

#### **Archive ouverte UNIGE**

https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch

Article scientifique

Article 2018

Accepted version

**Open Access** 

This is an author manuscript post-peer-reviewing (accepted version) of the original publication. The layout of the published version may differ .

Modeling NAFLD Disease Burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016-2030

Estes, Chris; Anstee, Quentin M; Arias-Loste, Maria Teresa; Bantel, Heike; Bellentani, Stefeno; Caballeria, Joan; Colombo, Massimo; Craxi, Antonio; Crespo, Javier; Day, Christopher P; Geier, Andreas; Kondili, Loreta A; Lazarus, Jeffrey V; Loomba,&nbspRohit [and 13 more]

#### How to cite

ESTES, Chris et al. Modeling NAFLD Disease Burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016-2030. In: Journal of Hepatology, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.036

This publication URL:https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:106661Publication DOI:10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.036

© The author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-

#### Accepted Manuscript

Modeling NAFLD Disease Burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016-2030

Chris Estes, Quentin M Anstee, Maria Teresa Arias-Loste, Heike Bantel, Stefeno Bellentani, Joan Caballeria, Massimo Colombo, Antonio Craxi, Javier Crespo, Christopher P. Day, Andreas Geier, Loreta A. Kondili, Jeffrey V. Lazarus, Rohit Loomba, Michael P. Manns, Giulio Marchesini, Francesco Negro, Salvatore Petta, Vlad Ratziu, Manuel Romero-Gomez, Arun Sanyal, Jörn M. Schattenberg, Frank Tacke, Christian Trautwein, Lai Wei, Stefan Zeuzem, Homie Razavi



PII:S0168-8278(18)32121-4DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.036Reference:JHEPAT 6998To appear in:Journal of HepatologyReceived Date:22 November 2017Revised Date:7 May 2018Accepted Date:23 May 2018

Please cite this article as: Estes, C., Anstee, Q.M., Arias-Loste, M.T., Bantel, H., Bellentani, S., Caballeria, J., Colombo, M., Craxi, A., Crespo, J., Day, C.P., Geier, A., Kondili, L.A., Lazarus, J.V., Loomba, R., Manns, M.P., Marchesini, G., Negro, F., Petta, S., Ratziu, V., Romero-Gomez, M., Sanyal, A., Schattenberg, J.M., Tacke, F., Trautwein, C., Wei, L., Zeuzem, S., Razavi, H., Modeling NAFLD Disease Burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016-2030, *Journal of Hepatology* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.036

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

#### Modeling NAFLD Disease Burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016-2030

#### NAFLD / NASH Working Group

Chris Estes<sup>1</sup>, Quentin M Anstee<sup>2</sup>, Maria Teresa Arias-Loste<sup>3,4</sup>, Heike Bantel<sup>5</sup>, Stefeno Bellentani<sup>6</sup>, Joan Caballeria<sup>7,8</sup>, Massimo Colombo<sup>9</sup>, Antonio Craxi<sup>10</sup>, Javier Crespo<sup>11</sup>, Christopher P Day<sup>2</sup>, Andreas Geier<sup>12</sup>, Loreta A Kondili<sup>13</sup>, Jeffrey V Lazarus<sup>14</sup>, Rohit Loomba<sup>15,16</sup>, Michael P Manns<sup>5</sup>, Giulio Marchesini<sup>17</sup>, Francesco Negro<sup>18</sup>, Salvatore Petta<sup>19</sup>, Vlad Ratziu<sup>20,21</sup>, Manuel Romero-Gomez<sup>22</sup>, Arun Sanyal<sup>23</sup>, Jörn M Schattenberg<sup>24</sup>, Frank Tacke<sup>25</sup>, Christian Trautwein<sup>26</sup>, Lai Wei<sup>27,28</sup>, Stefan Zeuzem<sup>29</sup>, Homie Razavi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Disease Analysis (CDA), Lafayette, Colorado, US; <sup>2</sup> Liver Research Group, Institute of Cellular Medicine, The Medical School, Newcastle University, Framlington Place, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup> Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department, Marqués de Valdecilla University Hospital, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Santander, Spain; <sup>4</sup> Infection, Immunity and Digestive Pathology Group, Research Institute Marqués de Valdecilla (IDIVAL), Santander, Spain; <sup>5</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Endocrinology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany; <sup>6</sup> Liver Center, Italian Liver Foundation, Trieste, Italy;<sup>7</sup> Hepatology Unit, Hospital Clinic, Institute of Biomedical Research August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain; <sup>8</sup> Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red: Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Barcelona, Spain; <sup>9</sup> Center for Translational Research in Hepatology, Clinical and Research Center Humanitas, Rozzano, Italy; <sup>10</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; <sup>11</sup> Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department, Infection, Immunity and Digestive Pathology Group, IDIVAL, Instituto de Investigación Valdecilla, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain; <sup>12</sup> Division of Hepatology, Department of Medicine II, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; <sup>13</sup> Center for Global Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanita, Rome, Italy; <sup>14</sup> ISGlobal, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>15</sup>NAFLD Research Center, Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, US; <sup>16</sup> Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA, US: <sup>17</sup> Unit of Metabolic Diseases and Clinical Dietetics, DIMEC, "Alma Mater" University, Bologna, Italy; <sup>18</sup> Divisions of Gastroenterology and Hepatology and of Clinical Pathology, University Hospital, rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil 4, 1211 Genève 14, Switzerland; <sup>19</sup> Section of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Di.Bi.M.I.S., University of Palermo, Italy; <sup>20</sup> Department of Hepatology, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance Publique Hopitaux de Paris, Paris, France; <sup>21</sup> University Pierre et Marie Curie, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale UMR 938, Paris, France; <sup>22</sup> Unit for the Clinical Management of Digestive Diseases & CIBERehd, Virgen Macarena - Virgen del Rocio University Hospitals, Seville, Spain; 23 Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center, Richmond, Virginia, US; <sup>24</sup> Department of Medicine I, University Medical Centre, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz, Germany; <sup>25</sup> Department of Medicine III, RWTH University Hospital Aachen, Aachen, Germany; <sup>26</sup> Department of Internal Medicine III, University Hospital RWTH, Aachen, Germany; <sup>27</sup> Peking University People's Hospital, Peking University Hepatology Institute, Beijing, China; <sup>28</sup> Key Laboratory of Hepatitis C and Immunotherapy for Liver Diseases, Beijing, China; <sup>29</sup> JW Goethe University Hospital, Frankfurt, Germany

\* Corresponding author. Address: Center for Disease Analysis (CDA), Lafayette, Colorado, US Tel.: +011 7208904848. E-mail address: homie.razavi@c4da.com

**Financial support:** Funding for this project was provided by Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Gilead Sciences and Boehringer Ingelheim. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr. George Lau (The Institute of Translational Hepatology, Beijing 302 Hospital, Beijing, China; Humanity and Health GI and Liver Centre, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR) for input and contributions.

**Authors' contributions:** CE and HR prepared the first draft and finalized the draft based on comments from other authors. All other authors provided data, analyzed data, reviewed results, provided guidance on methodology, and provided critical feedback on the manuscript.

**Key words:** Burden of disease; Cardiovascular disease (CVD); Health care resource utilization; Metabolic syndrome (MetS); Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD); Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH); Cirrhosis; Decompensated cirrhosis; Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC); Economic burden; Diabetes mellitus (DM); Obesity

CC'

Modeling NAFLD Disease Burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016-2030

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) with resulting nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) are increasingly a cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) globally. This burden is expected to increase as epidemics of obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome continue to grow. The goal of this analysis was to use a Markov model to forecast NAFLD disease burden using currently available data.

**Methods:** A model was used to estimate NAFLD and NASH disease progression in 8 countries based on data for adult prevalence of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM). Published estimates and expert consensus were used to build and validate the model projections.

**Results:** If obesity and DM level off in the future, we project a modest growth in total NAFLD cases (0-30%), between 2016-2030, with the highest growth in China as result of urbanization and the lowest growth in Japan as result of a shrinking population. However, at the same time, NASH prevalence will increase 15-56%, while liver mortality and advanced liver disease will more than double as result of an aging/increasing population.

**Conclusions:** NAFLD and NASH represent a large and growing public health problem and efforts to understand this epidemic and to mitigate the disease burden are needed. If obesity and DM continue to increase at current and historical rates, both NAFLD and

NASH prevalence are expected to increase. Since both are reversible, public health campaigns to increase awareness and diagnosis, and to promote diet and exercise can help manage the growth in future disease burden.

