



Article scientifique

Article

2019

Accepted version

Open Access

This is an author manuscript post-peer-reviewing (accepted version) of the original publication. The layout of the published version may differ .

Large-Scale Brain Network Dynamics Provide a Measure of Psychosis and Anxiety in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome

Zoeller, Daniela; Sandini, Corrado; Karahanoglu, Fikret Isik; Padula, Maria; Schaer, Marie; Eliez, Stéphan; Van De Ville, Dimitri

How to cite

ZOELLER, Daniela et al. Large-Scale Brain Network Dynamics Provide a Measure of Psychosis and Anxiety in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. In: Biological Psychiatry, 2019, vol. 4, n° 10, p. 881–892. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.04.004

This publication URL: <https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:138718>

Publication DOI: [10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.04.004)

Large-scale brain network dynamics provide a measure of psychosis and anxiety in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome.

Short title: Dynamic fMRI measures of psychosis & anxiety in 22q11DS

Daniela Zöllner^{a,b,c}, Corrado Sandini^c, Fikret Işık Karahanoglu^{d,e}, Maria Carmela Padula^{f,c}, Marie Schaer^c,
Stephan Eliez^c, Dimitri Van De Ville^{a,b}

^a*Medical Image Processing Laboratory, Institute of Bioengineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL),
Lausanne, Switzerland*

^b*Department of Radiology and Medical Informatics, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland*

^c*Developmental Imaging and Psychopathology Laboratory, Office Médico-Pédagogique, Department of Psychiatry, University
of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland*

^d*Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States*

^e*Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Charlestown, MA, United States*

^f*Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland*

Keywords: 22q11.2 deletion syndrome – resting-state fMRI dynamics – positive psychotic symptoms – anxiety – salience network – amygdala-prefrontal connectivity

Number of words in abstract: 246

Number of words in main text (including headers): 4191

Number of figures: 5

Number of tables: 1

Number of supplementary documents: 1

*Corresponding author

Email address: daniela.zoller@epfl.ch (Daniela Zöllner)

Abstract

Background. Prodromal positive psychotic symptoms and anxiety are two strong risk factors for schizophrenia in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS). The analysis of large-scale brain network dynamics during rest is promising to investigate aberrant brain function and identify potentially more reliable biomarkers.

Methods. We retrieved and examined dynamic properties of large-scale functional brain networks using innovation-driven co-activation patterns (iCAPs). The study included resting-state functional magnetic resonance scans from 78 patients with 22q11DS and 85 healthy controls. After group comparison of temporal brain network activation properties, functional signatures of prodromal psychotic symptoms and anxiety were extracted using multivariate partial least squares correlation.

Results. Patients with 22q11DS had shorter activation in cognitive brain networks, longer activation in emotion processing networks and generally increased segregation between brain networks. The functional signature of prodromal psychotic symptoms confirmed an implication of cingulo-prefrontal salience network activation duration and coupling. Further, the functional signature of anxiety uncovered an implication of amygdala activation and coupling, indicating differential roles of dorsal and ventral sub-divisions of anterior cingulate (ACC) and medial prefrontal cortices (mPFC). Coupling of amygdala with dorsal ACC and mPFC was promoting anxiety, whereas coupling with ventral ACC and mPFC had a protective function.

Conclusions. In summary, using iCAPs for dynamic large-scale brain network analysis, we uncovered patterns of brain network activation duration and coupling that are relevant in clinical risk factors for psychosis in 22q11DS. Our results confirm that the dynamic nature of brain network activation contains essential function to develop clinically relevant imaging markers of psychosis vulnerability.

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a strongly debilitating mental disorder both for affected individuals and in terms of societal cost (1, 2). Converging evidence suggests that schizophrenia is a progressive neurodevelopmental disorder, given that in most cases, sub-clinical psychiatric and cognitive symptoms of the disorder are present several years prior to the onset of a full-blown psychotic episode (1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7). The neurodevelopmental model critically implies that earlier interventions might prove more effective in preventing the progression towards psychosis (5, 8). Hence extensive research has been devoted to characterizing the prodromal disease stage, also known as psychosis High-Risk State (3). In particular, the presence of attenuated positive psychotic symptoms, operationalized in the Ultra-High-Risk criteria (9), confers a strongly increased 30-40% risk of developing psychosis (10). While current clinical management is based purely on clinical observation (11, 12), the identification of biomarkers of early psychosis could improve our understanding of the pathophysiology in its earliest disease stage (13). In this sense, the addition of imaging markers to the existing clinical diagnostic tools could allow the establishment of more precise biomarker-informed stages in the evolution of psychosis, which would give way to more targeted therapeutic strategies and improved clinical outcomes (1, 8, 13).

Chromosome 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) is a neurodevelopmental disorder coming with a highly elevated risk for schizophrenia with a 30%-40% prevalence by adulthood (14). Most patients with 22q11DS are diagnosed already during childhood, which allows to characterize the earliest stages of schizophrenia's disease course (1, 15). Similarly to the general population the presence of attenuated psychotic symptoms strongly increases the risk of psychosis in 22q11DS pointing to a common clinical trajectory with non-syndromic schizophrenia (16). Moreover, anxiety has emerged as another strong risk factor for psychosis in 22q11DS (17, 18). These clinical findings point to the particular importance of understanding the pathophysiology and characterizing biomarkers of attenuated psychotic symptoms and anxiety in 22q11DS.

Among the tools to characterize biomarkers, resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) has emerged as promising (19). fMRI provides the unique opportunity to non-invasively observe brain function, and the resting condition is especially well-suited in clinical populations because it requires minimal compliance from participants. Most studies on rs-fMRI in psychosis to date have used static functional connectivity (sFC); i.e., the correlation between the activation in different brain regions over the whole scanning time (20). However, a limitation of such static approaches is that they ignore the inherently dynamic nature of brain activity with potentially valuable information contained in dynamic changes of activation and connectivity (21, 22, 23, 24, 25). In this perspective, dynamic approaches have the potential

to identify more precise and more reliable biomarkers, and are particularly promising in schizophrenia, given the multiplicity of affected behavioral domains and brain circuits (26, 27, 28, 29, 20). Studies on dynamic brain function in schizophrenia point towards disrupted dynamic interaction between several brain states, in particular of subcortico-cortical connectivity (30) and connections of the default mode network (DMN; 31, 32, 33, 34). The few studies to date investigating dynamic FC (dFC) in individuals at clinical high risk found reduced dFC of salience network (SN) and DMN (35) and stronger alterations in early schizophrenia patients than subjects at ultra high risk (36), underlining the potential of dynamic brain function to improve our understanding of the pathophysiology in subjects at risk for schizophrenia.

