ann Emerg Med 69 (5):e27–e54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2016.08.457
- Filly RA (1988) Ultrasound: the stethoscope of the future, alas. Radiology 167(2):400. https://doi.org/10.1148/radio logy.167.2.3282260
- Smallwood N, Miller A, Walden A, Hew M, Tay TR, Rahman NM (2019) Should point-of-care ultrasonography replace stethoscopes in acute respiratory failure? BMJ 366:15225. https ://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.15225
- Bhagra A, Tierney DM, Sekiguchi H, Soni NJ (2016) Point-ofcare ultrasonography for primary care physicians and general internists. Mayo Clin Proc 91(12):1811–1827. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.08.023
- Donald I, Macvicar J, Brown TG (1958) Investigation of abdominal masses by pulsed ultrasound. Lancet 1(7032):1188– 1195. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(58)91905-6

- Scalea TM, Rodriguez A, Chiu WC, Brenneman FD, Fallon WF Jr, Kato K, McKenney MG, Nerlich ML, Ochsner MG, Yoshii H (1999) Focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST): results from an international consensus conference. J Trauma 46(3):466–472. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005373-19990 3000-00022
- Mayo PH, Beaulieu Y, Doelken P, Feller-Kopman D, Harrod C, Kaplan A, Oropello J, Vieillard-Baron A, Axler O, Lichtenstein D, Maury E, Slama M, Vignon P (2009) American College of chest physicians/La Societe de reanimation de Langue Francaise statement on competence in critical care ultrasonography. Chest 135(4):1050–1060. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.08-2305
- Ma IWY, Arishenkoff S, Wiseman J, Desy J, Ailon J, Martin L, Otremba M, Halman S, Willemot P, Blouw M, Ultrasound CIM (2017) Internal medicine point-of-care ultrasound curriculum: consensus recommendations from the Canadian internal medicine ultrasound (CIMUS) group. J Gen Intern Med 32(9):1052–1057. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-017-4071-5
- Arienti V, Camaggi V (2011) Clinical applications of bedside ultrasonography in internal and emergency medicine. Intern Emerg Med 6(3):195–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1173 9-010-0424-3
- Baltarowich OH, Di Salvo DN, Scoutt LM, Brown DL, Cox CW, DiPietro MA, Glazer DI, Hamper UM, Manning MA, Nazarian LN, Neutze JA, Romero M, Stephenson JW, Dubinsky TJ (2014) National ultrasound curriculum for medical students. Ultrasound Q 30(1):13–19. https://doi.org/10.1097/RUQ.0000000000006
- Cantisani V, Dietrich CF, Badea R, Dudea S, Prosch H, Cerezo E, Nuernberg D, Serra AL, Sidhu PS, Radzina M, Piscaglia F, Bachmann Nielsen M, Ewertsen C, Saftoiu A, Calliada F, Gilja OH (2016) EFSUMB statement on medical student education in ultrasound [long version]. Ultrasound Int Open 2(1):E2–7. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1569413
- Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, Kumar A, Sevransky JE, Sprung CL, Nunnally ME, Rochwerg B, Rubenfeld GD, Angus DC, Annane D, Beale RJ, Bellinghan GJ, Bernard GR, Chiche JD, Coopersmith C, De Backer DP, French CJ, Fujishima S, Gerlach H, Hidalgo JL, Hollenberg SM, Jones AE, Karnad DR, Kleinpell RM, Koh Y, Lisboa TC, Machado FR, Marini JJ, Marshall JC, Mazuski JE, McIntyre LA, McLean AS, Mehta S, Moreno RP, Myburgh J, Navalesi P, Nishida O, Osborn TM, Perner A, Plunkett CM, Ranieri M, Schorr CA, Seckel MA, Seymour CW, Shieh L, Shukri KA, Simpson SQ, Singer M, Thompson BT, Townsend SR, Van der Poll T, Vincent JL, Wiersinga WJ, Zimmerman JL, Dellinger RP (2017) Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. Crit Care Med 45(3):486–552. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000000225 5
- Bobbia X, Abou-Badra M, Hansel N, Pes P, Petrovic T, Claret PG, Lefrant JY, de La Coussaye JE, Winfocus France Group. Electronic address tpgc (2018) Changes in the availability of bedside ultrasound practice in emergency rooms and prehospital settings in France. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med 37(3):201–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2017.06.008
- Lichtenstein DA, Meziere GA (2008) Relevance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of acute respiratory failure: the BLUE protocol. Chest 134(1):117–125. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest .07-2800
- Perera P, Mailhot T, Riley D, Mandavia D (2010) The RUSH exam: rapid ultrasound in shock in the evaluation of the critically Ill. Emerg Med Clin N Am 28(1):29–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. emc.2009.09.010
- 18. Kirkpatrick AW, Sirois M, Laupland KB, Liu D, Rowan K, Ball CG, Hameed SM, Brown R, Simons R, Dulchavsky SA, Hamiilton