Lay summary: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) can lead to advanced liver disease, and are occurring in increasing numbers in tandem with epidemics of obesity and diabetes. A mathematical model was built to understand how the disease burden associated with NAFLD and NASH will change over time. Results suggest increasing numbers of cases of advanced liver disease and liver-related mortality in the coming years.

#### BACKGROUND

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a leading cause of liver disease globally [1-3]. This condition is characterized by excess liver fat in the absence of other causes such as alcohol consumption [4, 5]. Obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) are consistently identified as the most important risk factors for NAFLD [4, 6].

In order to classify the population, NAFLD may be divided into two groups: NAFL (steatosis only) or NASH (nonalcoholic steatohepatitis), where steatosis is accompanied by inflammation and ballooning. NASH frequently progresses to liver fibrosis [7] that is the main risk factor for liver-related mortality [8]. Odds of progression to advanced liver disease, including hepatic decompensation and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), are higher among those with NASH compared to those with NAFL [7]. Increasing age, obesity, DM and the presence of NASH have been consistently identified as risk factors for progression to cirrhosis [6, 9].

There is an ongoing need to better define the current and future burden of NAFLDrelated liver disease. Modeling can be used as a tool to take into account existing epidemiology parameters to forecast disease burden. Several recent analyses assessed the disease and economic burden associated with NASH [10-12]. Prior studies were based on existing data reported in the literature, but such studies are confounded by varying case definitions, diagnostic techniques, and staging used for NAFLD / NASH; as well as relatively small and varying sample populations. Furthermore, most studies do not quantify disease regression, which can occur

spontaneously in the disease course [7]. A recently developed dynamic model of NAFLD overcomes several of these limitations [13]. In this analysis, we report the results of such a model across multiple regions.

#### METHODS

Model: The Markov model was built for China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, UK and US. The selection of countries was based on the availability of data, the willingness of the national experts to collaborate, and our capacity to conduct parallel analyses. These countries represent regions with varying levels of risk factors for NAFLD development. Fibrosis progression rates were back-calculated based on surveillance data, and they were adjusted for the level of obesity in each country (see details in the Supplement). Progression to advanced liver disease (HCC or decompensated cirrhosis) and liver-related death were based on published estimates (Supplement, Tables 3 and 4) [13]. A literature search was performed to identify reported estimates of NAFLD / NASH prevalence and incidence, including reports of late stage disease (e.g. HCC attributed to NAFLD / NASH) (Supplement, Table 2). National estimates for adult prevalence of obesity (BMI≥25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for China and Japan; BMI≥30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for other countries) and DM were also used in the analysis to estimate underlying trends in NAFLD incidence (Supplement, Table 2). In addition to the literature search, a Delphi process was used in which experts from each country were interviewed to identify critical modeling inputs and review model outputs against estimates of disease burden (Supplement, Table 1). Input data were typically collected during different time frames, so modeling was used to calibrate to reported years of data

collection. The model tracked each country's NAFLD population by fibrosis stage and NASH status (steatosis only or NASH). Progression of disease through fibrosis and liver disease stages (Supplement, Figure 1) was estimated with adjustment for all-cause mortality (including general background mortality, excess cardiovascular mortality, and liver-related mortality).

For each model, uncertainty intervals were defined for key uncertain inputs including total NAFLD prevalence, excess cardiovascular mortality multipliers, and fibrosis transition probabilities (Supplement, Table 4). For all uncertain inputs, Beta-PERT distributions were used [14]. Monte Carlo simulation and sensitivity analysis were conducted using an Excel® add-in (Crystal Ball® 11.1.3708.0 by Oracle®) to estimate 95% uncertainty intervals (UI) (Supplement, Figure 3). The sensitivity analysis was conducted to identify the inputs that accounted for the greatest variation in modeled outcomes.

**New NAFLD cases:** For the countries studied, accurate longitudinal estimates of NAFLD incidence were either unavailable or were limited to special populations. Therefore, annual changes in the number of new cases were back-calculated based on trends for adult prevalence of obesity and DM as described in the Supplement (Supplement, Figure 2).

**Prevalence:** There are varied estimates of NAFLD prevalence in the general population. A reported 17-51% of adults have NAFLD [4, 15-18], while a meta-analysis of studies from 2006-2014 estimated NAFLD prevalence of 24% (20-29%) in the general population [10], largely based on studies of populations in Western countries.

Based on data review and the Delphi process described above, input prevalence values were entered in the models. It was assumed that 25% of individuals aged  $\geq$ 15 years in 2015 experienced NAFLD in France, Germany, Spain and UK. For the Italy model, it was assumed that 25% of the population (all ages) experienced NAFLD, equivalent to 28% prevalence among persons aged  $\geq$ 15 years. In China and Japan, there was a starting NAFLD prevalence rate of 20% among individuals aged  $\geq$ 15 years, equivalent to 17% among all ages. For the US model, a starting prevalence of 30% among the population aged  $\geq$ 15 years in 2015 was the assumption.

For the age and gender distribution of the NAFLD population, data from national studies were used, when available [18-20] (supplement, Table 2). For countries without general population prevalence distributions, it was assumed that overall prevalence among males was 30% higher as compared to females with prevalence rates increasing with age. As prevalence studies typically did not include children, it was assumed that prevalence would decline among the youngest age groups not included in prevalence estimates. Given that childhood dietary patterns are correlated with later development of NAFLD [21-23], obesity among pediatric populations was assumed to increase with age.

**NASH Status:** The NAFLD population was classified within the model as steatosis only (NAFL) or NASH. The prevalence of NASH was based on reported figures, in addition to time- and age- dependent fibrosis progression modeling. It was assumed that up to 5% of NAFLD cases without NASH could be NASH regressors, with most NASH regressors having no fibrosis (F0 stage). Therefore, a relatively small number of fibrotic

cases (F1-F4) were classified as non-NASH NAFLD. The vast majority of modeled fibrotic cases (F1-F4) were assumed to be NASH.

Reported estimates state that 3-5% of adults have NASH [4, 16, 24, 25]. The model for the US assumed that approximately 20% of NAFLD cases would be classified as NASH in 2015. [26-28]. Fibrosis progression rates and NASH status were first calibrated to US data and then extrapolated to other countries with adjustment for varying levels of overweight and obesity [6]. Due to demographic factors [29], in addition to rates of overweight and obesity, the proportion of NASH cases varied between countries, with overall aging of the population associated with an increased proportion of NASH cases among the total NAFLD population. For distribution by fibrosis score, the US model was calibrated to the assumption that approximately 20% of NASH cases would be classified as  $\geq$ F3 in 2015 [30].

**Mortality:** For each country, background mortality rates by age and gender were based on historical and medium fertility variant projected estimates for total deaths from the United Nations population database [29] divided by population estimates by age group and gender from the same database [29]. Background rates were adjusted to account for incremental increased mortality related to cardiovascular disease (CVD). A range of estimates have been reported for excess mortality among NAFLD cases, with some studies demonstrating little increase and others suggesting that cardiovascular mortality is significantly elevated as compared to the non-NAFLD population [31-34]. A standard mortality ratio of 1.15 was applied to all background mortality rates in all years of the model with an input range of 1.00 (background mortality rates with no adjustment) to

1.31 [33] for uncertainty analysis. While excess CVD mortality may increase with
NAFLD severity, data for this are sparse and a constant multiplier was applied to all
stages of disease. Liver related mortality is markedly increased in the NASH population
[35] and was calculated separately as part of liver disease progression modeling
(Supplement, Tables 3 and 4). Liver-related deaths were modeled as a as a function of
the HCC, decompensated cirrhosis, and liver transplant populations.

**Transplants:** Annual liver transplantations by country were reported by the European Liver Transplant Registry and by country-specific reporting entities, including the China Liver Transplant Registry and US Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (Supplement, Table 1). Analyses of transplants from the ELTR demonstrated that NAFLD may be under-recognized as an indicator for liver transplant, with many transplants classified simply as cirrhosis or HCC [36]. Studies of transplant recipients in Sweden and Germany report that over 40% of cases classified as cryptogenic cirrhosis were NASH-related [37] and NASH was the third leading cause of HCC resulting in transplantation [38]. In the US, there is evidence that numerous transplants indicated for cryptogenic or idiopathic cirrhosis are NAFLD-related based on obesity rates in this population [39, 40]. As changes occur to the transplantation system in China, there will be uncertainty surrounding the future availability of donated livers [41-43]. Given the uncertainties around transplant demand and availability, it was assumed that the annual number of NAFLD-related transplants would remain constant through 2030. This is a conservative estimate as data already suggest that the proportion of transplants attributable to NAFLD is increasing [40].