Despite these promises of dynamic fMRI analysis, functional neuroimaging research in 22q11DS has so far mostly focused on static functional features (37, 38, 39, 40, 41), often targeting only specific networks such as the DMN (42, 43). The studies who explicitly investigated psychotic symptoms in 22q11DS showed correlations of DMN dysconnectivity with prodromal psychotic symptoms (37), as well as successful discrimination between patients at high vs. low risk based whole-brain rs-fMRI (38) and hypoconnectivity of DMN, SN, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and frontoparietal network (FPN; 40). Further, in the only two studies to date investigating a dynamic feature of brain function in 22q11DS – the variability of blood-oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signals – we found widespread reductions in brain variability in 22q11DS (44), and reduced variability in the dorsal ACC in patients with higher prodromal psychotic symptoms (45). In general, aberrant function, but also structure of the ACC has been suggested as a neuroimaging marker for the development of psychosis in 22q11DS (46) and might reflect dysfunctional self-monitoring and salience processing, possible mechanisms for the emergence of psychosis (47).

Among the multiple methods to investigate dynamic fMRI (23), many have already been applied in schizophrenia as outlined above (26, 36). Sliding-window dFC tracks changes in FC by computing FC in a temporal window that is shifted over time (21, 34), but are limited by the necessity to choose the window size, and can only detect relatively slow changes in FC (48). Alternatively, so-called first-order approaches rely on temporal clustering of fMRI frames to obtain “co-activation patterns” (CAPs) (49). Here, even fast changes can be traced as no minimum activation duration needs to be specified. However, only one brain state (or CAP) can be active at a time point. To overcome these limitations, the recently introduced innovation-driven co-activation patterns (iCAPs) framework detects moments of significantly *changing* brain activity to extract large-scale brain networks and their dynamic properties (50, 25, 51). Here, brain networks are retrieved from dynamic activation changes, which allows to robustly retrieve spatially *and* temporally overlapping brain networks.

In this study, we complement the existing literature on dFC in schizophrenia by using iCAPs combined with multivariate pattern analysis to identify potential biomarkers for psychosis vulnerability in 22q11DS. We detect functional fingerprints of anxiety and positive prodromal symptoms, two symptoms that have emerged as reliable predictors of psychosis in 22q11DS (18, 16).

Methods and Materials

Participants

The study included 221 subjects (111 patients with 22q11DS, 110 healthy controls (HCs), both aged 8–30 years). We excluded 33 patients and 25 HCs to ensure good data quality (see Supplementary Methods). The final sample included 78 patients with 22q11DS (37 males) and 85 HCs (36 males, see Table 1). HCs were recruited among patients’ siblings and through the Geneva state school system and had no present or past history of neurological or psychiatric disorders.

Prodromal positive psychotic symptoms in patients with 22q11DS were assessed using the Structured Interview of Prodromal Symptoms (SIPS; 52). The SIPS was not conducted in HCs. Anxiety was assessed both in HCs and patients with 22q11DS by combining the Child Behavioral Checklist (CBCL) Anxious-Depressed scale (53), and the Adult Behavioral Checklist (ABCL) Anxious scale in adults above 18 years old (54).

Participants and their parents (for minors) gave their written informed consent and the research protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Geneva University School of Medicine.

Image acquisition

All MRI brain scans were acquired at the Centre d’Imagerie BioMédicale (CIBM) in Geneva on a Siemens Trio (12-channel coil; 54 HCs, 42 patients) and a Siemens Prisma (20-channel coil; 31 HCs, 36 patients) 3 Tesla scanner. Structural images were obtained with a T1-weighted sequence of $0.86 \times 0.86 \times 1.1 \text{ mm}^3$ volumetric resolution (192 coronal slices, TR = 2500 ms, TE = 3 ms, acquisition matrix = 224×256 , field of view = 22 cm^2 , flip angle = 8°). Rs-fMRI data were recorded with a T2*-weighted sequence of 8 minutes (voxel size = $1.84 \times 1.84 \times 3.2 \text{ mm}$, 38 axial slices, TR = 2400 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 85°). Subjects were instructed to fixate a cross on the screen, let their mind wander and not to fall asleep.

Preprocessing

Before applying the iCAPs pipeline, MRI scans were preprocessed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM12, <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/>) and functions of the Data Processing Assistant for Resting-

Table 1: Participants demographics. N/A = not applicable.

	HC	22q11DS	p-value
Number of subjects (M/F)	85 (36/49)	78 (37/41)	0.514 (χ^2)
Age mean $\pm$ SD (range)	16.73 $\pm$ 5.85 (8.1-30.0)	17.19 $\pm$ 5.37 (8.1-29.7)	0.603
Right handed*	80.00%	77.94%	0.715 (χ^2)
IQ**	110.12 $\pm$ 13.78	70.01 $\pm$ 12.41	<0.001
N. subjects meeting criteria for psychiatric diagnosis	N/A	43 (55%)	
Anxiety disorder	N/A	9	
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder	N/A	8	
Mood disorder	N/A	5	
Schizophrenia or Schizoaffective Disorder	N/A	4	
More than one psychiatric disorder	N/A	17	
N. subjects medicated			
Methylphenidate	0	9	
Antipsychotics	0	3	
Anticonvulsants	0	1	
Antidepressants	0	1	
More than one class of medication	0	3	

* Handedness was measured using the Edinburgh laterality quotient, right-handedness was defined by a score of more than 50. ** IQ was measured using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-III (55) for children and the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III (56) for adults.

State fMRI (DPARF; 57) and Individual Brain Atlases using Statistical Parametric Mapping (IBASPM; 58) toolboxes. After realignment of functional scans, we applied spatial smoothing with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full width half maximum and coregistered structural scans to the functional mean. Structural images were segmented with the SPM12 *Segmentation* algorithm (59) and a study-specific template was generated using Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration using Exponential Lie algebra (DARTEL; 60). Then, the first five functional scans were excluded and average white-matter and CSF signals were regressed out from the BOLD timeseries. We applied motion scrubbing (61) for correction of motion artifacts, marking frames with a framewise displacement of more than 0.5 mm. As the filters implemented in the iCAPs framework require a constant sampling rate, marked frames were replaced by the spline interpolation of previous and following frames. Finally, motion frames were excluded before computation of temporal characteristics (described below).

Total Activation and iCAPs

We used openly available Matlab code (<https://c4science.ch/source/iCAPs/>) to apply iCAPs (50, 25, 51). We first employed Total Activation (62, 63, 64), which applies hemodynamically-informed deconvolution to the fMRI timeseries through spatio-temporal regularization. Significant activation changepoints (i.e., transients), derived from deconvolved timeseries, were concatenated across all subjects and fed into temporal K-means clustering to obtain simultaneously transitioning brain patterns, the iCAPs. The optimum number of 17 clusters was determined by consensus clustering (65, see Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). Finally, time courses were obtained for all iCAPs using spatio-temporal transient-informed regression (51). A detailed description of all steps can be found in the Supplementary Methods.

Extraction of temporal properties

For computation of temporal properties, iCAPs time courses were z-scored within each subject and thresholded at a z-score $> |1|$ to determine ‘active’ timepoints (50). For each iCAP, we then computed the total duration of overall activation as percentage of the total non-motion scanning time.

Further, coupling and anti-coupling duration of two iCAPs were calculated as timepoints of same-signed or oppositely-signed co-activation measured as percentage of the total non-motion scanning time or as Jaccard score; i.e., percent joint activation time of the two respective iCAPs.

Statistical analysis

Group comparisons of iCAPs activation measures. Duration and coupling measures between groups were compared using two-sample t-tests. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons with the false discovery rate (FDR).