DR, Nicolaou S (2004) Hand-held thoracic sonography for detecting post-traumatic pneumothoraces: the extended focused assessment with sonography for trauma (EFAST). J Trauma 57(2):288–295. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ta.0000133565.88871 .e4

- Hernandez C, Shuler K, Hannan H, Sonyika C, Likourezos A, Marshall J (2008) CAUSE.: Cardiac arrest ultra-sound exam—a better approach to managing patients in primary nonarrhythmogenic cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 76(2):198–206. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2007.06.033
- Churpek MM, Zadravecz FJ, Winslow C, Howell MD, Edelson DP (2015) Incidence and prognostic value of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome and organ dysfunctions in ward patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 192(8):958–964. https://doi. org/10.1164/rccm.201502-0275OC
- 21. Maw AM, Hassanin A, Ho PM, McInnes MDF, Moss A, Juarez-Colunga E, Soni NJ, Miglioranza MH, Platz E, DeSanto K, Sertich AP, Salame G, Daugherty SL (2019) Diagnostic accuracy of point-of-care lung ultrasonography and chest radiography in adults with symptoms suggestive of acute decompensated heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open 2(3):e190703. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen .2019.0703
- 22. Pivetta E, Goffi A, Lupia E, Tizzani M, Porrino G, Ferreri E, Volpicelli G, Balzaretti P, Banderali A, Iacobucci A, Locatelli S, Casoli G, Stone MB, Maule MM, Baldi I, Merletti F, Cibinel GA, Ultrasound SGL (2015) Lung ultrasound-implemented diagnosis of acute decompensated heart failure in the ED A SIMEU multicenter study. Chest 148(1):202–210. https://doi.org/10.1378/ chest.14-2608
- Nazerian P, Volpicelli G, Vanni S, Gigli C, Betti L, Bartolucci M, Zanobetti M, Ermini FR, Iannello C, Grifoni S (2015) Accuracy of lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of consolidations when compared to chest computed tomography. Am J Emerg Med 33(5):620–625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2015.01.035
- 24. Ye X, Xiao H, Chen B, Zhang S (2015) Accuracy of lung ultrasonography versus chest radiography for the diagnosis of adult community-acquired pneumonia: review of the literature and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 10(6):e0130066. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130066
- Alrajhi K, Woo MY, Vaillancourt C (2012) Test characteristics of ultrasonography for the detection of pneumothorax: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Chest 141(3):703–708. https://doi. org/10.1378/chest.11-0131
- Nazerian P, Vanni S, Volpicelli G, Gigli C, Zanobetti M, Bartolucci M, Ciavattone A, Lamorte A, Veltri A, Fabbri A, Grifoni S (2014) Accuracy of point-of-care multiorgan ultrasonography for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Chest 145(5):950–957. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.13-1087
- Mathis G, Blank W, Reissig A, Lechleitner P, Reuss J, Schuler A, Beckh S (2005) Thoracic ultrasound for diagnosing pulmonary embolism: a prospective multicenter study of 352 patients. Chest 128(3):1531–1538. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.128.3.1531
- Lichtenstein D, Goldstein I, Mourgeon E, Cluzel P, Grenier P, Rouby JJ (2004) Comparative diagnostic performances of auscultation, chest radiography, and lung ultrasonography in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiology 100(1):9–15. https ://doi.org/10.1097/0000542-200401000-00006
- Yousefifard M, Baikpour M, Ghelichkhani P, Asady H, Shahsavari Nia K, Moghadas Jafari A, Hosseini M, Safari S (2016) Screening performance characteristic of ultrasonography and radiography in detection of pleural effusion; a meta-analysis. Emerg (Tehran) 4(1):1–10
- Staub LJ, Mazzali Biscaro RR, Kaszubowski E, Maurici R (2019) Lung ultrasound for the emergency diagnosis of pneumonia, acute heart failure, and exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary

disease/asthma in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Emerg Med 56(1):53–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemer med.2018.09.009