#### RESULTS

**NAFLD Population:** Total prevalent NAFLD case estimates ranged from 10.53 M (Spain) to 243.67 M (China) in 2016 (Figure 1). While China was estimated to have the greatest number of cases, estimated prevalence of NAFLD was lower than the other countries at 17.6% (all ages). The highest overall 2016 rate (26.3%) was estimated for the US. By 2030, the total NAFLD population was projected to increase 18.3% to 100.9 million cases, with prevalence of 28.4%. In the European countries, the estimated NAFLD population in 2016 ranged from 18.45 M cases (Germany) to 10.53 M cases (Spain). By 2030, the number of cases had increased most in the UK (20.2%; from 14.08 M cases in 2016 to 16.92 M cases in 2030), while the number of cases increased least in Germany (13.5% increase from 18.45 M cases in 2016 to 20.95 M cases in 2030). By 2030, the highest prevalence was estimated in Italy (29.5%) and the lowest in France (23.6%). The greatest overall and relative increase in NAFLD prevalence was estimated for China, where cases were estimated to increase 29.1% from 246.33 M cases in 2016 to 314.58 M NAFLD cases in 2030. Of the countries studied, China had the largest relative rate increase over the time period to 22.2%. The largest number of prevalent cases was estimated for the cohort of 55-59 year olds followed by the cohort of 50-54 year olds, with these groups comprising over 20% of model cases in 2016 (Supplement, Figure 4).

Results of the uncertainty analysis showed the potential range of NAFLD cases for each country based on the uncertainty around key inputs (Supplement, Figure 3). For each country, uncertainty surrounding NAFLD prevalence estimates in the general population

were the key driver of model uncertainty, followed by the range of standard of mortality ratios for increased risk of CVD. When considering forecasted uncertainty around prevalence in 2030, the input general prevalence rate and standard mortality ratio together accounted for over 95% of modeled uncertainty.

**NAFL Population:** The NAFL population was defined as individuals with simple steatosis who have never progressed to NASH, or a relatively small number of cases who were formerly NASH and experienced disease regression. Nearly all cases were estimated to be F0 with a small number of fibrotic cases related to regressed NASH. The NAFL population was estimated to increase most in China (26.2%; from 211.05 M cases in 2016 to 266.32 M case in 2030), and least in Germany (7.2%; from 15.12 M cases to 16.21 M cases). In Japan, the NAFL population decreased by 2.6% from 18.90 M cases in 2016 to 18.41 M cases in 2030 (Figure 1).

When considering only fibrotic NAFL cases ( $\geq$ F1), Japan was estimated to have the smallest increase in this population with 24,310  $\geq$ F1 NAFL cases in 2016 increasing 13% to 27,455 cases in 2030, while the combined cirrhotic and HCC NAFL population increased by 65% from 310 to 510 cases. The greatest increase in the  $\geq$ F1 NAFL population was estimated in China where cases increased 51% from 202,470 in 2016 to 304,990 in 2030. The greatest increase in the combined cirrhotic and HCC population was observed in France where cases increased 159% from 190 to 490 cases.

NASH Population: Total cases in 2016 were estimated to be most numerous in China (32.61 M cases), but the proportion classified as NASH was the lowest (13% of total NAFLD) of the studied countries, potentially reflecting a more recent onset of the

obesity and diabetes epidemics (Figure 2). By 2030, the NASH population in China was projected to increase 48% to 48.26 M cases, or 15% of all NAFLD cases. In the five European countries, total NASH cases in 2016 ranged from 1.80 M (Spain) to 3.33 M (Germany). Increases during 2016-2030 ranged from 43% (Germany) to 49% (Spain), and the most numerous cases in 2030 were estimated in Germany (4.74 M). The proportion of total NAFLD cases classified as NASH in 2016 ranged from 16% (France) to 18% (UK). By 2030, the proportion ranged from 21% (France) to 23% (Germany). In the US, the relative increase in NASH cases was estimated at 56%, increasing from 17.32 M cases (2016) to 27.00 M cases (2030). Among NASH cases in 2016, an estimated 21% in the US had F3/F4 fibrosis or advanced liver disease, encompassing approximately 3.55 M cases (Figure 2). By 2030, this number increased at a greater rate than other studied countries (124%) to 7.94 M cases, accounting for 29% of all NASH cases. Japan had the smallest estimated increase in these cases from 665,970 cases in 2016 to 990,850 cases in 2030 (49% increase). In 2016, the highest proportion of NASH cases in advanced disease states was estimated in Italy (22%) while the smallest was in China (12%). By 2030, the highest proportion was observed in Spain where 792,110 individuals (29.5%) were estimated to have NASH-related advanced disease and the lowest was China with 7,942,280 cases (16.5%). In every country studied, increases in the number of advanced fibrosis cases in the NASH population were relatively larger than increases in earlier fibrosis stages.

**Cirrhosis and End Stage Disease:** The number of NAFLD cases with compensated cirrhosis and end stage disease is projected to increase in every country. The smallest increase (64%) was projected for Japan where prevalent cases increase from 127,840

to 282,670 during 2016-2030, and the largest percentage increase was expected in France where cases increase 156% from 104,290 to 267,440 cases.

Prevalent decompensated cirrhosis was projected to show the most percentage increase in France from 11,560 cases in 2016 to 33,180 cases in 2030 (187% increase), followed by the US where cases increase from 144,210 to 376,140 cases, a 161% increase. The smallest increase was projected in Japan where cases increase 75% from 21,070 to 36,830 cases during 2016-2030.

In the US, incident decompensated cirrhosis is modeled to increase by 150%, from 42,220 cases in 2016 to 105,430 cases in 2030, while cumulative incidence during 2016-2030 was estimated at 1,062,430 cases (Figure 3). Among the European countries, cumulative incidence of decompensated cirrhosis ranged from 92,510 cases (France) to 171,030 cases (Germany), while cumulative incidence in China was estimated at 751,190 cases. The greatest relative increase in incident decompensated cirrhosis was observed in France where cases increase 164% from 3500 cases (2016) to 9250 cases (2030), while the smallest increase was estimated for Japan where cases increase 67% during 2016-2030 from 5870 to 9780 cases.

In all countries, prevalent HCC cases related to NAFLD are estimated to increase, ranging from increases of 47% in Japan (2200 cases in 2016 increasing to 3240 cases in 2030) to 130% in the US (10,820 increasing to 24,860 cases). China had the greatest number of prevalent HCC in all years, increasing 86% from 14090 cases (2016) to 26240 cases (2030). Among the European countries, estimated increases during 2016-2030 in prevalent HCC ranged from 93% (UK) to 125% (France) during 2016-2030. The

largest number of prevalent HCC cases in 2016 and 2030 was estimated for Germany with 1970 and 4090 cases, respectively.

By 2030, China was projected to have the most incident HCC cases (12780 cases), an increase of 82% from 2016 (7000 cases) (Figure 3). Japan experienced the smallest increase (44%), from 1050 to 1520 cases annually. In the US incident HCC cases increase by 122% during 2016-2030 from 5510 to 12240 cases. In Europe, France was projected to have the largest increase (117%) in incident HCC cases from 560 to 1200 cases annually, while the UK had the smallest increase (88%) from 850 to 1600 cases annually.

**Liver-Related Mortality:** Liver-related deaths in 2016 ranged from 2490 (France) to 30240 (US) (Figure 3). By 2030, China surpasses US and is forecasted to have the largest number of liver-related deaths (103,840 deaths) and Spain the fewest (4530 deaths). The relative increase in annual liver deaths was greatest in France, increasing 182% from 2490 to 7030 deaths, and the smallest increase was in Japan, where liver deaths increased 73% from 4720 to 8130 deaths annually (Figure 3).

#### DISCUSSION

This study presents results from dynamic Markov modeling of the burden of NAFLDrelated disease in eight countries, comprising over one quarter of the total world population [29]. A number of inputs included in national reports were used to design and validate the models, along with both a review of the literature and expert opinion on trends in disease prevalence and transition to more advanced disease states. For all countries, as the national obesity prevalence grows at slower rates and levels off, it is

estimated that the prevalence of NAFLD will follow a similar pattern with a five year delay (Supplement, Figure 2). The proportion of NASH subjects among the NAFLD population is forecasted to increase in the coming decades due to an aging population and the projected rising prevalence of DM among an aging population. These projections hold even if adult obesity prevalence does not increase substantially from already high levels since there is an approximate 10-15 year delay between the change in obesity and DM. The proportion of diabetic subjects with NASH is higher than that in a general obese population [10, 18, 44]; thus, we estimate that the total burden of disease due to NASH will continue to rise in the coming decades.