Partial Least Squares Correlation. To evaluate multivariate patterns of correlation between behavioral variables and iCAPs activation measures, we used behavior partial least squares correlation (PLSC; 66). Briefly, we first computed a correlation matrix between behavioral variables and brain variables. Group-specific correlation matrices of HCs and patients with 22q11DS were concatenated and singular value decomposition of this matrix then lead to several correlation components (CorrComps). Each CorrComp is composed of a set of “behavior weights” and “iCAPs duration/coupling weights”, which indicate how strongly each variable contributes to the multivariate brain-behavior correlation. Significance of CorrComps was determined by permutation testing (1000 permutations). Stability of brain and behavior weights was obtained using bootstrapping (500 bootstrap samples). See Supplementary Methods for a detailed outline of PLSC.

Here, we first conducted two PLSC analyses with duration of altered iCAPs as brain variables and psychotic symptoms, respectively anxiety, as behavioral variables. In four more PLSC analyses, we then investigated positive couplings and anti-couplings of one selected iCAP for each behavioral measure. Due to differences in design of each PLSC in terms of measure type and number of items, we did not correct for multiple comparisons.

Nuisance variable regression. Age, gender and motion were included as nuisance regressors in group comparisons and PLSC analyses. Nuisance regressors were standardized within each group to avoid linear dependence with the effects of interest.

Results

Extracted spatial maps correspond to known resting-state networks

We applied the iCAPs framework to rs-fMRI scans of both HCs and patients with 22q11DS. Identified iCAPs correspond to well-known resting-state networks (see Figure 1 and Supplementary Table S2). The obtained networks included sensory-related networks such as primary visual (PrimVIS1 and PrimVIS2), secondary visual (SecVIS), auditory/sensorimotor (AUD/SM) and sensorimotor (SM) networks. The default mode network (DMN) was decomposed into anterior (aDMN), posterior (pDMN) and precuneus/ventral DMN (PREC/vDMN). There were two attention-related iCAPs; i.e., fronto-parietal network (FPN) and visuospatial network (VSN). Two iCAPs included regions commonly considered as the salience network: the anterior insula (aIN) and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex together with dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex (dACC/dlPFC). The remaining iCAPs comprised a language network (LAN), inferior temporal and fusiform (iTEMP/FUS), amygdala and hippocampus (AMY/HIP), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and prefrontal cortex (PFC).

Altered iCAPs' activation and coupling in 22q11DS

To probe into alterations of the identified networks' temporal properties in patients with 22q11DS, we first investigated aberrant activation duration followed by the analysis of altered network interactions; i.e., duration of positive coupling (co-activation with same sign) or anti-coupling (co-activation with opposite sign) between all pair-wise combinations of iCAPs.




Figure 1: Spatial patterns of the 17 iCAPs retrieved from all subjects, including both HCs and patients with 22q11DS. Locations denote displayed slices in MNI coordinates. Blue values denote the average consensus of each cluster, purple values indicate the total number of innovation frames that were assigned to this cluster. PrimVIS1 - primary visual 1, SecVIS - secondary visual, aIN - anterior insula, LAN - language network, dACC/dlPFC - dorsal anterior cingulate cortex/dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, SM - sensorimotor, PREC/vDMN - precuneus/ventral default mode network, PrimVIS2 - primary visual 2, FPN - fronto-parietal network, aDMN - anterior default mode network, pDMN - posterior default mode network, VSN - visuospatial network, AUD/SM - auditory/sensorimotor, iTEMP/FUS - inferior temporal/fusiform, AMY/HIP - amygdala/hippocampus, OFC - orbitofrontal cortex, PFC - prefrontal cortex.




Figure 2: Statistics of total temporal duration for each iCAP. P-values are FDR-corrected for the 17 multiple comparisons and age, gender and motion were included as covariates. Significant group differences ($p < 0.05$) were marked with an asterisk. Error bars indicate bootstrapping 5th to 95th percentiles. Single-subject duration measures were included as scatterplots. Corresponding test statistics (p-values, effect size) can be found in Supplementary Table S3.

Altered duration of iCAPs' activation. Figure 2 shows duration for all 17 iCAPs in percentage of total non-motion scanning time. Median total activation time ranged from 34.36 % for LAN to 1.54 % for PFC. Patients with 22q11DS had significantly shorter activation of dACC/dlPFC, PrimVIS2, FPN, aDMN and pDMN and significantly longer activation of SM, iTEMP/FUS, AMY/HIP and OFC.

Alterations in coupling between networks. Figure 3 shows significant group differences in iCAPs' coupling. For several networks the duration of coupling was longer in patients with 22q11DS than in controls. This was true for 6 positive couplings and 13 anti-couplings. Fewer networks had shorter duration of coupling in patients with 22q11DS (1 positive coupling, 5 anti-couplings). Globally, alterations were more numerous for anti-couplings (25 in total) than for positive couplings (6 in total).

Functional signature of positive psychotic symptoms

To look into the behavioral relevance of these aberrant activation and coupling, we conducted behavior PLSC including positive symptoms.

Altered iCAPs' duration associated with psychotic symptoms. A first PLSC analysis including positive SIPS items in 22q11DS and iCAPs' activation duration of the nine altered iCAPs (see figure 2) resulted in one significant CorrComp ($p = 0.05$, see figure 4A). Duration of dACC/dlPFC, FPN and iTEMP/FUS was positively correlated with all five positive psychotic symptoms.




Figure 3: Significant duration differences of positive couplings (red) and anti-couplings (blue) between patients with 22q11DS and HCs. A) Couplings with significantly longer duration in 22q11DS. B) Couplings with significantly shorter duration in 22q11DS. Couplings were measured in terms of percentage of total scanning time, or in percentage of the joint activation time of the two respective iCAPs (Jaccard score). We here show only differences that were significant in both coupling measures. Underlying group comparison statistics can be found in Supplementary Figure S4 and Supplementary Table S4.

Altered couplings of dACC/dlPFC associated with psychotic symptoms. Next, we investigated the relevance of couplings for psychotic symptoms. For this, we selected the dACC/dlPFC network based on its appearance in the previous analysis (see figure 4A), as well as literature associating ACC alterations with psychosis in 22q11DS (46). We included coupling time of dACC/dlPFC with iCAPs that had altered couplings (aIN, AUD/SM, iTEMP/FUS and AMY/HIP; see figure 3) and with iCAPs whose duration was significantly correlated with psychotic symptoms (FPN and iTEMP/FUS; see figure 4A).

A first PLSC analysis for anti-coupling time between dACC/dlPFC and these networks resulted in one significant CorrComp ($p=0.02$, see figure 4B) showing an association between higher positive symptoms and longer anti-coupling of dACC/dlPFC with FPN and iTEMP/FUS.

A second PLSC analysis for positive coupling time between dACC/dlPFC and these networks did not give any significant CorrComp ($p=0.58$).

Functional signature of anxiety

Finally, we conducted similar analyses to investigate dynamic brain network alterations associated with anxiety, another behavioral risk factor for psychosis in 22q11DS.




