

Archive ouverte UNIGE

https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch

Article
scientifique

Commentaire

2023

Accepted Open
version Access

This is an author manuscript post-peer-reviewing (accepted version) of the original publication. The layout of the published version may differ .

Should we be so radical as to overlook facts?

Blay, Martin; Goncalves P Lemos Duarte, Miguel Angelo; Charbon, Patrick; Poulet, Emmanuel; Debbané, Martin; Weibel, Sébastien; Perroud, Nader Ali; Speranza, Mario

How to cite

BLAY, Martin et al. Should we be so radical as to overlook facts? In: Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2023, vol. 116, n° 7, p. 228. doi: 10.1177/01410768231181252

This publication URL: https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:174898

Publication DOI: 10.1177/01410768231181252

© This document is protected by copyright. Please refer to copyright holder(s) for terms of use.

Full title: Should we be so radical as to overlook facts? A response to Mulder and Tyrer

Short title: A response to Mulder and Tyrer

Authors: Martin Blay^{1*}, Miguel Duarte³, Patrick Charbon³, Emmanuel Poulet^{2,5}, Martin Debbané^{6,7}, Sébastien Weibel^{8,9}, Nader Perroud^{3,4}, Mario Speranza^{10,11}

Affiliations:

- ADDIPSY, Outpatient Addictology and Psychiatry Center, Santé Basque Développement group, Lyon, France
- Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, INSERM, Centre de Recherche en Neurosciences de Lyon CRNL U1028 UMR5292, PSYR2, F-69500, Bron, France
- 3. Service of Psychiatric Specialties, Department of Psychiatry, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 4. Department of Psychiatry, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
- 5. Psychiatry Crisis Unit, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France
- 6. Developmental Clinical Psychology Research Unit, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Geneva, Switzerland
- 7. Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, United Kingdom
- 8. Department of Psychiatry, University Hospitals of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France
- 9. Inserm u1114, Strasbourg, France
- 10. Versailles Hospital Center, University Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Le Chesnay, France
- 11. Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, INSERM, Centre de recherche en Epidémiologie et Santé des Populations Team "DevPsy", 94807, Villejuif, France

Corresponding author: Dr. Martin Blay, ADDIPSY, 164 avenue Jean Jaurès, 69007, Lyon, martin.blay5@gmail.com.

Competing interests: All authors are therapists and/or trainers in evidence-based psychotherapies for borderline personality disorder (dialectical behavioral therapy, mentalization-based therapy, transference-focused psychotherapy, good psychiatric management).

Funding: None.

Ethical approval: Not applicable.

Guarantor: MB.

Contributorship: MB wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All the other authors reviewed and modified every version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: None.

Keywords: borderline personality disorder; personality disorders; dimensional; debate

Text

Mulder and Tyrer recently published a pamphlet against borderline personality disorder (BPD). Although the authors put forward key points for improvement, their hardline runs the risk throwing the baby out with the bathwater. Despite its flaws, the BPD diagnosis has stimulated increased interest in personality disorders, and scientific research that shouldn't be hastily disqualified. Theories and research have developed specialized treatments which, from a conservative standpoint, yield superiority to treatment as usual (1); this is not the case for dimensional approaches suggested as a solution by the authors. The BPD framework further offers possibilities in terms of psychoeducation, for both patients (by providing a clear conceptualization of their everyday experiences) and caregivers (by helping to develop more accurate understanding and empathy of their experience). Although we agree that BPD diagnosis can be associated with stigma, no evidence suggests the stigma is superior to that found for other diagnoses, such as ADHD. Is ADHD another faulty diagnosis? Thus, if health care professionals misuse the BPD diagnosis, the culprit is the quality of continued education, and the support they receive in the face of severe emotional dysregulation, rather than a spurious diagnosis that would stimulate maltreatment from mental health professionals. Studies show that providing generalist knowledge regarding BPD decreases the tendency to avoid and dislike patients diagnosed with BPD (2). Communication is central to fight against BPD stigmatization, and that's why we would call to strengthen training whilst better science matures, rather than shame a diagnosis and disqualify the science employing its framework. A less polarized position would be more helpful in building from the knowledge base gained to date to improve the management of patients with severe and chronic psychological pain which the BPD diagnosis imperfectly attempts to characterize.

References

- Storebø OJ, Stoffers-Winterling JM, Völlm BA, Kongerslev MT, Mattivi JT, Jørgensen MS, et al. Psychological therapies for people with borderline personality disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 4 mai 2020;2020(11).
- Keuroghlian AS, Palmer BA, Choi-Kain LW, Borba CPC, Links PS, Gunderson JG. The Effect of Attending Good Psychiatric Management (GPM) Workshops on Attitudes Toward Patients With Borderline Personality Disorder. Journal of Personality Disorders. août 2016;30(4):567-76.