China has the youngest median age of all countries studied. This implies relatively lower rates of advanced liver disease in the near term and forecasted large increases in disease burden in the coming decades (Supplement, Figure 3) as the population ages. In China, increases in obesity and diabetes in the general population did not begin on a large scale until decades after the US and Europe. This means that the greatest impact in terms of advanced liver disease and mortality will occur later, as compared to Western countries. If obesity levels continue to increase in China up to the level observed in current day US (about 30% of adults are obese [≥30 BMI]), then the disease burden would be even higher in China than shown here. The lifestyle and genetic factors that cause diabetes and NAFLD begin at a lower BMI, in China and Japan, as compared to Caucasians [45-47].

The results of this modeling can inform public health-care systems about the coming disease burden associated with NAFLD and NASH. Effective strategies are needed to

prevent and treat NASH in order to avert marked increases in incidence of end stage liver disease and related mortality. Since NAFLD is reversible, treatment can include diet and exercise programs. A future with increasing disease burden is supported by recent data demonstrating the growing contribution of NASH toward demand for liver transplantation [1, 40]. NAFLD is increasingly identified as an etiology of chronic liver disease in Europe [48]. In North America, NASH has been identified as the second leading etiology for HCC cases requiring liver transplantation [49]. Given the expense associated with transplantation [50], limitations in organ supply [51], and the prevalence of multiple co-morbidities that preclude transplantation, the procedure is not a feasible solution to NASH-related outcomes at either the global or national levels [52]. Another notable result is the rapid projected increase in individuals with cirrhosis, especially decompensated cirrhosis that is cost and resource-intensive [53-55]. Increased incidence of decompensated cirrhosis would be expected to have a proportionate impact on healthcare resources and costs associated with advanced liver disease [55, 56], and magnify the negative consequences of increasing disease burden.

NAFLD is now reported as the principal etiology contributing to the incidence of HCC in North America [1], while the proportion of HCC attributed to NAFLD is growing rapidly in Europe [57]. The growing burden of NAFLD will lead to further increases in incidence of HCC. Many incident HCC cases are not diagnosed until progressed to a stage where the most effective treatment options, including liver transplantation, are not an option [58]. Interventions that slow the progression of NAFLD related liver disease have the potential to reduce the number of incident liver cancer and related mortality.

Strength of the current analysis is the forecasted mortality among NAFLD cases: excess cardiovascular-related and NASH liver-related mortality. There will clearly be a marked increase in liver-related mortality in those afflicted by NASH. A critical factor that is likely to drive the increase in NASH-related mortality is the increased number and proportion of subjects who will have cirrhosis within the growing NASH population over time. This is linked to both the aging of the population, a known risk factor for having more advanced disease [6] and the natural progression of the disease toward more advanced stages of NASH [4, 7]. Importantly, in this study, the spontaneous regression estimates from published literature were applied to generate the most accurate projections for disease progression possible. It highlights the need to identify NAFLD cases, particularly those who have already developed clinically significant fibrosis and target them for therapy. While the model adjusted for the greater current magnitude of obesity and DM, there have been dramatic increases in childhood obesity [60] and the onset of DM at younger ages is expected [61], suggesting a longer course of disease with potentially greater risk to develop end stage liver disease.

A limitation of this model, and all NAFLD modeling, is a dearth of general population studies measuring hepatic steatosis using consistent methods. Furthermore, some estimates are based on data collected several years ago [18], and likely do not reflect the true burden of disease, given recent increases in obesity and DM. Most population-based methods used ultrasound for NAFLD screening, which only reliably detects steatosis of >20% [5]. Greater uncertainty surrounds the NASH diagnosis where classification of cases based on histology is challenging, with relatively weak inter-

observer agreement for some parameters, such as hepatocellular ballooning and fibrosis [30, 59].

A related limitation to all studies quantifying NAFLD at the population level is the lack of consistent diagnostic measures. Rates of NAFLD prevalence have been shown to vary between studies with measures based on liver enzymes resulting in lower estimates than imaging/histology [10] and NASH can be histologically detected in many NAFLD cases with normal liver enzymes [62]. While there is considerable uncertainty surrounding NASH diagnosis (yes, no, or probable), staging of fibrosis alone has been shown to be an effective predictor of long term outcomes in NAFLD cases [63, 64]; and non-invasive tests are available to predict advanced fibrosis, but still have not been approved for this purpose [65]. However, there is a need to better identify risk factors for progression among early fibrosis cases [66]. Also, age is a confounding factor using non-invasive prediction models for advanced fibrosis and thus could be underestimated in patients aged ≥65 years [67]. Finally, the analysis is based on the change in obesity rates in each country, yet there are examples of lean NAFLD. In these cases, NAFLD occurs in individuals with normal or low BMI. Lean NAFLD cases account for a minority of total cases, and were not modeled separately.

The results of this analysis demonstrate a large and growing burden of disease associated with NAFLD and NASH, in concert with a global pandemic of obesity [68]. The World Health Organization has called for efforts to halt the rise of diabetes and obesity at the global level [69], with sustainable development goal 3.4 calling for a reduction by one-third in pre-mature mortality from non-communicable diseases by

2030 through prevention and treatment. Efforts to mitigate disease burden are critical, and should be linked to strategies for curbing the growth of obesity and DM at both the Acctebric national and global levels.

#### References

[1] Younossi ZM, Otgonsuren M, Henry L, Venkatesan C, Mishra A, Erario M, et al. Association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in the United States from 2004 to 2009. Hepatology 2015;62:1723-1730.

[2] Sanyal A, Poklepovic A, Moyneur E, Barghout V. Population-based risk factors and resource utilization for HCC: US perspective. Curr Med Res Opin 2010;26:2183-2191.

[3] Loomba R, Sanyal AJ. The global NAFLD epidemic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013;10:686-690.

[4] Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Diehl AM, Brunt EM, Cusi K, et al. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guideline by the American Gastroenterological Association, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, and American College of Gastroenterology. Gastroenterology 2012;142:1592-1609.

[5] EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Diabetologia 2016;59:1121-1140.

[6] Angulo P, Hui JM, Marchesini G, Bugianesi E, George J, Farrell GC, et al. The NAFLD fibrosis score: a noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. Hepatology 2007;45:846-854.

[7] Singh S, Allen AM, Wang Z, Prokop LJ, Murad MH, Loomba R. Fibrosis progression in nonalcoholic fatty liver vs nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of paired-biopsy studies. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;13:643-654.e641-649; quiz e639-640.

[8] Dulai PS, Singh S, Patel J, Soni M, Prokop LJ, Younossi Z, et al. Increased risk of mortality by fibrosis stage in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Hepatology 2017;65:1557-1565.

[9] Adams LA, Lymp JF, St Sauver J, Sanderson SO, Lindor KD, Feldstein A, et al. The natural history of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a population-based cohort study. Gastroenterology 2005;129:113-121.

[10] Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global Epidemiology of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease-Meta-Analytic Assessment of Prevalence, Incidence and Outcomes. Hepatology 2015.

[11] Younossi ZM, Henry L. Economic and Quality-of-Life Implications of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Pharmacoeconomics 2015;33:1245-1253.

[12] Younossi ZM, Zheng L, Stepanova M, Henry L, Venkatesan C, Mishra A. Trends in outpatient resource utilizations and outcomes for Medicare beneficiaries with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 2015;49:222-227.

[13] Estes C, Razavi H, Loomba R, Younossi Z, Sanyal AJ. Modeling the epidemic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease demonstrates an exponential increase in burden of disease. Hepatology 2017.

[14] Malcolm DG, Roseboom JH, Clark CE, Fazar W. Application of a Technique for Research and Development Program Evaluation. Operations Research 1959;7:646-669.

[15] Angulo P. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. NEnglJ Med 2002;346:1221-1231.

[16] Rinella ME. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review. JAMA 2015;313:2263-2273.

[17] Kim YS, Jung ES, Hur W, Bae SH, Choi JY, Song MJ, et al. Noninvasive predictors of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in Korean patients with histologically proven nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. ClinMolHepatol 2013;19:120-130.

[18] Lazo M, Hernaez R, Eberhardt MS, Bonekamp S, Kamel I, Guallar E, et al. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the United States: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. AmJ Epidemiol 2013;178:38-45.