Figure 4: PLSC results for positive psychotic symptoms (Five SIPS items: Delusions, Suspiciousness, Grandiosity, Hallucinations and Disorganized Communication) in patients with 22q11DS. A) Behavior weights and brain weights for PLSC including duration of nine iCAPs with altered duration in 22q11DS. There is a positive correlation of positive psychotic symptoms with duration of dACC/dIPFC, FPN and iTEMP/FUS. B) Behavior weights and brain weights for PLSC including anti-couplings of dACC/dIPFC that were altered in 22q11DS. Longer anti-coupling of dACC/dIPFC with FPN and iTEMP/FUS is associated with higher positive symptoms. Error bars indicate bootstrapping 5th to 95th percentiles, robust results were indicated by yellow background. Exact values of bootstrap mean and 5-95 percentiles are reported in Supplementary Table S5. PLSC results for positive couplings were not significant ($p=0.6$) and are thus not reported here.

Altered iCAPs' duration associated with anxiety. We performed PLSC analysis between CBCL/ABCL anxiety scores in 22q11DS and HCs and iCAPs' duration, again including the nine iCAPs with altered duration (see figure 2). There was one significant CorrComp ($p=0.03$, see figure 5A). Both in HCs and patients with 22q11DS, longer activation of iTEMP/FUS and AMY/HIP and shorter activation of aDMN were associated with higher anxiety.

Altered couplings of AMY/HIP associated with anxiety. To further investigate coupling effects related to anxiety, we selected the AMY/HIP network, because its duration was related to anxiety in the previous analysis (see figure 5A) and because of the well-established involvement of these brain regions in anxiety (67). We included coupling time of AMY/HIP with iCAPs that had altered couplings (LAN, dACC/dIPFC, PREC/vDMN and FPN; see figure 3) and with iCAPs whose duration was significantly associated with anxiety (aDMN and iTEMP/FUS; see figure 5A).

A first PLSC analysis for anti-couplings between AMY/HIP and these networks gave no significant CorrComp ($p=0.07$).

A second PLSC analysis including positive couplings between AMY/HIP and these networks gave one




Figure 5: PLSC results for anxiety scores. A) Behavior weights and brain weights for PLSC including duration of nine altered iCAPs. There is a positive correlation of anxiety with duration of iTEMP/FUS and AMY/HIP and a negative correlation with duration of aDMN. B) Behavior weights and brain weights for PLSC including positive couplings of AMY/HIP. *Longer* positive coupling of AMY/HIP with LAN and dACC/dlPFC, and *shorter* positive coupling with aDMN are associated with *higher* anxiety *only* in patients with 22q11DS. Error bars indicate bootstrapping 5th to 95th percentiles, robust results were indicated by yellow background. Exact values of bootstrap mean and 5-95 percentiles are reported in Supplementary Table S6. PLSC results for anti-couplings were not significant ($p=0.07$) and are thus not reported here.

significant CorrComp ($p=0.006$, see figure 5B). Behavior weights were only robust for patients with 22q11DS, indicating that the corresponding pattern of correlation weights was specific for patients. Longer positive coupling of AMY/HIP with LAN and dACC/dlPFC was positively associated with anxiety, whereas positive coupling with aDMN was negatively associated with anxiety.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated dynamic features of network brain activity in patients with 22q11DS, with a particular focus on the identification of functional signatures of prodromal psychotic symptoms and anxiety, two behavioral risk factors for the transition to psychosis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate dynamics of large-scale functional brain networks in 22q11DS. We used iCAPs to go beyond static connectivity analysis and look into precise moments of brain network activation and interaction, which is particularly promising to provide more sensitive imaging markers in schizophrenia (26). We detected alterations of brain networks' duration and couplings in 22q11DS and associations between

these patterns of alterations with positive psychotic symptoms and anxiety.

Alterations in 22q11DS: implication of cognitive and emotional brain networks

Individuals with 22q11DS had a varied pattern of longer and shorter network activations, suggesting that they ‘over-engage’ in certain brain states, while ‘under-engaging’ in others. In particular, we found shorter activation of FPN, DMN and cingulo-prefrontal SN. According to the triple-network hypothesis, the dynamic interaction between these three networks, characterized by a shift between internally-oriented DMN and externally-oriented FPN mediated by salience-attributing SN, is central for higher cognitive functions (68). Conversely their dysfunction could account for several psychiatric symptoms. Here we observe reduced activation of all three networks in 22q11DS, possibly suggesting a malfunction of these basic brain dynamics, which speculatively may underlie broad impairments in higher cognitive function described both in 22q11DS and psychosis (69, 1). In turn, there was longer activation in networks comprising limbic regions including amygdala, medial temporal and orbitofrontal cortices. While the dichotomy between cognitive and emotional brain is arguably artificial, longer activation in regions highly involved in emotional processing such as amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex could reflect higher emotional load during scanning in patients with 22q11DS (70, 71).

The pattern of activation was significantly, but oppositely, related with age in both groups (see Supplementary Results), suggesting that the atypical activation pattern observed in 22q11DS emerges with age, in accordance with the neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia (1, 6).

Besides duration of activation, the iCAPs approach allowed us to probe the pattern of aberrant coupling between networks, which was characterized by predominantly longer anti-couplings in 22q11DS, accounting for more than half (13/25) of the alterations. Longer anti-coupling is suggestive of increased segregation between brain networks and is in agreement with evidence of increased segregation and decreased integration of structural and functional brain networks in both 22q11DS and non-syndromic psychosis (72, 73, 74, 75, 20, 76). Network segregation is a central feature of brain function that is important for cognition and attention (77) and its alterations in 22q11DS may be reflective of cognitive disabilities on a more global level than the above mentioned alterations in triple network activation that concentrates on three core networks.

Functional signature of psychosis prodrome: aberrant salience network duration and coupling

The presence of prodromal psychotic symptoms was associated with longer activation of iTEMP/FUS, dACC/dlPFC and FPN. Increased activation of inferior temporal and fusiform gyrus has been previously reported in schizophrenia in terms of relative cerebral blood flow (78, 79) and BOLD variability (80).

Also in 22q11DS, we observed higher BOLD variability in inferior temporal and fusiform regions in a partially overlapping sample (44), suggesting that increased BOLD variability might reflect longer network activation. Further, prodromal psychotic symptoms were associated with longer activation of dACC/dlPFC. The dACC is considered a key node of the SN involved in attributing subjective salience to internally and externally generated events (68, 81). Aberrant salience attribution has been proposed as key mechanism in the emergence of positive psychotic symptoms (47). Together with electroencephalogram (EEG) studies in psychosis and 22q11DS that consistently reported longer representation of the EEG topography that corresponds to SN (82, 83, 84, 85), our findings support this hypothesis.