- Bekgoz B, Kilicaslan I, Bildik F, Keles A, Demircan A, Hakoglu O, Coskun G, Demir HA (2019) BLUE protocol ultrasonography in emergency department patients presenting with acute dyspnea. Am J Emerg Med. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2019.02.028
- 32. Laursen CB, Sloth E, Lassen AT, Christensen R, Lambrechtsen J, Madsen PH, Henriksen DP, Davidsen JR, Rasmussen F (2014) Point-of-care ultrasonography in patients admitted with respiratory symptoms: a single-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med 2(8):638–646. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213 -2600(14)70135-3
- 33. Volpicelli G, Elbarbary M, Blaivas M, Lichtenstein DA, Mathis G, Kirkpatrick AW, Melniker L, Gargani L, Noble VE, Via G, Dean A, Tsung JW, Soldati G, Copetti R, Bouhemad B, Reissig A, Agricola E, Rouby JJ, Arbelot C, Liteplo A, Sargsyan A, Silva F, Hoppmann R, Breitkreutz R, Seibel A, Neri L, Storti E, Petrovic T, International Liaison Committee on Lung Ultrasound for International Consensus Conference on Lung U (2012) International evidence-based recommendations for point-of-care lung ultrasound. Intensive Care Med 38(4):577–591. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-012-2513-4.
- Lichtenstein D (2013) FALLS-protocol: lung ultrasound in hemodynamic assessment of shock. Heart Lung Vessel 5(3):142–147
- 35. Atkinson P, Bowra J, Milne J, Lewis D, Lambert M, Jarman B, Noble VE, Lamprecht H, Harris T, Connolly J, members of the International Federation of Emergency Medicine Sonography in H, Cardiac Arrest working group RG, Kessler R, Raio C, Sierzenski P, b H, Pham C, Woo M, Olszynski P, Henneberry R, Frenkel O, Chenkin J, Hall G, Rang L, Valois M, Wurster C, Tutschka M, Arntfield R, Fischer J, Tessaro M, Bomann JS, Goudie A, Blecher G, Salter A, Rose M, Bystrzycki A, Dass S, Doran O, Large R, Poncia H, Murray A, Sadewasser J, Breitkreutz R, Toh HC, Cevik AA, Hu AS, Melniker L (2017) International federation for emergency medicine consensus statement: sonography in hypotension and cardiac arrest (SHoC): An international consensus on the use of point of care ultrasound for undifferentiated hypotension and during cardiac arrest-CORRIGENDUM. CJEM 19(4):327. https://doi.org/10.1017/ cem.2017.31
- 36. Jones AE, Tayal VS, Sullivan DM, Kline JA (2004) Randomized, controlled trial of immediate versus delayed goal-directed ultrasound to identify the cause of nontraumatic hypotension in emergency department patients. Crit Care Med 32(8):1703–1708. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ccm.0000133017.34137.82
- 37. Shokoohi H, Boniface KS, Pourmand A, Liu YJT, Davison DL, Hawkins KD, Buhumaid RE, Salimian M, Yadav K (2015) Bedside ultrasound reduces diagnostic uncertainty and guides resuscitation in patients with undifferentiated hypotension. Crit Care Med 43(12):2562–2569. https://doi.org/10.1097/Ccm.00000 00000001285
- 38. Atkinson PR, Milne J, Diegelmann L, Lamprecht H, Stander M, Lussier D, Pham C, Henneberry R, Fraser JM, Howlett MK, Mekwan J, Ramrattan B, Middleton J, van Hoving DJ, Peach M, Taylor L, Dahn T, Hurley S, MacSween K, Richardson LR, Stoica G, Hunter S, Olszynski PA, Lewis DA (2018) Does point-of-care ultrasonography improve clinical outcomes in emergency department patients with undifferentiated hypotension? An international randomized controlled trial from the SHoC-ED investigators. Ann Emerg Med 72(4):478–489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2018.04.002
- Andersen LW, Holmberg MJ, Berg KM, Donnino MW, Granfeldt A (2019) In-hospital cardiac arrest: a review. JAMA 321(12):1200–1210. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.1696