[19] Caballeria L, Pera G, Auladell MA, Toran P, Munoz L, Miranda D, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with the presence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in an adult population in Spain. EurJ GastroenterolHepatol 2010;22:24-32.

[20] Fan JG, Farrell GC. Epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in China. J Hepatol 2009;50:204-210.

[21] Ayonrinde OT, Oddy WH, Adams LA, Mori TA, Beilin LJ, de Klerk N, et al. Infant nutrition and maternal obesity influence the risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adolescents. J Hepatol 2017;67:568-576.

[22] Ayonrinde OT, Olynyk JK, Marsh JA, Beilin LJ, Mori TA, Oddy WH, et al. Childhood adiposity trajectories and risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adolescents. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;30:163-171.

[23] Oddy WH, Herbison CE, Jacoby P, Ambrosini GL, O'Sullivan TA, Ayonrinde OT, et al. The Western dietary pattern is prospectively associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adolescence. Am J Gastroenterol 2013;108:778-785.

[24] Rinella ME. Will the increased prevalence of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in the age of better hepatitis C virus therapy make NASH the deadlier disease? Hepatology 2011;54:1118-1120.

[25] Vernon G, Baranova A, Younossi ZM. Systematic review: the epidemiology and natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in adults. AlimentPharmacolTher 2011;34:274-285.

[26] Ground KE. Liver pathology in aircrew. Aviat Space Environ Med 1982;53:14-18.

[27] Grant LM, Lisker-Melman M. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Ann Hepatol 2004;3:93-99.

[28] Williams CD, Stengel J, Asike MI, Torres DM, Shaw J, Contreras M, et al. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis among a largely middle-aged population utilizing ultrasound and liver biopsy: a prospective study. Gastroenterology 2011;140:124-131.

[29] United Nations.Department of Economic Social Affairs Population Division. World population prospects: The 2015 revision. New York: United Nations; 2016.

[30] Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2005;41:1313-1321.

[31] Stepanova M, Rafiq N, Makhlouf H, Agrawal R, Kaur I, Younoszai Z, et al. Predictors of All-Cause Mortality and Liver-Related Mortality in Patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD). DigDisSci 2013;58:3017-3023.

[32] Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD: a multisystem disease J Hepatol 2015;62:S47-64.

[33] Targher G, Byrne CD, Lonardo A, Zoppini G, Barbui C. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Disease: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. J Hepatol 2016.

[34] Calori G, Lattuada G, Ragogna F, Garancini MP, Crosignani P, Villa M, et al. Fatty liver index and mortality: the Cremona study in the 15th year of follow-up. Hepatology 2011;54:145-152.

[35] Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G. Meta-analysis: natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive tests for liver disease severity. AnnMed 2011;43:617-649.

[36] Kern B, Newsome P, Karam V, Adam R, Berlakovich G, Fritz J, et al. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis as Indication for Liver Transplantation in Europe. Do We Choose the Right Organs for the Right Recipients? American Transplant Conference; 2015 May 4, 2015; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; 2015.

[37] Marmur J, Bergquist A, Stal P. Liver transplantation of patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis: clinical characteristics and outcome. Scand J Gastroenterol 2010;45:60-69.

[38] Schutte K, Kipper M, Kahl S, Bornschein J, Gotze T, Adolf D, et al. Clinical characteristics and time trends in etiology of hepatocellular cancer in Germany. Digestion 2013;87:147-159.

[39] Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN). OPTN data as of October 28. 2016. 2016 2016 [cited 2016 November 6, 2016]; Available from: https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/data/

[40] Wong RJ, Cheung R, Ahmed A. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the most rapidly growing indication for liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in the U.S. Hepatology 2014;59:2188-2195.

[41] Huang J, Wang H, Fan ST, Zhao B, Zhang Z, Hao L, et al. The national program for deceased organ donation in China. Transplantation 2013;96:5-9.

[42] Delmonico FL. A welcomed new national policy in China. Transplantation 2013;96:3-4.

[43] Wang H. Responses to comments on "Liver transplantation in mainland China: the overview of CLTR 2011 annual scientific report". Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2013;2:309-310.

[44] Portillo-Sanchez P, Bril F, Maximos M, Lomonaco R, Biernacki D, Orsak B, et al. High Prevalence of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Normal Plasma Aminotransferase Levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015;100:2231-2238.

[45] Chan JC, Malik V, Jia W, Kadowaki T, Yajnik CS, Yoon KH, et al. Diabetes in Asia: epidemiology, risk factors, and pathophysiology. JAMA 2009;301:2129-2140.

[46] Hu FB. Globalization of diabetes: the role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. Diabetes Care 2011;34:1249-1257.

[47] Huxley R, James WP, Barzi F, Patel JV, Lear SA, Suriyawongpaisal P, et al. Ethnic comparisons of the cross-sectional relationships between measures of body size with diabetes and hypertension. Obes Rev 2008;9 Suppl 1:53-61.

[48] Dyson J, Jaques B, Chattopadyhay D, Lochan R, Graham J, Das D, et al. Hepatocellular cancer: the impact of obesity, type 2 diabetes and a multidisciplinary team. J Hepatol 2014;60:110-117.

[49] Wong RJ, Aguilar M, Cheung R, Perumpail RB, Harrison SA, Younossi ZM, et al. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the second leading etiology of liver disease among adults awaiting liver transplantation in the United States. Gastroenterology 2015;148:547-555.

[50] Aberg F, Maklin S, Rasanen P, Roine RP, Sintonen H, Koivusalo AM, et al. Cost of a qualityadjusted life year in liver transplantation: the influence of the indication and the model for end-stage liver disease score. Liver Transpl 2011;17:1333-1343.

[51] EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Liver transplantation. J Hepatol 2016;64:433-485.

[52] Tacke F, Kroy DC, Barreiros AP, Neumann UP. Liver transplantation in Germany. Liver Transpl 2016;22:1136-1142.

[53] Neff GW, Duncan CW, Schiff ER. The current economic burden of cirrhosis. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2011;7:661-671.

[54] Whalley S, Puvanachandra P, Desai A, Kennedy H. Hepatology outpatient service provision in secondary care: a study of liver disease incidence and resource costs. Clin Med (Lond) 2007;7:119-124.

[55] Baumeister SE, Volzke H, Marschall P, John U, Schmidt CO, Flessa S, et al. Impact of fatty liver disease on health care utilization and costs in a general population: a 5-year observation. Gastroenterology 2008;134:85-94.

[56] Kanwal F, Kramer JR, Duan Z, Yu X, White D, El-Serag HB. Trends in the Burden of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in a United States Cohort of Veterans. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;14:301-308.e301-302.

[57] Weinmann A, Koch S, Niederle IM, Schulze-Bergkamen H, Konig J, Hoppe-Lotichius M, et al. Trends in epidemiology, treatment, and survival of hepatocellular carcinoma patients between 1998 and 2009: an analysis of 1066 cases of a German HCC Registry. J Clin Gastroenterol 2014;48:279-289.

[58] Bruix J, Sherman M. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. Hepatology 2011;53:1020-1022.

[59] Juluri R, Vuppalanchi R, Olson J, Unalp A, Van Natta ML, Cummings OW, et al. Generalizability of the nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network histologic scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 2011;45:55-58.

[60] Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011-2012. Jama 2014;311:806-814.

[61] Alberti G, Zimmet P, Shaw J, Bloomgarden Z, Kaufman F, Silink M. Type 2 diabetes in the young: the evolving epidemic: the international diabetes federation consensus workshop. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1798-1811.

[62] Fracanzani AL, Valenti L, Bugianesi E, Andreoletti M, Colli A, Vanni E, et al. Risk of severe liver disease in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with normal aminotransferase levels: a role for insulin resistance and diabetes. Hepatology 2008;48:792-798.

[63] Angulo P, Bugianesi E, Bjornsson ES, Charatcharoenwitthaya P, Mills PR, Barrera F, et al. Simple noninvasive systems predict long-term outcomes of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology 2013;145:782-789.e784.

[64] Angulo P, Kleiner DE, Dam-Larsen S, Adams LA, Bjornsson ES, Charatcharoenwitthaya P, et al. Liver Fibrosis, but No Other Histologic Features, Is Associated With Long-term Outcomes of Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Gastroenterology 2015;149:389-397.e310.

[65] Loomba R, Wolfson T, Ang B, Hooker J, Behling C, Peterson M, et al. Magnetic resonance elastography predicts advanced fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a prospective study. Hepatology 2014;60:1920-1928.

