

Archive ouverte UNIGE

https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch

Article scientifique

Editorial

2017

Published version

Open Access

This is the published version of the publication, made available in accordance with the publisher's policy.

Interaction of Vascular Damage and Alzheimer Dementia: Focal Damage and Disconnection

Haller, Sven; Barkhof, Frederik

How to cite

HALLER, Sven, BARKHOF, Frederik. Interaction of Vascular Damage and Alzheimer Dementia: Focal Damage and Disconnection. In: Radiology, 2017, vol. 282, n° 2, p. 311–313. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2016161564

This publication URL: https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:145427

Publication DOI: <u>10.1148/radiol.2016161564</u>

© The author(s). This work is licensed under a Other Open Access license https://www.unige.ch/biblio/aou/fr/guide/info/references/licences/

Interaction of Vascular Damage and Alzheimer Dementia: Focal

Damage and Disconnection¹

Sven Haller, MD, MSc Frederik Barkhof, MD, PhD

ementia is a major health and socioeconomic problem with everincreasing prevalence due to the increasing age of the population (1). Alzheimer disease (AD) and vascular dementia are both common disorders in the elderly, and although they are commonly co-occurring, they are generally considered to be separate nosologic entities. Neuroimaging biomarkers have evolved considerably over the past decade and demonstrate new insights into disease mechanisms in dementia. Of particular interest is the evolving view of interaction between pathophysiological mechanisms in AD and vascular dementia, as demonstrated with neuroimaging.

Imaging Biomarkers for "Pure" AD

Large-scale databases, such as the Alzheimer Dementia Neuroimaging Initiative (2), provide detailed and sophisticated imaging biomarkers, including structural magnetic resonance (MR) imaging measures such as hippocampal volume, structural connectivity derived from diffusion-tensor imaging (DTI), functional connectivity derived from functional MR imaging, and perfusion from arterial spin labeling, as well as molecular imaging biomarkers such as amyloid and tau positron emission tomography. Many AD studies, notably the Alzheimer Dementia Neuroimaging Initiative, have excluded patients with vascular pathology findings, which is a good choice if one wants to study disease mechanisms in patients with "pure" AD. However, since vascular pathologic processes are common in the elderly and increase with age, there is a concern that such studies in fact do not include "normal" control subjects but rather "super-normal" control subjects and patients with "pure" AD rather than "typical" AD. One might therefore critically question how well these biomarkers perform in a realworld scenario with mixed pathologic processes. REVIEWS AND COMMENTARY **EDITORIAL**

Common Risk Factors for AD and Vascular Dementia

There is increasing awareness that AD and vascular dementia share many risk factors—notably vascular risk factors (3). At the same time, considering the amyloid cascade hypothesis as the unique pathway to AD (4) is increasingly being questioned (5,6). There is growing interest in the role of other contributing factors, such as nutrition, exercise, lifestyle, and-in particulartraditional vascular risk factors, such as obesity, hypertension, or diabetes in dementia, on the basis of evidence from human clinical studies (7,8), postmortem human studies (9,10), and animal models (11). The presence of white matter hyperintensities on MR images, which reflects vascular damage, can be used to predict, for example, future cognitive decline and diagnosis of AD (12) and represents an early and independent predictor of AD risk (13). This suggests that vascular damage is an additional factor that contributes to the development of AD, either by accelerating amyloid deposition or by independently invoking downstream events, such as formation of neurofibrillary tangles and neuronal loss.

Existing Imaging Biomarkers for Vascular Dementia

As the interest in the vascular contribution to dementia grows (1,14), there is also increasing need for imaging biomarkers for pathologic processes induced by vascular disease and risk factors. While, as discussed earlier, imaging biomarkers for neurodegenerative and in particular AD pathologic processes have become progressively

Published online

10.1148/radiol.2016161564 Content codes: NR VA



Radiology 2017; 282:311-313

¹ From the Affidea Centre de Diagnostic Radiologique de Carouge (CDRC), Geneva, Switzerland (S.H.); Department of Surgical Sciences, Division of Radiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden (S.H.); Department of Neuroradiology, University Hospital Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany (S.H.); Faculty of Medicine of the University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland (S.H.); Department of Radiology & Nuclear Medicine and PET Research, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (F.B.); and Institutes of Neurology and Healthcare Engineering, University College London, London, England (F.B.). Received July 5, 2016; revision requested July 6; revision received July 6; accepted July 7; final version accepted July 7. **Address correspondence to** S.H. (e-mail: sven.haller@me.com).

Conflicts of interest are listed at the end of this article.

See also the article by Meng et al in this issue.

© RSNA, 2017

refined and sophisticated over the past decade, imaging biomarkers for vascular damage have evolved less. Acute ischemic lesions can be visualized by using diffusion imaging, and chronic infarcts can be identified with standard MR imaging pulse sequences. The association between visible ischemic lesion burden and cognitive impairment, however, is only modest (15,16).