However, whilst duration of both dACC/dlPFC and FPN was positively correlated with psychotic symptoms, it was reduced overall in 22q11DS compared to HCs. Converging evidence from both structural and functional MRI points towards altered connectivity of the ACC in individuals with 22q11DS and psychotic symptoms (86, 73, 38, 45), reviewed in (46). Hence we suspected that the quality of the activations; i.e., the coupling with other networks, might be relevant for higher psychotic symptoms. Indeed, the analysis of dACC/dlPFC couplings revealed a significant relationship between higher psychotic symptoms and anti-coupling with FPN and iTEMP/FUS. Taken together, these results suggest that whilst activations of dACC/dlPFC and FPN occur less frequently in 22q11DS in general, they are more frequently anti-coupled with one another and with iTEMP/FUS in patients with higher psychotic symptoms. The triple-network model proposes that activation of the SN is instrumental in re-orienting attention by mediating the shifts between DMN and FPN (68). Our findings of longer anti-coupling between SN and FPN suggest that this functional role of the cingulo-prefrontal SN is disrupted in individuals with higher psychotic symptoms.

Altogether, the richness of our iCAPs approach permitted to characterize a pattern reflecting SN activations that contribute to the pathophysiology of psychotic symptoms, both in terms of duration and quality. Our findings support the key role of network dynamics in the ACC in higher psychosis vulnerability (46) and point towards disrupted triple network function centered on the SN, which might reflect aberrant salience processing in patients with psychotic symptoms (68, 47).

Functional signature of anxiety: aberrant amygdala & hippocampus duration and coupling

For both HCs and patients with 22q11DS anxiety was associated with a pattern of longer activation of AMY/HIP, iTEMP/FUS and shorter activation of aDMN. Evidence in animal models and humans has revealed a central role of the amygdala in fear exposure, anticipation and reaction (70, 87, 88, 89, 67, 90). Further, increased metabolic activity in amygdala, hippocampus and inferior temporal cortex was found in rhesus monkeys with anxious temperament (91, 92) and cerebral blood flow in amygdala and fusiform

cortex has been associated with trait anxiety in humans (93). The iCAPs approach allowed us to quantify moments of network activation and confirmed that hyperactivity of AMY/HIP and iTEMP/FUS at rest could indeed represent trait markers of anxiety in both HCs and 22q11DS. Hyperactivity of AMY/HIP and iTEMP/FUS observed in 22q11DS could therefore account for increased prevalence of anxiety disorders in this population.

Importantly, the amygdala does not operate in isolation, but is part of a complex circuit involved in regulating emotional responses (94). Indeed, in accord with the role in salience processing mentioned above, dorsal ACC and mPFC promote amygdala activity and are critical in the appraisal and expression of fear behavior (94). Oppositely, subgenual-ACC and ventral mPFC largely dampen amygdala activity and are essential for fear extinction (94). This functional sub-division of the frontal lobe is further supported by extensive literature on fear circuitry in rodents, where dorsal pre-limbic and ventral infra-limbic cortices are found to have opposing roles on amygdala activation, fear expression, respectively fear extinction (87, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100). Given these findings, we speculated that the modulation of AMY/HIP activity particularly by the dACC/dlPFC and aDMN network might play a crucial role in the pathophysiology of anxiety. Indeed, we showed a significant positive association between anxiety and coupling duration between AMY/HIP and dACC/dlPFC and LAN. Coupling duration between AMY/HIP and aDMN had an opposite, protective role on anxiety in accordance with the modulating role of mPFC-AMY projections on fear expression. Of note, the effects of amygdala coupling on anxiety appeared specific to individuals with 22q11DS, which could suggest that effects of amygdala modulation are nonlinear and relate only to more severe anxiety observed in 22q11DS.

In conclusion, we observed a dynamic functional pattern characterized both by longer AMY/HIP activations and atypical prefrontal AMY/HIP modulation, which might constitute a trait maker of anxiety and contribute vulnerability to psychosis in 22q11DS.

Methodological aspects

The iCAPs framework. The present study is one of the first to apply the iCAPs framework in a clinical population and, due to the flexibility of the framework, we were able to discover distinct patterns of functional activation and interaction characteristic for prodromal psychotic symptoms and anxiety. The framework is unique in its ability to detect spatially *and* temporally overlapping networks (50, 51), and the robustness and richness of the presented results underlines its potential. Of note, extracted spatial patterns were highly similar to previously observed iCAPs retrieved from HCs (50, 51), which reassures the framework’s performance in a clinical population. Furthermore, the sub-division of classical resting-state networks such

as DMN and SN into multiple subnetworks confirms previously observed findings (50) and suggests that different subnetworks have distinct dynamic properties, which are difficult to detect by static approaches.

While iCAPs themselves were retrieved from a purely dynamic measure (i.e., the innovations), the measure of coupling between networks is closely linked to sFC (see Supplementary Results & Discussion). Activation duration, however, is a measure specific to each network which cannot be explained in terms of static connectivity.

BOLD signal analysis and motion. In any fMRI study non-neural confounds are always a concern (101). We have minimized the effects by taking several measures for motion correction and through additional analysis of motion (discussed in more detail in Supplementary Results & Discussion). However, as motion is strongly correlated with symptoms severity, it remains a limitation of our study.

Conclusion

In summary, we here presented functional signatures of anxiety and positive psychotic symptoms in 22q11DS in terms of brain network activation and coupling. Our results confirm the implication of SN activity and connectivity in the emergence of psychotic symptoms. We further uncovered differential roles of dorsal and ventral ACC and mPFC coupling with AMY that are relevant for anxiety. Together, these findings shed light into the pathophysiology of two clinical risk factors that might represent relevant imaging markers for psychosis vulnerability.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the subjects who participated in our study and thank Sarah Menghetti, Léa Chambaz, Virginie Pouillard and Dr. Maude Schneider for their involvement with the participants. We would also like to acknowledge Prof. François Lazeyras and the CIBM group for their support during data collection.

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under Grants #32473B_121966, #234730_144260 and #145250 to S. Eliez, and Grant #163859 to M. Schaer, and by the National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) “SYNAPSY - The Synaptic Bases of Mental Diseases”, SNSF, under Grants #51AU40_125759, #51NF40_158776 and #51NF40-185897.