- 40. Soar J, Nolan JP, Bottiger BW, Perkins GD, Lott C, Carli P, Pellis T, Sandroni C, Skrifvars MB, Smith GB, Sunde K, Deakin CD, Adult advanced life support section C (2015) European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015: section 3 Adult advanced life support. Resuscitation 95:100–147. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.016
- Zengin S, Yavuz E, Al B, Cindoruk S, Altunbas G, Gumusboga H, Yildirim C (2016) Benefits of cardiac sonography performed by a non-expert sonographer in patients with non-traumatic cardiopulmonary arrest. Resuscitation 102:105–109. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.02.025
- 42. Gaspari R, Weekes A, Adhikari S, Noble VE, Nomura JT, Theodoro D, Woo M, Atkinson P, Blehar D, Brown SM, Caffery T, Douglass E, Fraser J, Haines C, Lam S, Lanspa M, Lewis M, Liebmann O, Limkakeng A, Lopez F, Platz E, Mendoza M, Minnigan H, Moore C, Novik J, Rang L, Scruggs W, Raio C (2016) Emergency department point-of-care ultrasound in outof-hospital and in-ED cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 109:33–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.09.018
- 43. Blaivas M, Fox JC (2001) Outcome in cardiac arrest patients found to have cardiac standstill on the bedside emergency department echocardiogram. Acad Emerg Med 8(6):616–621. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2001.tb00174.x
- Veld MAH, Allison MG, Bostick DS, Fisher KR, Goloubeva OG, Witting MD, Winters ME (2017) Ultrasound use during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is associated with delays in chest compressions. Resuscitation 119:95–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. resuscitation.2017.07.021
- 45. Fair J 3rd, Mallin MP, Adler A, Ockerse P, Steenblik J, Tonna J, Youngquist ST (2019) Transesophageal echocardiography during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is associated with shorter compression pauses compared with transthoracic echocardiography. Ann Emerg Med 73(6):610–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2019.01.018
- 46. Major R, Girling S, Boyle A (2011) Ultrasound measurement of optic nerve sheath diameter in patients with a clinical suspicion of raised intracranial pressure. Emerg Med J 28(8):679–681. https ://doi.org/10.1136/emj.2009.087353
- 47. Dubourg J, Javouhey E, Geeraerts T, Messerer M, Kassai B (2011) Ultrasonography of optic nerve sheath diameter for detection of raised intracranial pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intens Care Med 37(7):1059–1068. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00134-011-2224-2
- Tayal VS, Neulander M, Norton HJ, Foster T, Saunders T, Blaivas M (2007) Emergency department sonographic measurement of optic nerve sheath diameter to detect findings of increased intracranial pressure in adult head injury patients. Ann Emerg Med 49(4):508–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergme d.2006.06.040
- Gottlieb M, Holladay D, Peksa GD (2019) Ultrasound-assisted lumbar punctures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acad Emerg Med 26(1):85–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.13558
- Montrief T, Alerhand S, Jewell C, Scott J (2019) Incorporation of transcranial doppler into the ED for the neurocritical care patient. Am J Emerg Med 37(6):1144–1152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajem.2019.03.003
- Wallbridge PD, Joosten SA, Hannan LM, Steinfort DP, Irving L, Goldin J, Hew M (2017) A prospective cohort study of thoracic ultrasound in acute respiratory failure: the C3PO protocol. JRSM Open 8(5):2054270417695055. https://doi.org/10.1177/20542 70417695055
- Breitkreutz R, Campo Dell Orto M, Hamm C, Cuca C, Zechner PM, Stenger T, Walcher F, Seeger FH (2013) Does the integration of personalized ultrasound change patient management in critical care medicine? Observational trials. Emerg Med Int 2013:946059. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/946059

