



Article
scientifique

Commentaire

2016

Published
version

Open
Access

This is the published version of the publication, made available in accordance with the publisher's policy.

Community-acquired pneumonia: the elusive quest for the best treatment strategy

Garin, Nicolas; Marti, Christophe Alberic

How to cite

GARIN, Nicolas, MARTI, Christophe Alberic. Community-acquired pneumonia: the elusive quest for the best treatment strategy. In: Journal of thoracic disease, 2016, vol. 8, n° 7, p. E571–E574. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.05.13

This publication URL: <https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:163050>

Publication DOI: [10.21037/jtd.2016.05.13](https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2016.05.13)

Community-acquired pneumonia: the elusive quest for the best treatment strategy

Nicolas Garin^{1,2}, Christophe Marti¹

¹Division of General Internal Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland; ²Division of Internal Medicine, Regional Hospital Riviera-Chablais, Monthey, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Nicolas Garin, MD. Division of Internal Medicine, Hôpital Riviera-Chablais, Route de Morgins 54, 1870 Monthey, Switzerland. Email: Nicolas.Garin@hopitalrivierachablais.ch; Christophe Marti, MD. Division of General Internal Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals, Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil 4, 1211 Genève 14, Switzerland. Email: christophe.marti@hcuge.ch.

Submitted Apr 06, 2016. Accepted for publication Apr 06, 2016.

doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.05.13

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2016.05.13>

Introduction

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is a frequent disease which can be fatal and has a heavy impact on resources use. The introduction of penicillin more than seventy years ago led to an impressive drop in the mortality rate in severe disease. A diminution of mortality in milder disease is less apparent, but appropriate antibiotics lead to a reduction of symptoms duration and of hospital resources use, which are important outcomes on a patient and societal perspective. However, despite previous works, some aspects of antibiotic treatment for this common condition remain unsettled.

In their systematic search recently issued in the *JAMA* (1), Lee *et al.* aimed to summarize current knowledge on three key questions: does earlier antibiotic treatment lead to beneficial outcomes; is empiric coverage of typical and atypical pathogens through use of a betalactam and macrolide combination (BLM) or a fluoroquinolone monotherapy (FQ) better than a betalactam monotherapy (BL); and how can clinicians assess that a patient is ready to switch to oral treatment. They included randomized controlled trials (RCT) and observational studies recruiting predominantly patients hospitalized on the ward and in which antibiotics included in the 2007 American Thoracic Society (ATS)/ Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines were used (2). To be included, observational studies had to provide outcomes adjusted for severity of the disease or for age and co-morbidities.

Optimal time to antibiotic initiation

Diagnosing pneumonia is not straightforward, as neither clinical findings nor blood tests are specific. Even chest radiography is probably an imperfect gold standard (3). Clinicians must balance between the delay needed to secure the diagnosis, and early administration of antibiotics for prognostic reasons. In 2003, the IDSA recommended early (<4 hours) administration of antibiotics in CAP (4), and this process of care has been adopted as an indicator of quality of care in North America. Nevertheless, further studies failed to confirm the association between early antibiotic administration and 30-day mortality and suggested that the prognostic advantage described in earlier studies was potentially due to residual confounding (5,6). Lee *et al.* identified eight studies reporting the association between the time to initiate antibiotics and patient outcomes. Four out of five retrospective studies reported statistically significant associations between the time to initiate antibiotics and short-term mortality with a 5% to 46% relative risk reduction (7-10). However, these studies were limited by their retrospective design based on medical records limiting the number of variables available for adjustment. In the largest retrospective study, early antibiotic administration was associated with a 5% relative risk reduction of 30-day mortality, while smoking cessation counseling, pneumococcal vaccination and influenza vaccination were all associated with a relative risk reduction of 30-day mortality over 20% (8). The biological plausibility of these latter associations and their causal relation are

unlikely. The three prospective studies failed to show a significant association between early antibiotic treatment and patient outcomes (5,6,11). These results might stem from a lack of power due to their smaller sample size or from more accurate adjustment for confounding factors. For instance, in one of these studies (11), altered mental status or atypical presentation were strongly associated both with late administration of antibiotics and 30-day mortality and may represent residual sources of confounding in retrospective studies.

In conclusion, the relationship between the time to antibiotic administration and patient outcomes in CAP is probably complex, since delayed administration of antibiotics is probably an indicator of patient characteristics, illness presentation, and quality of care. The evidence supporting a causal relation between time to antibiotic administration and patient outcomes is low and the potential benefit of early antibiotic treatment may be balanced by an increase in CAP misdiagnosis and antibiotic overuse (12,13). These uncertainties have led the IDSA to de-emphasize the importance of the time to antibiotic administration in their more recent guidelines and to recommend the administration of the first antibiotic dose while the patient is still in the emergency department, without time specification (2).