[66] Sanyal AJ, Friedman SL, McCullough AJ, Dimick-Santos L, American Association for the Study of Liver D, United States F, et al. Challenges and opportunities in drug and biomarker development for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: findings and recommendations from an American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases-U.S. Food and Drug Administration Joint Workshop. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md 2015;61:1392-1405.

[67] McPherson S, Hardy T, Dufour JF, Petta S, Romero-Gomez M, Allison M, et al. Age as a Confounding Factor for the Accurate Non-Invasive Diagnosis of Advanced NAFLD Fibrosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2017;112:740-751.

[68] Afshin A, Forouzanfar MH, Reitsma MB, Sur P, Estep K, Lee A, et al. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. The New England journal of medicine 2017;377:13-27.

[69] World Health Organization. Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases 2013–2020. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.

Table 1. Model Forecasts- 2016 & 2030

Figure 1. Distribution of NAFLD Population by Fibrosis Stage – 2016 & 2030

Figure 2. Distribution of NASH Population by Fibrosis Stage – 2015 & 2030

Figure 3. Incident Decompensated Cirrhosis, HCC and Liver-Related Deaths among

. Dett.



#### Figure 1. Distribution of NAFLD Population by Fibrosis Stage – 2016 & 2030



Italy, 2016 Italy, 2030 25.0 suojiliju 17.4 20.0 15.2 14.4 13.3 15.0 10.0 5.0 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.7 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.0 Total F3 F4 Total F4 F0 F1 F2 F0 F1 F2 F3



Spain, 2016











Figure 2. Distribution of NASH Population by Fibrosis Stage – 2015 & 2030



Germany, 2016

Germany, 2030





Japan, 2016













US, 2016

US, 2030





#### NAFLD Disease Progression Model



#### Highlights

- Fatty liver disease is a growing cause of cirrhosis and liver cancer globally.
- Disease burden is expected to increase with the epidemics of obesity and diabetes.
- Modeling shows slow growth in total cases and greater increase in advanced cases.
- Mortality and advanced liver disease will more than double during 2016-2030.