- 53. Dharmarajan K, Hsieh AF, Kulkarni VT, Lin ZQ, Ross JS, Horwitz LI, Kim N, Suter LG, Lin HQ, Normand SLT, Krumholz HM (2015) Trajectories of risk after hospitalization for heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, or pneumonia: retrospective cohort study. Bmj-Br Med J. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmj.h411
- 54. Pivetta E, Goffi A, Nazerian P, Castagno D, Tozzetti C, Tizzani P, Tizzani M, Porrino G, Ferreri E, Busso V, Morello F, Paglieri C, Masoero M, Cassine E, Bovaro F, Grifoni S, Maule MM, Lupia E, Study Group on Lung Ultrasound from the M, Careggi H (2019) Lung ultrasound integrated with clinical assessment for the diagnosis of acute decompensated heart failure in the emergency department: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Heart Fail 21(6):754–766. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1379
- 55. Volpicelli G, Caramello V, Cardinale L, Mussa A, Bar F, Frascisco MF (2008) Bedside ultrasound of the lung for the monitoring of acute decompensated heart failure. Am J Emerg Med 26(5):585–591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2007.09.014
- 56. Platz E, Campbell RT, Claggett B, Lewis EF, Groarke JD, Docherty KF, Lee MMY, Merz AA, Silverman M, Swamy V, Lindner M, Rivero J, Solomon SD, McMurray JJV (2019) Lung ultrasound in acute heart failure: prevalence of pulmonary congestion and short- and long-term outcomes. JACC Heart Fail 7(10):849–858. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchf.2019.07.008
- 57. Coiro S, Porot G, Rossignol P, Ambrosio G, Carluccio E, Tritto I, Huttin O, Lemoine S, Sadoul N, Donal E, Zannad F, Girerd N (2016) Prognostic value of pulmonary congestion assessed by lung ultrasound imaging during heart failure hospitalisation: a two-centre cohort study. Sci Rep 6:39426. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39426
- Long E, Oakley E, Duke T, Babl FE (2017) Does respiratory variation in inferior vena cava diameter predict fluid responsiveness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Shock 47(5):550–559. https://doi.org/10.1097/Shk.000000000000000 1
- 59. Razi R, Estrada JR, Doll J, Spencer KT (2011) Bedside handcarried ultrasound by internal medicine residents versus traditional clinical assessment for the identification of systolic dysfunction in patients admitted with decompensated heart failure. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 24(12):1319–1324. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.echo.2011.07.013
- 60. Vourvouri EC, Koroleva LY, Ten Cate FJ, Poldermans D, Schinkel AF, van Domburg RT, Vletter WB, Roelandt JR (2003) Clinical utility and cost effectiveness of a personal ultrasound imager for cardiac evaluation during consultation rounds in patients with suspected cardiac disease. Heart 89(7):727–730. https://doi.org/10.1136/heart.89.7.727
- 61. Rivas-Lasarte M, Alvarez-Garcia J, Fernandez-Martinez J, Maestro A, Lopez-Lopez L, Sole-Gonzalez E, Pirla MJ, Mesado N, Mirabet S, Fluvia P, Brossa V, Sionis A, Roig E, Cinca J (2019) Lung ultrasound-guided treatment in ambulatory patients with heart failure: a randomized controlled clinical trial (LUS-HF study). Eur J Heart Fail. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1604
- Favot M, Courage C, Ehrman R, Khait L, Levy P (2016) Strain echocardiography in acute cardiovascular diseases. West J Emerg Med 17(1):54–60. https://doi.org/10.5811/westj em.2015.12.28521
- 63. Metlay JP, Kapoor WN, Fine MJ (1997) Does this patient have community-acquired pneumonia? Diagnosing pneumonia by history and physical examination. Jama J Am Med Assoc 278(17):1440–1445. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.278.17.1440
- 64. Mayo PH, Copetti R, Feller-Kopman D, Mathis G, Maury E, Mongodi S, Mojoli F, Volpicelli G, Zanobetti M (2019) Thoracic ultrasonography: a narrative review. Intensive Care