Disclosure

All authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Insel, T. R. (2010). Rethinking schizophrenia. *Nature*, 468(7321):187–193.
- [2] Gore, F. M., Bloem, P. J., Patton, G. C., Ferguson, J., Joseph, V., Coffey, C., et al. (2011). Global burden of disease in young people aged 10-24 years: A systematic analysis. *The Lancet*, 377(9783):2093–2102.
- [3] Fusar-Poli, P., Borgwardt, S., Bechdolf, A., Addington, J., Riecher-Rössler, A., Schultze-Lutter, F., et al. (2013). The Psychosis High-Risk State. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70(1):107.
- [4] Kremen, W. S., Vinogradov, S., Poole, J. H., Schaefer, C. A., Deicken, R. F., Factor-Litvak, P., and Brown, A. S. (2010). Cognitive decline in schizophrenia from childhood to midlife: A 33-year longitudinal birth cohort study. *Schizophrenia Research*, 118(1-3):1–5.
- [5] Marín, O. (2016). Developmental timing and critical windows for the treatment of psychiatric disorders. *Nature Medicine*, 22(11):1229–1238.
- [6] Rapoport, J. L., Giedd, J. N., and Gogtay, N. (2012). Neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia: update 2012. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 17(12):1228–1238.
- [7] Yung, A. R., Yuen, H. P., McGorry, P. D., Phillips, L. J., Kelly, D., Dell’Olio, M., et al. (2005). Mapping the onset of psychosis: The Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 39(11-12):964–971.
- [8] Millan, M. J., Andrieux, A., Bartzokis, G., Cadenhead, K., Dazzan, P., Fusar-Poli, P., et al. (2016). Altering the course of schizophrenia: progress and perspectives. *Nature reviews. Drug discovery*, 15(7):485–515.
- [9] Yung, A. R., Stanford, C., Cosgrave, E., Killackey, E., Phillips, L., Nelson, B., and McGorry, P. D. (2006). Testing the Ultra High Risk (prodromal) criteria for the prediction of psychosis in a clinical sample of young people. *Schizophrenia Research*, 84(1):57–66.
- [10] Fusar-Poli, P., Bonoldi, I., Yung, A. R., Borgwardt, S., Kempton, M. J., Valmaggia, L., et al. (2012). Predicting Psychosis: Meta-analysis of Transition Outcomes in Individuals at High Clinical Risk. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 69(3):220–229.
- [11] Nelson, B., Amminger, G. P., Yuen, H. P., Wallis, N., J. Kerr, M., Dixon, L., et al. (2018). Staged Treatment in Early Psychosis: A sequential multiple assignment randomised trial of interventions for ultra high risk of psychosis patients. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 12(3):292–306.
- [12] Schmidt, S. J., Schultze-Lutter, F., Schimmelmann, B. G., Maric, N. P., Salokangas, R. K., Riecher-Rössler, A., et al. (2015). EPA guidance on the early intervention in clinical high risk states of psychoses. *European Psychiatry*, 30(3):388–404.
- [13] Kapur, S., Phillips, A. G., and Insel, T. R. (2012). Why has it taken so long for biological psychiatry to develop clinical tests and what to do about it. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 17(12):1174–1179.
- [14] Schneider, M., Debbané, M., Bassett, A. S., Chow, E. W. C., Fung, W. L. A., van den Bree, M. B. M., et al. (2014). Psychiatric Disorders From Childhood to Adulthood in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome: Results From the International Consortium on Brain and Behavior in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. *The American journal of psychiatry*, 171(6):627–639.
- [15] Bassett, A. S. and Chow, E. W. C. (1999). 22q11 deletion syndrome: A genetic subtype of schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 46(7):882–891.
- [16] Schneider, M., Armando, M., Pontillo, M., Vicari, S., Debbané, M., Schultze-Lutter, F., and Eliez, S. (2016). Ultra high risk status and transition to psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *World psychiatry : official journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)*, 15(3):259–265.

- [17] Gothelf, D., Feinstein, C., Thompson, T., Gu, E., Penniman, L., Van Stone, E., et al. (2007). Risk factors for the emergence of psychotic disorders in adolescents with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164(4):663–669.
- [18] Gothelf, D., Schneider, M., Green, T., Debbané, M., Frisch, A., Glaser, B., et al. (2013). Risk factors and the evolution of psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: A longitudinal 2-site study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 52(11).
- [19] Satterthwaite, T. D. and Baker, J. T. (2015). How can studies of resting-state functional connectivity help us understand psychosis as a disorder of brain development? *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 30(October 2014):85–91.
- [20] Van Den Heuvel, M. P. and Fornito, A. (2014). Brain networks in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychology Review*, 24(1):32–48.
- [21] Chang, C. and Glover, G. H. (2010). Time-frequency dynamics of resting-state brain connectivity measured with fMRI. *NeuroImage*, 50(1):81–98.
- [22] Christoff, K., Irving, Z. C., Fox, K. C. R., Spreng, R. N., and Andrews-Hanna, J. R. (2016). Mind-wandering as spontaneous thought: a dynamic framework. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17:718–731.
- [23] Preti, M. G., Bolton, T. A. W., and Van De Ville, D. (2017). The dynamic functional connectome: State-of-the-art and perspectives. *NeuroImage*, 160:41–51.
- [24] Hutchison, R. M., Womelsdorf, T., Gati, J. S., Everling, S., and Menon, R. S. (2013). Resting-state networks show dynamic functional connectivity in awake humans and anesthetized macaques. *Human Brain Mapping*, 34(9):2154–2177.
- [25] Karahanoglu, F. I. and Van De Ville, D. (2017). Dynamics of Large-Scale fMRI Networks: Deconstruct Brain Activity to Build Better Models of Brain Function. *Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering*, 3:28–36.
- [26] Calhoun, V. D., Miller, R., Pearlson, G., and Adali, T. (2014). The Chronnectome: Time-Varying Connectivity Networks as the Next Frontier in fMRI Data Discovery. *Neuron*, 84(2):262–274.
- [27] Buckholtz, J. W. and Meyer-Lindenberg, A. (2012). Psychopathology and the Human Connectome: Toward a Transdiagnostic Model of Risk For Mental Illness. *Neuron*, 74(6):990–1004.
- [28] Fornito, A., Zalesky, A., Pantelis, C., and Bullmore, E. T. (2012). Schizophrenia, neuroimaging and connectomics. *NeuroImage*, 62(4):2296–2314.
- [29] Van Den Heuvel, M. P., Sporns, O., Collin, G., Scheewe, T., Mandl, R. C., Cahn, W., et al. (2013). Abnormal rich club organization and functional brain dynamics in schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70(8):783–792.
- [30] Damaraju, E., Allen, E. A., Belger, A., Ford, J. M., McEwen, S., Mathalon, D. H., et al. (2014). Dynamic functional connectivity analysis reveals transient states of dysconnectivity in schizophrenia. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 5(July):298–308.
- [31] Du, Y., Pearlson, G. D., Yu, Q., He, H., Lin, D., Sui, J., et al. (2016). Interaction among subsystems within default mode network diminished in schizophrenia patients: A dynamic connectivity approach. *Schizophrenia Research*, 170(1):55–65.
- [32] Miller, R. L., Yaesoubi, M., Turner, J. A., Mathalon, D., Preda, A., Pearlson, G., et al. (2016). Higher Dimensional Meta-State Analysis Reveals Reduced Resting fMRI Connectivity Dynamism in Schizophrenia Patients. *PloS one*, 11(3):e0149849.
- [33] Su, J., Shen, H., Zeng, L. L., Qin, J., Liu, Z., and Hu, D. (2016). Heredity characteristics of schizophrenia shown by dynamic functional connectivity analysis of resting-state functional MRI scans of unaffected siblings. *NeuroReport*, 27(11):843–848.
- [34] Sakoglu, , Pearlson, G. D., Kiehl, K. A., Wang, Y. M., Michael, A. M., and Calhoun, V. D. (2010). A method for evaluating dynamic functional network connectivity and task-modulation: Application to schizophrenia. *Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine*, 23(5-6):351–366.
- [35] Pelletier-Baldelli, A., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., and Mittal, V. A. (2018). Resting state connectivity dynamics in individuals at risk for psychosis. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 127(3):314–325.