#### Table 1. Model Forecasts- 2016 & 2030

2016 Genutry Propulation (000)         1.325.00         94.100         94.00	2016 Country Population (000) 2030 Country Population (000) Adult Obesity Prevalence 2016 2030 NAFLD 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Total Cases	1,382,300 1,415,500 BMI≥25 kg/m2 26,9% 28,4% 243,661,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22,2%	64,700 68,000 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 15.9% 17.7% 13,982,000 21.6%	80,700 79,300 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 25.2% 26.1% 18,447,000	59,800 59,100 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 10.9% 11.4%	126,600 120,600 BMI ≥25 kg/m2 23.9% 24.5%	46,100 45,900 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 18.0% 18.9%	64,200 68,600 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 26.9% 28.6%	324, 355, BMI ≥30 kg 39 41
Addit Obersy Preputation (vol)         11,13,00         0,00,00         10,00,00	Adult Obesity Prevalence 2016 2030 NAFLD 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages)	1,415,500 BMI ≥25 kg/m2 26.9% 28.4% 243,661,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%	58,000 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 15.9% 17.7% 13,982,000 21.6%	79,300 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 25.2% 26.1% 18,447,000	59,100 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 10.9% 11.4%	120,600 BMI ≥25 kg/m2 23.9% 24.5%	45,900 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 18.0% 18.9%	68,600 BMI ≥30 kg/m2 26.9% 28.6%	355, BMI ≥30 kg/ 39. 41.
Alein Cossey Privalence 2016 20.5% 15.5% 52.5% 010.5% 22.5% 10.0% 22.5% 11.5% 22.5% 15.5% 22.5% 2000 2.8.6% 17.7% 20.5% 11.5% 22.5% 22.5% 22.5% 22.5% 22.5% 22.5% 2016 Procisionce (all ages 215,6% 00 11,862,000 156,47,000 152,77,000 12,255,000 10,552,000 14.075,000 155,27 2016 Total Cases 214,680,000 11,864,000 22,755,000 12,557,000 12,557,000 11,057,000 155,27 2017 Previous (all ages 211,046,000 11,876,000 15,122,000 12,515,000 12,557,000 12,557,000 11,057,000 155,27 2018 Total Cases 211,046,000 11,1876,000 15,122,000 12,515,000 16,527,000 11,0475,000 155,27 2018 Total Cases 211,046,000 11,876,000 15,122,000 12,515,000 18,557,000 14,075,000 15,075,98 2018 Total Cases 211,046,000 11,876,000 15,122,000 12,511,000 16,587,000 11,0475,000 15,155,000 11,057,000 13,1565,000 11,057,000 13,1565,000 11,057,000 13,1565,000 11,057,000 13,1565,000 13,1555,000 14,1565,000 14,1576,000 13,	Adult Obesity Prevalence 2016 2030 NAFLD 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	243,661,000 243,561,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%	13,982,000 21.6%	25.2% 26.1% 18,447,000	10.9% 11.4%	23.9% 24.5%	BMI 230 kg/m2 18.0% 18.9%	26.9% 28.6%	BMI 230 Kg 39. 41.
2010         28.6%         15.5%         23.2%         10.9%         23.9%         18.0%         28.9%         41           2020         28.4%         17.7%         22.1%         72.1%         72.1%         72.4%         10.9%         22.9%         22.9%         22.9%         21.9%         22.9%         22.9%         21.9%         22.9% <td>2016 2030 NAFLD 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)</td> <td>26.9% 28.4% 243,661,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%</td> <td>15.9% 17.7% 13,982,000 21.6%</td> <td>25.2% 26.1% 18,447,000</td> <td>10.9% 11.4%</td> <td>23.9% 24.5%</td> <td>18.0% 18.9%</td> <td>26.9% 28.6%</td> <td>39. 41.</td>	2016 2030 NAFLD 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	26.9% 28.4% 243,661,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%	15.9% 17.7% 13,982,000 21.6%	25.2% 26.1% 18,447,000	10.9% 11.4%	23.9% 24.5%	18.0% 18.9%	26.9% 28.6%	39. 41.
2030         28.4%         17.7%         20.1%         11.4%         24.4%         18.9%         28.9%         41           2016         1708106         15.982.000         15.842.000         15.842.000         15.217.000         22.95%         17.9%         22.94         17.9%         22.94         17.9%         22.94         17.9%         22.94         17.9%         22.94         17.9%         22.94         15.9%         15.92         23.95%         15.9%         27.9%         12.97.00         15.97         0.27.9%         22.94         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         15.9%         12.97%         13.98.00         13.185.00         13.98.00	2030 NAFLD 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	28.4% 243,661,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%	17.7% 13,982,000 21.6%	26.1%	11.4%	24.5%	18.9%	28.6%	41.3
MAFLO       245.651.000       13.892.000       15.817.000       2.2665.000       10.552.000       14.079.000       65.266         2016 Total Cases       314.550.000       12.057.000       22.69%       25.69%       12.0500       12.052.000       11.079.000       15.217.000       12.265.000       11.079.000       15.227.000       12.053.000       11.075.000       17.076%       22.9%       23.69%       23.64%       23.69%       13.087.000       12.055.000       17.076%       24.776       24.776	NAFLD 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	243,661,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%	13,982,000 21.6%	18,447,000					
2016 Total Cases         24.86 (100)         119.82,000         119.82,000         12.87,000         22.86(000)         10.82,2000         14.079,000         85.2000           2005 Total Cases         314.590,000         15.064,000         20.95,000         17.74%         22.85         11.97%         02.85,000         15.92,000         15.92,000         15.92,000         15.92,000         15.92,000         15.92,000         77.48         27.67%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         22.77%         17.47%,000         37.828,000	2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	243,661,000 17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%	13,982,000 21.6%	18,447,000					
2016 Prevalence (all ages)         17.6%         22.1%         22.9%         22.4%         17.9%         22.1%         22.1%         22.1%         22.1%         22.1%         22.1%         22.6%         22.7%         23.7%         23.7%         23.7%         23.7%         2	2016 Prevalence (all ages) 2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	17.6% 314,580,000 22.2%	21.6%		15,217,000	22,666,000	10,532,000	14,079,000	85,266,0
2000 Total Cases         314.880.000         110.946.000         27.74.000         22.735.000         11.2653.000         11.697.000         100.000           2020 Previsence (all ages)         22.75%         22.84%         22.64%         23.64%         4.44%         3.05%         4.41%         3.65%         4.75%         02.76%         22.75%         22.64%         22.64%         22.64%         22.64%         22.64%         23.64%         4.44%         3.05%         4.41%         3.65%         4.75%         4.75%         4.75%         22.66%         70.63         3.76%         2.65%         70.76%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%         72.66%	2030 Total Cases 2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	314,580,000 22.2%		22.9%	25.4%	17.9%	22.9%	21.9%	26.3
2020 Prevalence (nl agen)         2.2.%         2.8.%         8.4.%         2.9.%         1.8.%         2.7.%         2.4.7.%         2.4.7.%         0.8           2016 Total Cases         21.1.4%.000         11.575.000         15.722.000         11.8.94.000         11.8.94.000         11.8.94.000         11.8.94.000         11.8.94.000         11.8.94.000         13.8.700.00         15.1.8.900         13.8.700.00         15.1.8.900         13.8.700.00         15.1.8.900         13.8.700.00         15.1.8.900         13.8.700.00         15.1.8.900         13.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         15.8.700.00         2.9.700.00         3.7.8.700.00         4.8.40.700.00	2030 Prevalence (all ages) NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	22.2%	16,046,000	20,945,000	17,421,000	22,735,000	12,653,000	16,921,000	100,901,0
NAFL         11,675,000         11,575,000         12,511,000         18,304,000         8,728,000         11,475,000         57,292           2016 Total Gases         226,3730         11,875,000         15,272,000         118,475,000         57,280,000         13,876,000         74,870,000         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,000         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,870,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00         74,970,00	NAFL 2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)	/0	23.6%	26.4%	29.5%	18.8%	27.6%	24.7%	28.4
2016         211,048,000         11,678,000         15,72,000         15,722,000         12,878,000         87,248,00         97,449,000         97,440,00         9,250,000         97,449,000         97,440,00         92,750,000         97,640,00         92,750,000         97,640,00         92,750,00         97,640,00         92,750,00         97,640,00         92,750,00         97,640,00         92,750,00         97,640,00         92,750,00         97,760	2016 Total Cases 2016 Prevalence (all ages)								
2016 Prevalence (all ages)         15.3%         18.1%         18.7%         21.1%         11.4%         18.9%         17.9%         21.1%           2030 Total Cases         226.315.00         12.627.00         11.8415.000         9.268.00         13.847.000         7.368.00         7.318.000         7.318.000         7.318.000         7.318.00         7.318.000         7.318.000         7.318.00	2016 Prevalence (all ages)	211,049,000	11,676,000	15,122,000	12,611,000	18,904,000	8,728,000	11,476,000	67,949,0
200 Total Gase         200 State Classes         200 Prevalence (all ages)         13,880,000         12,857,000         16,276,000         16,276,000         15,758         21,776         13,278         200           2010 Prevalence (all ages)         32,812,200         2,305,800         3,255,400         2,305,700         3,761,500         1,060,700         2,602,700         17,7316           2016 Total Cases         32,812,200         2,305,800         3,255,400         2,706,400         4,304,00         2,602,700         3,763,300         2,70,20           2016 Total Cases         48,282,200         3,385,400         4,758,000         3,764,400         4,267,300         2,70,20         27,002           2030 Prevalence (all ages)         3,41%         5,0%         6,70%         6,37%         3,97%         5,5%         7           Total of Tabal Cases         10,159,100         467,600         513,200         4458,070         337,000         347,800         2,37,000         37,74         4         20           2016 Total Cases         10,159,100         467,600         513,200         447,87,00         3,250,300         476,700         3,242,00         2,502         2,030,200         42,030,200         2,030,200         2,13,030         7,2         6,83         <		15.3%	18.1%	18.7%	21.1%	14.9%	18.9%	17.9%	21.
2030 Provisions (all ages)         18.8%         18.6%         2.0.4%         2.3.1%         15.3%         2.1.7%         19.2%         2.0           NSH         2016 Total Cases         32.612.300         2.3056.800         3.325.400         2.605.700         3.761.900         1.803.700         2.607.700         7.7318         2.607.700         3.761.900         1.803.700         2.607.700         2.607.700         3.761.900         1.803.700         2.607.700         2.607.700         3.761.900         1.803.700         2.607.700         2.607.700         3.761.900         1.803.700         2.607.700         2.607.700         3.761.900         4.78.000         3.764.600         4.201.400         4.201.400         2.607.700         2.607.700         3.47.7         6.77.7         3.67         4.77.80         3.67.60         4.67.00         3.37.60         4.67.00         3.37.60         4.67.00         3.37.60         4.64.200         2.500.72         2.500.72         2.500.72         3.77.4         6.7         4.72.0         3.37.60         4.67.00         2.500.72         2.500.72         2.500.72         2.500.72         2.500.72         3.500.72         4.67.0         3.500.72         4.67.0         3.500.72         4.67.0         2.500.72         2.500.72         5.560.72         5.760.7	2030 Total Cases	266,318,000	12,657,000	16,206,000	13,675,000	18,415,000	9,966,000	13,168,000	73,898,0