Med 45(9):1200–1211. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05725-8

- 65. Buhumaid RE, St-Cyr Bourque J, Shokoohi H, Ma IWY, Longacre M, Liteplo AS (2019) Integrating point-of-care ultrasound in the ED evaluation of patients presenting with chest pain and shortness of breath. Am J Emerg Med 37(2):298– 303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.10.059
- 66. Mandavia DP, Hoffner RJ, Mahaney K, Henderson SO (2001) Bedside echocardiography by emergency physicians. Ann Emerg Med 38(4):377–382. https://doi.org/10.1067/ mem.2001.118224
- Lee JH, Lee SH, Yun SJ (2019) Comparison of 2-point and 3-point point-of-care ultrasound techniques for deep vein thrombosis at the emergency department A meta-analysis. Medicine. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000015791
- Zanobetti M, Scorpiniti M, Gigli C, Nazerian P, Vanni S, Innocenti F, Stefanone VT, Savinelli C, Coppa A, Bigiarini S, Caldi F, Tassinari I, Conti A, Grifoni S, Pini R (2017) Pointof-care ultrasonography for evaluation of acute dyspnea in the ED. Chest 151(6):1295–1301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest .2017.02.003
- Nishigami K (2018) Update on cardiovascular echo in aortic aneurysm and dissection. Ann Vasc Dis 11(4):437–442. https ://doi.org/10.3400/avd.ra.18-00112
- 70. Wong C, Teitge B, Ross M, Young P, Robertson HL, Lang E (2018) The accuracy and prognostic value of point-of-care ultrasound for nephrolithiasis in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acad Emerg Med 25(6):684–698. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.13388
- Smith-Bindman R, Aubin C, Bailitz J, Bengiamin RN, Camargo CA Jr, Corbo J, Dean AJ, Goldstein RB, Griffey RT, Jay GD, Kang TL, Kriesel DR, Ma OJ, Mallin M, Manson W, Melnikow J, Miglioretti DL, Miller SK, Mills LD, Miner JR, Moghadassi M, Noble VE, Press GM, Stoller ML, Valencia VE, Wang J, Wang RC, Cummings SR (2014) Ultrasonography versus computed tomography for suspected nephrolithiasis. N Engl J Med 371(12):1100–1110. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1404 446
- Verbrugge FH, Damman K, Tang WHW (2018) Diuretics in cardiorenal syndrome: what's new? Intensive Care Med 44(3):359–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-017-4834-9
- Brass P, Hellmich M, Kolodziej L, Schick G, Smith AF (2015) Ultrasound guidance versus anatomical landmarks for internal jugular vein catheterization. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 1:6962. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006962.pub2
- Mercaldi CJ, Lanes SF (2013) Ultrasound guidance decreases complications and improves the cost of care among patients undergoing thoracentesis and paracentesis. Chest 143(2):532– 538. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.12-0447
- Kimura BJ, Sliman SM, Waalen J, Amundson SA, Shaw DJ (2016) Retention of ultrasound skills and training in "point-ofcare" cardiac ultrasound. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 29(10):992– 997. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2016.05.013
- Baston CM, Wallace P, Chan W, Dean AJ, Panebianco N (2019) Innovation through collaboration: creation of a combined emergency and internal medicine point-of-care ultrasound fellowship. J Ultrasound Med 38(8):2209–2215. https://doi. org/10.1002/jum.14908
- 77. Torres-Macho J, Aro T, Bruckner I, Cogliati C, Gilja OH, Gurghean A, Karlafti E, Krsek M, Monhart Z, Muller-Marbach A, Neves J, Sabio R, Serra C, Smallwood N, Tana C, Uyaroglu OA, Von Wowern F, Bosch FH, Group Esuw (2019) Pointof-care ultrasound in internal medicine: a position paper by the ultrasound working group of the European federation of internal medicine. Eur J Intern Med. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ejim.2019.11.016

- Olgers TJ, Azizi N, Blans MJ, Bosch FH, Gans ROB, Ter Maaten JC (2019) Point-of-care Ultrasound (PoCUS) for the internist in Acute Medicine: a uniform curriculum. Neth J Med 77(5):168–176
- 79. Maw A, Jalali C, Jannat-Khah D, Gudi K, Logio L, Evans A, Anderson S, Smith J (2016) Faculty development in point of care ultrasound for internists. Med Educ Online 21:33287. https ://doi.org/10.3402/meo.v21.33287
- Gargani L (2019) Ultrasound of the lungs: more than a room with a view. Heart Fail Clin 15(2):297–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. hfc.2018.12.010

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.