- [36] Du, Y., Fryer, S. L., Fu, Z., Lin, D., Sui, J., Chen, J., et al. (2018). Dynamic functional connectivity impairments in early schizophrenia and clinical high-risk for psychosis. *NeuroImage*, 180(April 2017):632–645.
- [37] Debbané, M., Lazouret, M., Lagioia, A., Schneider, M., Van De Ville, D., and Eliez, S. (2012). Resting-state networks in adolescents with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: Associations with prodromal symptoms and executive functions. *Schizophrenia Research*, 139(1-3):33–39.
- [38] Scariati, E., Schaer, M., Richiardi, J., Schneider, M., Debbané, M., Van De Ville, D., and Eliez, S. (2014). Identifying 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and psychosis using resting-state connectivity patterns. *Brain Topogr*, 27(6):808–821.
- [39] Mattiaccio, L. M., Coman, I. L., Schreiner, M. J., Antshel, K. M., Fremont, W. P., Bearden, C. E., and Kates, W. R. (2016). Atypical functional connectivity in resting-state networks of individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: associations with neurocognitive and psychiatric functioning. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 8(1):2.
- [40] Schreiner, M., Forsyth, J. K., Karlsgodt, K. H., Anderson, A. E., Hirsh, N., Kushan, L., et al. (2017). Intrinsic Connectivity Network-Based Classification and Detection of Psychotic Symptoms in Youth With 22q11.2 Deletions. *Cerebral Cortex*, (June):1–13.
- [41] Mattiaccio, L. M., Coman, I. L., Thompson, C. A., Fremont, W. P., Antshel, K. M., and Kates, W. R. (2018). Frontal dysconnectivity in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: an atlas-based functional connectivity analysis. *Behavioral and Brain Functions*, 14(1):2.
- [42] Padula, M. C., Schaer, M., Scariati, E., Schneider, M., Van De Ville, D., Debbané, M., and Eliez, S. (2015). Structural and functional connectivity in the default mode network in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *J Neurodev Disord*, 7(1):23.
- [43] Schreiner, M. J., Karlsgodt, K. H., Uddin, L. Q., Chow, C., Congdon, E., Jalbrzikowski, M., and Bearden, C. E. (2014). Default mode network connectivity and reciprocal social behavior in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 9(9):1261–1267.
- [44] Zöllner, D., Schaer, M., Scariati, E., Padula, M. C., Eliez, S., and Ville, D. V. D. (2017a). Disentangling resting-state BOLD variability and PCC functional connectivity in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *NeuroImage*, 149(July 2016):85–97.
- [45] Zöllner, D., Padula, M. C., Sandini, C., Schneider, M., Scariati, E., Van De Ville, D., et al. (2017b). Psychotic symptoms influence the development of anterior cingulate BOLD variability in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Schizophrenia Research*, 193:319–328.
- [46] Padula, M. C., Scariati, E., Schaer, M., and Eliez, S. (2018). A Mini Review on the Contribution of the Anterior Cingulate Cortex in the Risk of Psychosis in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9(August):9–14.
- [47] Kapur, S. (2003). Psychosis as a state of aberrant salience: a framework linking biology, phenomenology, and pharmacology in schizophrenia. *American journal of Psychiatry*, 160(1):13–23.
- [48] Leonardi, N. and Van De Ville, D. (2015). On spurious and real fluctuations of dynamic functional connectivity during rest. *NeuroImage*, 104:430–436.
- [49] Liu, C., Xue, Z., Palaniyappan, L., Zhou, L., Liu, H., Qi, C., et al. (2016). Abnormally increased and incoherent resting-state activity is shared between patients with schizophrenia and their unaffected siblings. *Schizophrenia Research*.
- [50] Karahanoglu, F. I. and Van De Ville, D. (2015). Transient brain activity disentangles fMRI resting-state dynamics in terms of spatially and temporally overlapping networks. *Nature Communications*, 6:7751.
- [51] Zöllner, D. M., Bolton, T. A., Karahanoglu, F. I., Eliez, S., Schaer, M., and Van De Ville, D. (2019). Robust recovery of temporal overlap between network activity using transient-informed spatio-temporal regression. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 38(1):291–302.

- [52] Miller, T. J., Mcqlashan, T. H., Rosen, J. L., Cadenhead, K., Ventura, J., Mcfarlane, W., et al. (2003). Prodromal Assessment With the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes and the Scale of Prodromal Symptoms: Predictive Validity, Interrater Reliability, and Training to Reliability. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 29(4):703–715.
- [53] Achenbach, T. M. (1991). *Manual for the Child Behavior Checklist/4-18 and 1991 Profile*. Burlington, NJ: University of Vermont Department of Psychiatry.
- [54] Achenbach, T. M. and Rescorla, L. A. (2003). *Manual for the ASEBA Adult Forms & Profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families.
- [55] Wechsler, D. (1991). Wechsler intelligence scale for children. *San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation*.
- [56] Wechsler, D. (1997). Wechsler intelligence scale for adults. *London: The Psychological Corporation*.
- [57] Yan Chaogan, Z. Y. (2010). DPARSF: a MATLAB toolbox for “pipeline” data analysis of resting-state fMRI. *Frontiers in System Neuroscience*, 4:13.
- [58] Aleman-Gomez, Y., Melie-García, L., and Valdés-Hernandez, P. (2006). IBASPM: toolbox for automatic parcellation of brain structures. In *12th Annual Meeting of the Organization for Human Brain Mapping*.
- [59] Ashburner, J. and Friston, K. J. (2005). Unified segmentation. *NeuroImage*, 26(3):839–851.
- [60] Ashburner, J. (2007). A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *NeuroImage*, 38(1):95–113.
- [61] Power, J. D., Barnes, K. a., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., and Petersen, S. E. (2012). Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage*, 59(3):2142–2154.
- [62] Karahanoglu, F. I., Bayram, I., and Van De Ville, D. (2011). A Signal Processing Approach to Generalized 1-D Total Variation. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 59(11):5265–5274.
- [63] Karahanoglu, F. I. (2013). Sparsity-Promoting Spatiotemporal Regularization for Data Mining in Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging.
- [64] Farouj, Y., Karahanoglu, F. I., and Van De Ville, D. (2017). Regularized Spatiotemporal Deconvolution of fMRI Data Using Gray-Matter Constrained Total Variation. *Proceedings of the 14th IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging: From Nano to Macro (ISBI’17)*, pages 472–475.
- [65] Monti, S., Tamayo, P., Mesirov, J., and Golub, T. (2003). Consensus Clustering: A Resampling-Based Method for Class Discovery and Visualization of Gene Expression Microarray Data. *Machine Learning*, 52(1):91–118.
- [66] Krishnan, A., Williams, L. J., McIntosh, A. R., and Abdi, H. (2011). Partial Least Squares (PLS) methods for neuroimaging: A tutorial and review. *NeuroImage*, 56(2):455–475.
- [67] Etkin, A. and Wager, T. (2007). Reviews and Overviews Functional Neuroimaging of Anxiety : A Meta-Analysis of Emotional Processing in PTSD , Social Anxiety Disorder , and Specific Phobia. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164(October):1476–1488.
- [68] Menon, V. (2011). Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: A unifying triple network model. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15(10):483–506.
- [69] McDonald-McGinn, D. M., Sullivan, K. E., Marino, B., Philip, N., Swillen, A., Vorstman, J. A. S., et al. (2015). 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 1:15071.
- [70] LeDoux, J. E. (2000). Emotion Circuits in the Brain. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 23(1):155–184.
- [71] Pessoa, L. (2008). On the Relationship Between Emotion and Cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 9(2):148–158.
- [72] Ottet, M.-C., Schaer, M., Debbané, M., Cammoun, L., Thiran, J.-P., and Eliez, S. (2013). Graph theory reveals disconnected hubs in 22q11DS and altered nodal efficiency in patients with hallucinations. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*,

7(September).