NASH 2016 Total Cases 2,2612.300 2,305.800 3,325.400 2,607.700 3,761.900 3,395 4,61% 5 2030 Total Cases 445,262.700 3,388.900 4,719.000 3,3764.000 4,2097.000 2,687.700 3,753.900 27.072 2030 Prevalence (all ages) 3,4% 5,0% 6,6% 6,3% 3,6% 5,5% 5,5% 5,5% 7,072 2030 Prevalence (all ages) 3,4% 5,0% 6,6% 6,3% 3,6% 5,5% 5,5% 7,072 2030 Total Cases 10,159.100 467.600 513.200 4945.000 337.000 476.700 3,444 2016 Total Cases 10,159.100 347.900 479.500 447.800 435.700 330.500 464.200 2,500 2030 Incidence Rate (ger 1000) 7,3 7,2 6,4 8,3 3,44 7,3 7,4 - 2030 Total Cases 10,346.100 347.900 479.500 447.800 330.500 464.200 2,500 2030 Incidence Rate (ger 1000) 7,3 5,1 6,0 7,1 3,6 7,2 6,8 2016 Total Cases 10,346.100 347.900 12,10 4,750 4,720 3,050 464.200 2,500 2030 Incidence Rate (ger 1000) 7,3 5,1 6,0 7,1 3,6 7,2 6,8 2016 Uner Related Mortality 25,580 2,490 5,180 4,670 4,720 3,260 4,670 30 2016 Eccess CVD Mortality 103.840 5,460 8,350 16,870 11,790 4,530 7,240 3,0 2030 Eccess CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 111.22 15,700 7,850 11,380 8,33 2030 Eccess CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.220 45,700 7,850 11,380 8,33 2030 Eccess CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,380 8,33 2030 Eccess CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,380 8,33 2030 Eccess CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,380 8,33 2030 Eccess CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,380 8,33 2030 Eccess CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,380 8,33 2030 Ecces CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,580 8,33 2030 Ecces CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,580 8,33 2030 Ecces CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,660 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,580 8,33 2030 Ecces CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,690 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,590 8,33 2030 Ecces CVD Mortality 163.92 9,890 13,690 11.20 45,700 7,850 11,590 13,690 11,590 13,690 13,690 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,590 13,5	2030 Prevalence (all ages)	18.8%	18.6%	20.4%	23.1%	15.3%	21.7%	19.2%	20.
101 Colai Cases         32,852,300         2,305,800         3,325,400         2,605,700         3,71,900         1,803,300         2,602,700         1,7316           2016 Prevalence (all ages)         2,44%         3,65%         4,11%         4,44%         3,305         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,27,000         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,754,300         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         2,700,00         3,753,300         3,754,700         3,740         3,753         3,754         3,753         3,724         3,754         3,754         3,754         3,754         3,754 </td <td>NASH</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	NASH								
2010         Prevalence (sli ages)         2.4%         3.6%         4.1%         4.4%         3.0%         2.3%         4.1%         5.5           2030         Total Cases         48,262.200         3,388.900         4,739.000         3,746,400         4,202.400         2,697,300         3,753.300         27,002         27,000         33,7600         435,700         337,000         476,700         5.1%         6.0%         6.3%         3.4%         5.0%         6.0%         6.3%         3.4%         5.0%         6.0%         6.3%         3.4%         5.0%         6.0%         6.3%         3.4%         5.0%         7           Correct Cases         10,139,100         467,600         513.200         498,500         435,700         337,000         476,200         2.500           2030         Total Cases         10,348,100         347,900         479,500         447,800         436,700         330,500         446,200         2.500           2030         Total Cases         10,348,100         347,900         4,870         4,720         3.260         4,870         3.0         7.580         4.870         3.0         7.580         1.550         2.200         2.500         2.2161         1.040         8,130 <td< td=""><td>2016 Total Cases</td><td>32.612.300</td><td>2,305,800</td><td>3.325.400</td><td>2.605.700</td><td>3.761.900</td><td>1.803.700</td><td>2.602.700</td><td>17.316.</td></td<>	2016 Total Cases	32.612.300	2,305,800	3.325.400	2.605.700	3.761.900	1.803.700	2.602.700	17.316.
2330 Total Cases         48,262.200         3,388.900         4,739.000         3,746,400         4,320,400         2,687,300         3,763.300         27,002           2030 Prevalence (at ages)         3,4%         5.0%         6.0%         6.5%         3.8%         5.9%         5.5%         7           Incident XFLD         2015 Total Cases         10,139,100         467,600         513.200         498,550         438,700         337,000         476,700         3.444           2016 Total Cases         10,346,100         347,900         479,500         417,800         436,700         330,500         4461,200         2.500           2030 Total Cases         10,348,100         347,900         479,500         417,800         436,700         330,500         4641,200         2.500           X381 Mortality         25,580         2.490         5,180         4,570         4,720         3.260         4,670         330         7,2         6.8           2016 Excess CVD Mortality         20,580         5,460         8,500         6,570         11,790         4,530         7,240         46           3030 Liver Related Mortality         163,920         9,890         13,660         11,220         15,700         7,850         11,900	2016 Prevalence (all ages)	2.4%	3.6%	4.1%	4.4%	3.0%	3.9%	4.1%	5.
Instruction         Instruction <thinstruction< th=""> <thinstruction< th=""></thinstruction<></thinstruction<>	2030 Total Cases	48 262 200	3,388,900	4,739,000	3,746,400	4,320,400	2,687 300	3,753,300	27 002 1
Loor         Core         Core <th< td=""><td>2030 Prevalence (all ares)</td><td>-0,202,200</td><td>5,000,900</td><td>-,,,00,000</td><td>6 20/</td><td>3,6%</td><td>5.00/</td><td>5,700,500</td><td>21,002,0</td></th<>	2030 Prevalence (all ares)	-0,202,200	5,000,900	-,,,00,000	6 20/	3,6%	5.00/	5,700,500	21,002,0
2016 Total Cases       10,1139,100       467,600       513,200       498,500       436,700       337,000       476,700       3,444         2016 Total Cases       10,348,100       347,900       479,500       417,800       438,700       330,500       464,200       2,500         2030 Total Cases       10,348,100       347,900       479,500       417,800       438,700       330,500       464,200       2,500         2030 Total Cases       10,348,100       347,900       479,500       417,800       438,700       330,500       464,200       2,500         2030 Total Cases       10,348,100       347,900       479,500       417,800       438,700       330,500       464,200       2,500         2015 Liver Related Mortality       25,580       2,490       5,180       4,870       4,720       3,260       4,870       300       17,80       10,300       7,80         2030 Excess CVD Mortality       103,840       5,640       8,350       6,870       11,200       15,700       7,850       11,900       83         2030 Excess CVD Mortality       163,920       9,890       13,660       11,20       15,700       7,850       11,900       83	Incident NAELD	3.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.3%	5.0%	5.9%	5.5%	7.
2010 rule rule rules         10,139,100         446,700         515,200         449,200         337,000         476,700         3,34         7,3         7,4           2030 Total Cases         10,348,100         347,900         479,500         417,800         436,700         330,500         464,200         2,500           2030 Total Cases         10,348,100         347,900         479,500         417,800         436,700         330,500         464,200         2,500           2030 Incidence Rate (per 1000)         7,3         5,1         6,0         7,1         3,6         7,2         6,8           2016 Excess CVD Mortality         25,580         2,490         5,180         4,670         4,720         3,260         4,870         30,200           2016 Excess CVD Mortality         103,840         5,460         8,350         6,870         11,780         4,530         7,240         46           2030 Excess CVD Mortality         163,920         9,890         13,660         11,220         15,700         7,850         11,960         83           2030 Excess CVD Mortality         163,920         9,890         13,660         11,220         15,700         7,850         11,960         83		10 400 400	407.000	F40.000	400 500	100 700	007.000	470 700	0.444
2000 Incidence Rate (per 1000)       1,3       1,2       6.4       8.3       3,4       7,3       7,4       2,500         2030 Incidence Rate (per 1000)       7,3       5,1       6,0       7,1       3,6       7,2       6,8         NASH Mortality       25,580       2,490       5,180       4,870       4,720       3,260       4,870       30         2016 Liver Related Mortality       25,580       2,490       5,180       4,870       11,790       4,530       7,240       4,68         2030 Liver Related Mortality       55,740       7,030       12,510       10,490       8,130       7,590       10,330       78,         2030 Excess CVD Mortality       163,920       9,890       13,660       11,220       15,700       7,850       11,960       83		10,139,100	467,600	513,200	498,500	436,700	337,000	476,700	3,444,9
zory rear (Lases)         10,345,100         347,500         417,800         436,700         330,500         464,200         2,500           2030 Incidence Rate (per 1000)         7.3         5.1         7.1         3.6         7.2         6.8           2016 Excess CVD Mortality         25,580         2,490         5,180         4,870         4,720         3,260         4,870         30,000         45,800         4,870         4,620         4,870         4,870         3,260         4,870         4,870         3,260         4,870         4,620         5,180         4,870         5,180         4,870         4,620         4,870         4,620         1,1780         4,530         1,030         7,890         10,390         7,8         10,390         7,850         11,980         83           2030 Excess CVD Mortality         163,920         9,890         13,660         11,220         15,700         7,850         11,980         83	2016 Incidence Rate (per 1000)	7.3	7.2	6.4	8.3	3.4	7.3	7.4	1
2030 Incidence Rate (per 1000)         7.3         5.1         6.0         7.1         3.6         7.2         6.8           NASH Moriality         25,580         2,490         5,180         4,870         4,720         3,260         4,870         30, 2016 Excess CVD Moriality         103,840         5,460         8,350         6,870         11,790         4,530         7,240         46, 2030 Liver Related Moriality         15,300         7,580         10,390         7,580         10,390         7,650         10,390         7,850         10,390         7,850         11,960         833           2030 Excess CVD Moriality         163,920         9,890         13,660         11,220         15,700         7,850         11,960         833	2030 Total Cases	10,348,100	347,900	479,500	417,800	436,700	330,500	464,200	2,500,0
NASH Mortality         25,50         2,400         5,180         4,870         3,260         4,870         4,600         2016 Liver Related Mortality         103,840         5,460         8,350         6,870         11,790         4,530         7,240         4,60           2030 Liver Related Mortality         55,740         7,030         12,510         10,490         8,130         7,590         10,390         7,8<	2030 Incidence Rate (per 1000)	7.3	5.1	6.0	7.1	3.6	7.2	6.8	
2016 Liver Related Mortality       25,580       2,490       5,180       4,870       4,720       3,260       4,870       30         2016 Excess CVD Mortality       103,840       5,460       8,350       6,870       11,790       4,4530       7,244       46         2030 Liver Related Mortality       55,740       7,030       12,510       10,480       8,130       7,280       13,380       78         2030 Excess CVD Mortality       163,920       9,890       13,660       11,220       15,700       7,850       11,980       83	NASH Mortality								
2016 Excess CVD Mortality       103,840       5,460       8,320       6,870       11,790       4,530       7,240       446         2030 Liver Related Mortality       55,740       7,030       12,510       10,490       8,130       7,580       10,390       78         2030 Excess CVD Mortality       163,920       9,890       13,660       11,220       15,700       7,850       11,960       83	2016 Liver Related Mortality	25,580	2,490	5,180	4,870	4,720	3,260	4,870	30,2
2030 Liver Related Mortality         55,740         7,030         12,510         10,490         8,130         7,580         10,390         78           2030 Excess CVD Mortality         163,920         9,890         13,660         11,220         15,700         7,850         11,960         83	2016 Excess CVD Mortality	103,840	5,460	8,350	6,870	11,790	4,530	7,240	46,7
2030 Excess CVD Mortality 163,920 9,890 13,660 11,220 15,700 7,850 11,960 83	2030 Liver Related Mortality	55,740	7,030	12,510	10,490	8,130	7,590	10,390	78,3
			$\mathbf{V}$	*					
		Ò							
	6.								