Many studies have focused on focal white matter hyperintensities on fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery, or FLAIR, MR images, often rated by using simple visual scales like the Fazekas score reported in 1987 (17) or the more refined Scheltens score described in 1993 (18). In clinical practice, both scores provide simple visual rating scores of the global white matter hyperintensity load on T2-weighted or FLAIR images, which correlates with global functional decline in elderly patients (19), dementia, stroke, and death (20). Since the brain has strict spatial organization, it is not surprising that such global white matter hyperintensity scores do not correlate well with specific neuropsychological deficits, as we may expect that specific neuropsychological deficits are related to lesions in specific anatomic locations. Moreover, histopathologic-radiologic correlation demonstrates that T2-weighted or FLAIR MR imaging may lead to overestimation of pathologic demyelination in the periventricular region but underestimation of demyelination in the deep white matter region, presumably owing to the higher local water concentration in the periventricular region and the increasing plasma leakage during aging (21). This is paralleled by higher clinical relevance of white matter hyperintensities in the deep white matter (22,23). Moreover, cortical microinfarcts are another manifestation of small-vessel disease and are increasingly visualized by using high-field and ultra-high-field MR imaging (24).

To overcome the limitations of conventional pulse sequences (and simple visual rating scales), there is a need for quantitative imaging biomarkers of vascular pathology findings beyond visible lesions to assess, in more detail,

the effect of concomitant vascular and neurodegenerative pathology findings on cognitive decline. Other studies indicate that DTI may demonstrate much more widespread damage in patients with vascular impairment (25,26).

White Matter Skeleton DTI: A New Imaging Biomarker of Subcortical Disconnection for Vascular Cognitive Disorder

In this issue of Radiology, Meng et al (27) studied the effect of vascular damage on cognition in patients with carotid stenosis and propose a novel imaging biomarker for vascular pathology findings on the basis of DTI. The DTI data were processed by using the FSL (FMRIB [Functional MR Imaging of the Brain] Software Library; http:// fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/) software package, and the mean diffusivity within the white matter skeleton was identified as the best-performing imaging biomarker to predict probable vascular cognitive disorder (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, 0.82; 95% confidence interval: 0.75, 0.90). In contrast to manually outlining focal white matter hyperintensity lesions (or more global Fazekas or Scheltens scores), this novel mean diffusivity white matter skeleton biomarker is an operator-independent biomarker that provides a continuous and absolute value, taking into account subcortical disconnection of structural neural networks, and clearly outperformed the classic lesion probability maps, indicating that damage is much more widespread than would appear to the naked eye. Of note, this novel biomarker was successful in the absence and the presence of presumed comorbid Alzheimer pathologic processes, assessed as presence of medial-temporal lobe atrophy (no data available on amyloid status). This indicates that this novel vascular biomarker can be successfully applied even in the presence of comorbid neurodegenerative pathologic processes.

As illustrated in Figure 3 in the study of Meng et al (27), the global cognitive status correlated with diffuse mean diffusivity alterations that occurred across the entire white matter skeleton throughout the brain, while fluency—as an example of a specific cognitive function-was related to more localized mean diffusivity alterations in the forceps minor and the anterior part of the corpus callosum. This indicates that the results of the study by Meng et al could be extended by generating multiple functionally specific regional skeleton masks instead of one whole-brain skeletonfor example, reflecting the established default mode network, working memory network, and executive control network. Such specific subskeletons would likely improve the specificity of the findings with regard to given neuropsychological tasks. Other extensions could be to derive DTI-based graph measures in which the whole brain is treated as a network.

Toward Integrated Imaging Biomarkers of Vascular and Neurodegenerative Pathology Findings

The results of Meng et al (27) suggest again that concomitant vascular and primary neurodegenerative pathologic processes are independent; others have suggested they may even be supra-additive (28)—that is, the combined effect of both vascular and neurodegenerative pathologic processes is more pronounced than the simple linear addition of the two effects. This reinforces the notion that both vascular and neurodegenerative pathologic processes should be carefully assessed in cognitive decline and that there is a need in particular for novel and more precise vascular imaging biomarkers such as the DTI-derived skeleton mean diffusivity suggested by Meng et al (27), not only in vascular dementia but also in "typical AD," which is likely to be affected by both vascular and neurodegerative pathologic processes. In fact, vascular damage may accelerate the AD pathologic process and therefore contribute to cognitive impairment directly and indirectly (1) and deserves more attention in the (secondary) prevention and treatment of patients with cognitive decline, including those with AD and those suspected of having AD.

Disclosures of Conflicts of Interest: S.H. disclosed no relevant relationships. F.B. Activities related to the present article: disclosed no relevant relationships. Activities not related to the present article: author received payment and honoraria from Bayer-Schering Pharma and Genzyme for consulting; author and institution received payment from Biogen-Idec, TEVA, Merck-Serono, Novartis, Roche, Synthon BV, and Jansen Research for consulting; institution received payment from Biogen-Idec for educational presentations; author received payment from IXICO for educational presentations. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships.