Initial antibiotic selection

Multiple pathogens, including typical bacterias, atypical intracellular bacterias, and virus, can cause CAP. However, they cannot be differentiated on clinical ground; mixed infections including more than one pathogen do occur; and point of care testing is available for a limited number of pathogens. Consequently, the initial treatment is most often empiric. As CAP is a common condition, the choice of the initial treatment can have large repercussions on the societal level, through the selection pressure exerted by antibiotic prescription.

The authors identified two RCTs and nine observational studies. The latter included patients from 1993 to 2011 and were conducted quasi exclusively in European countries and in the United States. The two RCTs were conducted in Europe. For the comparison between BLM and BL, all observational studies except one found an adjusted odd ratio for short-term mortality in favor of BLM. The two RCTs diverged in their results, one favoring BLM and the other BL, though results of both studies were not statistically significant. Results were more consistent for studies

comparing FQ and BL. Three observational studies were in favor of FQ, as was the only RCT including a FQ arm, though results for the latter were not statistically significant. Based principally on the results of the observational studies, and considering the discordant results of the two RCTs, the authors of the systematic review recommended that a combination of a macrolide with a betalactam, or a fluoroquinolone monotherapy should be preferred as empirical options for CAP.

The quality of the review conducted by Lee *et al.* is excellent, and their conclusions are coherent with the data presented. However, some considerations should prevent us to reject definitely the betalactam monotherapy as an empiric treatment option in patients with CAP.

First, the inferiority of betalactam monotherapy is inferred predominantly from observational studies. Inclusion of observational studies in systematic reviews of interventions is acceptable if confounding by indication can be controlled, i.e., potential confounders are well identified and adequately measured, allowing adjustment for imbalances between arms (14). Compared with patients treated with combination therapy or with a fluoroquinolone, patients treated with a monotherapy of betalactam are generally older, come more frequently from nursing homes, and have more co-morbidities (15-17). Mortality in CAP is also highly correlated with functional status (18,19), a characteristic that is rarely documented in observational studies. As none of the cited observational studies could adjust for this important variable, residual confounding could still be present.

Secondly, none of the observational studies reported on side effects of the different treatments. One of the RCTs found a higher incidence of adverse events in the BLM arm. Cardiac toxicity has been reported with macrolides and fluoroquinolones, a matter of concern as patients hospitalized for CAP are often older people with cardiac comorbidity, and pneumonia itself is a trigger for cardiac events (20). Thirdly, the impact on the selection of resistant pathogens of BLM and FQ is probably higher than for BL. Macrolides select for multidrug resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (21) and Fluoroquinolones for extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing bacteria (22,23). Finally, all the available evidence on this topic comes from Europe and the United States. Whether the results are directly applicable in other parts of the world where distribution of pathogens and resistance patterns differ remains hypothetical (24).

Criteria for the transition from intravenous to oral therapy

For patients admitted to the hospital, the antibiotic treatment is most of the time delivered intravenously. The decision to switch to oral therapy is important to minimize hospital stay. Lee *et al.* focused on trials comparing step-down to oral therapy based on objective criteria with fixed 7 to 10 days duration of intravenous treatment. In one single high quality RCT, switching to oral therapy at day 3 if patients were clinically stable was equivalent to a full course of IV antibiotics. Patients in the oral switch arm had a shorter length of stay (mean difference 1.9 days).

As few clinicians nowadays would use the intravenous route for the full length of the treatment, the size of the benefit is somehow artificially inflated. However, the message is important and timely switch to oral therapy guided by normalization of vital parameters can decrease considerably length of stay and hospital costs even in routine contemporary practice (25).

Conclusions

Early administration of antibiotics in CAP is associated with a lower mortality in low-quality observational studies. Respiratory fluoroquinolones or combination of a betalactam with a macrolide seem superior to betalactam monotherapy as empiric treatment strategies, but this conclusion is based predominantly on low quality evidence. More research focusing on toxicity of the different treatments and selection of resistant pathogens is needed; large studies should be conducted to determine the impact of the empiric antibiotic strategies outside Europe and the United States. Early switch to oral therapy is safe in patients with clinical stability and leads to shorter hospital stay.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Provenance: This is an invited Commentary commissioned by the Section Editor Wan-Jie Gu (Department of Anesthesiology, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital, Medical College of Nanjing University, Nanjing, China. Forum Moderator of Evidence-Based Medicine and Clinical Application - DXY.cn).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Comment on: Lee JS, Giesler DL, Gellad WF, *et al.* Antibiotic Therapy for Adults Hospitalized With Community-Acquired Pneumonia: A Systematic Review. JAMA 2016;315:593-602.