- [73] Sandini, C., Scariati, E., Padula, M. C., Schneider, M., Schaer, M., Van De Ville, D., and Eliez, S. (2017). Cortical Dysconnectivity Measured by Structural Covariance Is Associated With the Presence of Psychotic Symptoms in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 3(5):433–442.
- [74] Sandini, C., Zöller, D., Scariati, E., Padula, M. C., Schneider, M., Schaer, M., et al. (2018). Development of Structural Covariance From Childhood to Adolescence : A Longitudinal Study in. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 12:327.
- [75] Scariati, E., Schaer, M., Karahanoglu, F. I., Schneider, M., Richiardi, J., Debbané, M., et al. (2016). Large-scale functional network reorganization in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome revealed by modularity analysis. *Cortex*, 2.
- [76] Váša, F., Griffa, A., Scariati, E., Schaer, M., Urban, S., Eliez, S., and Hagmann, P. (2016). An affected core drives network integration deficits of the structural connectome in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 10:239–249.
- [77] Wig, G. S. (2017). Segregated Systems of Human Brain Networks. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 21(12):981–996.
- [78] Kohno, T., Shiga, T., Kusumi, I., Matsuyama, T., Kageyama, H., Katoh, C., et al. (2006). Left temporal perfusion associated with suspiciousness score on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research - Neuroimaging*, 147(2-3):163–171.
- [79] Malaspina, D., Storer, S., Furman, V., Esser, P., Printz, D., Berman, A., et al. (1999). SPECT study of visual fixation in schizophrenia and comparison subjects. *Biological Psychiatry*, 46(1):89–93.
- [80] Li, Z., Lei, W., Deng, W., Zheng, Z., Li, M., Ma, X., et al. (2017). Aberrant spontaneous neural activity and correlation with evoked-brain potentials in first-episode, treatment-naïve patients with deficit and non-deficit schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research - Neuroimaging*, 261(April 2016):9–19.
- [81] Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., et al. (2007). Dissociable Intrinsic Connectivity Networks for Salience Processing and Executive Control. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27(9):2349–2356.
- [82] Britz, J., Van De Ville, D., and Michel, C. M. (2010). BOLD correlates of EEG topography reveal rapid resting-state network dynamics. *NeuroImage*, 52(4):1162–1170.
- [83] Rieger, K., Hernandez, L. D., Baenninger, A., and Koenig, T. (2016). 15 years of microstate research in schizophrenia - Where are we? A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 7(FEB):1–7.
- [84] Tomescu, M. I., Rihs, T. A., Becker, R., Britz, J., Custo, A., Grouiller, F., et al. (2014). Deviant dynamics of EEG resting state pattern in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome adolescents: A vulnerability marker of schizophrenia? *Schizophrenia Research*, 157(1-3):175–181.
- [85] Tomescu, M. I., Rihs, T. A., Roinishvili, M., Karahanoglu, F. I., Schneider, M., Menghetti, S., et al. (2015). Schizophrenia patients and 22q11.2 deletion syndrome adolescents at risk express the same deviant patterns of resting state EEG microstates: A candidate endophenotype of schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, 2(3):159–165.
- [86] Dufour, F., Schaer, M., Debbané, M., Farhoumand, R., Glaser, B., and Eliez, S. (2008). Cingulate gyral reductions are related to low executive functioning and psychotic symptoms in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Neuropsychologia*, 46(12):2986–2992.
- [87] Tovote, P., Fadok, J. P., and Lüthi, A. (2015). Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(6):317–331.
- [88] Davis, M., Walker, D. L., Miles, L., and Grillon, C. (2010). Phasic vs sustained fear in rats and humans: Role of the extended amygdala in fear vs anxiety. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 35(1):105–135.
- [89] Maren, S. and Quirk, G. J. (2004). Neuronal signalling of fear memory. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 5(11):844–852.

- [90] Fox, A. S. and Kalin, N. H. (2014). A translational neuroscience approach to understanding the development of social anxiety disorder and its pathophysiology. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 171(11):1162–1173.
- [91] Fox, A. S., Oler, J. A., Shelton, S. E., Nanda, S. A., Davidson, R. J., Roseboom, P. H., and Kalin, N. H. (2012). Central amygdala nucleus (Ce) gene expression linked to increased trait-like Ce metabolism and anxious temperament in young primates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(44):18108–18113.
- [92] Fox, A. S., Shelton, S. E., Oakes, T. R., Davidson, R. J., and Kalin, N. H. (2008). Trait-like brain activity during adolescence predicts anxious temperament in primates. *PLoS ONE*, 3(7):e2570.
- [93] Kaczurkin, A. N., Moore, T. M., Ruparel, K., Ciric, R., Calkins, M. E., Shinohara, R. T., et al. (2016). Elevated Amygdala Perfusion Mediates Developmental Sex Differences in Trait Anxiety. *Biol Psychiatry*, 80(10):775–785.
- [94] Etkin, A., Büchel, C., and Gross, J. J. (2015). The neural bases of emotion regulation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(11):693–700.
- [95] Milad, M. R. and Quirk, G. J. (2002). Neurons in medial prefrontal cortex signal memory for fear extinction. *Nature*, 420(6911):70–4.
- [96] Quirk, G. J., Likhtik, E., Pelletier, J. G., and Paré, D. (2003). Stimulation of medial prefrontal cortex decreases the responsiveness of central amygdala output neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 23(25):8800–7.
- [97] Vidal-Gonzalez, I., Vidal-Gonzalez, B., Rauch, S. L., and Quirk, G. J. (2006). Microstimulation reveals opposing influences of prelimbic and infralimbic cortex on the expression of conditioned fear. *Learning and Memory*, 13(6):728–733.
- [98] Corcoran, K. A. and Quirk, G. J. (2007). Activity in Prelimbic Cortex Is Necessary for the Expression of Learned, But Not Innate, Fears. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27(4):840–844.
- [99] Courtin, J., Chaudun, F., Rozeske, R. R., Karalis, N., Gonzalez-Campo, C., Wurtz, H., et al. (2014). Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. *Nature*, 505(7481):92–96.
- [100] Burgos-Robles, A., Vidal-Gonzalez, I., and Quirk, G. J. (2009). Sustained Conditioned Responses in Prelimbic Prefrontal Neurons Are Correlated with Fear Expression and Extinction Failure. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(26):8474–8482.
- [101] Power, J. D., Mitra, A., Laumann, T. O., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., and Petersen, S. E. (2014). Methods to detect, characterize, and remove motion artifact in resting state fMRI. *NeuroImage*, 84:320–341.