References

- Winblad B, Amouyel P, Andrieu S, et al. Defeating Alzheimer's disease and other dementias: a priority for European science and society. Lancet Neurol 2016;15(5):455-532.
- Jack CR Jr, Bernstein MA, Fox NC, et al. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI): MRI methods. J Magn Reson Imaging 2008;27(4):685–691.
- Saito S, Ihara M. Interaction between cerebrovascular disease and Alzheimer pathology. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2016;29(2):168– 173
- Karran E, Mercken M, De Strooper B. The amyloid cascade hypothesis for Alzheimer's disease: an appraisal for the development of therapeutics. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2011;10(9):698-712.
- Herrup K. The case for rejecting the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Nat Neurosci 2015;18(6):794-799.
- Scheltens P, Blennow K, Breteler MM, et al. Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 2016 Feb 23. [Epub ahead of print]
- Lee S, Viqar F, Zimmerman ME, et al. White matter hyperintensities are a core feature of Alzheimer's disease: evidence from the dominantly inherited Alzheimer network. Ann Neurol 2016;79(6):929–939.
- Guzman VA, Carmichael OT, Schwarz C, et al. White matter hyperintensities and amyloid are independently associated with entorhinal cortex volume among individuals with mild cognitive impairment. Alzheimers Dement 2013;9(5 Suppl):S124–S131.

- De Reuck J, Deramecourt V, Cordonnier C, et al. The incidence of post-mortem neurodegenerative and cerebrovascular pathology in mixed dementia. J Neurol Sci 2016;366:164–166.
- Gutierrez J, Honig L, Elkind MS, et al. Brain arterial aging and its relationship to Alzheimer dementia. Neurology 2016;86(16):1507-1515.
- Madigan JB, Wilcock DM, Hainsworth AH. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: topical review of animal models. Stroke 2016;47(7):1953–1959.
- Brickman AM. Contemplating Alzheimer's disease and the contribution of white matter hyperintensities. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2013;13(12):415.
- Mortamais M, Artero S, Ritchie K. White matter hyperintensities as early and independent predictors of Alzheimer's disease risk. J Alzheimers Dis 2014;42(Suppl 4):S393–S400.
- Kapasi A, Schneider JA. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment, clinical Alzheimer's disease, and dementia in older persons. Biochim Biophys Acta 2016;1862(5):878–886.
- Schiemanck SK, Kwakkel G, Post MW, Prevo AJ. Predictive value of ischemic lesion volume assessed with magnetic resonance imaging for neurological deficits and functional outcome poststroke: a critical review of the literature. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2006;20(4):492–502.
- Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Vrooman HA, et al. White matter microstructural integrity and cognitive function in a general elderly population. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2009;66(5):545-553.
- Fazekas F, Chawluk JB, Alavi A, Hurtig HI, Zimmerman RA. MR signal abnormalities at 1.5 T in Alzheimer's dementia and normal aging. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1987;149(2):351–356.
- Scheltens P, Barkhof F, Leys D, et al. A semiquantative rating scale for the assessment of signal hyperintensities on magnetic resonance imaging. J Neurol Sci 1993;114(1):7-12.
- Inzitari D, Simoni M, Pracucci G, et al. Risk of rapid global functional decline in elderly

- patients with severe cerebral age-related white matter changes: the LADIS study. Arch Intern Med 2007;167(1):81–88.
- Debette S, Markus HS. The clinical importance of white matter hyperintensities on brain magnetic resonance imaging: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;341:c3666.
- Haller S, Kövari E, Herrmann FR, et al. Do brain T2/FLAIR white matter hyperintensities correspond to myelin loss in normal aging? A radiologic-neuropathologic correlation study. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2013;1:14.
- Debette S, Bombois S, Bruandet A, et al. Subcortical hyperintensities are associated with cognitive decline in patients with mild cognitive impairment. Stroke 2007;38(11):2924–2930.
- de Groot JC, de Leeuw FE, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Jolles J, Breteler MM. Cerebral white matter lesions and depressive symptoms in elderly adults. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000;57(11):1071–1076.
- 24. Gouw AA1, Seewann A, van der Flier WM, Barkhof F, Rozemuller AM, Scheltens P, Geurts JJ. Heterogeneity of small vessel disease: a systematic review of MRI and histopathology correlations. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2011 Feb;82(2):126–135.
- Jokinen H, Schmidt R, Ropele S, et al. Diffusion changes predict cognitive and functional outcome: the LADIS study. Ann Neurol 2013;73(5):576–583.
- van Norden AG, de Laat KF, van Dijk EJ, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging and cognition in cerebral small vessel disease: the RUN DMC study. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012;1822(3):401–407.
- Meng D, Hosseini AA, Simpson RJ, et al. Lesion topography and microscopic white matter tract damage contribute to cognitive impairment in symptomatic carotid artery disease. Radiology 2016;282(2):502–515.
- Bennett IJ, Madden DJ. Disconnected aging: cerebral white matter integrity and agerelated differences in cognition. Neuroscience 2014;276:187–205.