References

1. Lee JS, Giesler DL, Gellad WF, *et al.* Antibiotic Therapy for Adults Hospitalized With Community-Acquired Pneumonia: A Systematic Review. JAMA 2016;315:593-602.
2. Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, *et al.* Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. Clin Infect Dis 2007;44 Suppl 2:S27-72.
3. Claessens YE, Debray MP, Tubach F, *et al.* Early Chest Computed Tomography Scan to Assist Diagnosis and Guide Treatment Decision for Suspected Community-acquired Pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2015;192:974-82.
4. Mandell LA, Bartlett JG, Dowell SF, *et al.* Update of practice guidelines for the management of community-acquired pneumonia in immunocompetent adults. Clin Infect Dis 2003;37:1405-33.
5. Simonetti A, Viasus D, Garcia-Vidal C, *et al.* Timing of antibiotic administration and outcomes of hospitalized patients with community-acquired and healthcare-associated pneumonia. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:1149-55.
6. Lee JS, Primack BA, Mor MK, *et al.* Processes of care and outcomes for community-acquired pneumonia. Am J Med 2011;124:1175.e9-17.
7. Houck PM, Bratzler DW, Nsa W, *et al.* Timing of antibiotic administration and outcomes for Medicare patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 2004;164:637-44.
8. Lee JS, Nsa W, Hausmann LR, *et al.* Quality of care for elderly patients hospitalized for pneumonia in the United States, 2006 to 2010. JAMA Intern Med 2014;174:1806-14.
9. Meehan TP, Fine MJ, Krumholz HM, *et al.* Quality of care, process, and outcomes in elderly patients with pneumonia. JAMA 1997;278:2080-4.
10. Arnold FW, Summersgill JT, Lajoie AS, *et al.* A worldwide perspective of atypical pathogens in community-acquired pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;175:1086-93.
11. Waterer GW, Kessler LA, Wunderink RG. Delayed

- administration of antibiotics and atypical presentation in community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2006;130:11-5.
12. Welker JA, Huston M, McCue JD. Antibiotic timing and errors in diagnosing pneumonia. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:351-6.
 13. Kanwar M, Brar N, Khatib R, et al. Misdiagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia and inappropriate utilization of antibiotics: side effects of the 4-h antibiotic administration rule. *Chest* 2007;131:1865-9.
 14. Shrier I, Boivin JF, Steele RJ, et al. Should meta-analyses of interventions include observational studies in addition to randomized controlled trials? A critical examination of underlying principles. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;166:1203-9.
 15. Paul M, Nielsen AD, Gafter-Gvili A, et al. The need for macrolides in hospitalised community-acquired pneumonia: propensity analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2007;30:525-31.
 16. Tessmer A, Welte T, Martus P, et al. Impact of intravenous {beta}-lactam/macrolide versus {beta}-lactam monotherapy on mortality in hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;63:1025-33.
 17. Ewig S, Hecker H, Suttrop N, et al. Moxifloxacin monotherapy versus β -lactam mono- or combination therapy in hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *J Infect* 2011;62:218-25.
 18. Majumdar SR, McAlister FA, Eurich DT, et al. Statins and outcomes in patients admitted to hospital with community acquired pneumonia: population based prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2006;333:999.
 19. Marrie TJ, Wu L. Factors influencing in-hospital mortality in community-acquired pneumonia: a prospective study of patients not initially admitted to the ICU. *Chest* 2005;127:1260-70.
 20. Corrales-Medina VF, Musher DM, Shachkina S, et al. Acute pneumonia and the cardiovascular system. *Lancet* 2013;381:496-505.
 21. Vanderkooi OG, Low DE, Green K, et al. Predicting antimicrobial resistance in invasive pneumococcal infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;40:1288-97.
 22. Aldeyab MA, Harbarth S, Vernaz N, et al. The impact of antibiotic use on the incidence and resistance pattern of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing bacteria in primary and secondary healthcare settings. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2012;74:171-9.
 23. Deshpande A, Pasupuleti V, Thota P, et al. Community-associated *Clostridium difficile* infection and antibiotics: a meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013;68:1951-61.
 24. Zhang X, Wang R, Di X, et al. Different microbiological and clinical aspects of lower respiratory tract infections between China and European/American countries. *J Thorac Dis* 2014;6:134-42.
 25. Carratalà J, Garcia-Vidal C, Ortega L, et al. Effect of a 3-step critical pathway to reduce duration of intravenous antibiotic therapy and length of stay in community-acquired pneumonia: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:922-8.

Cite this article as: Garin N, Marti C. Community-acquired pneumonia: the elusive quest for the best treatment strategy. *J Thorac Dis* 2016;8(7):E571-E574. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.05.13