



Article scientifique

Article

2006

Accepted version

Open Access

This is an author manuscript post-peer-reviewing (accepted version) of the original publication. The layout of the published version may differ .

Frequency of gravitational waves

Caprini, Chiara; Durrer, Ruth; Sturani, Riccardo

How to cite

CAPRINI, Chiara, DURRER, Ruth, STURANI, Riccardo. Frequency of gravitational waves. In: Physical review. D. Particles and fields, 2006, vol. 74, n° 127501, p. 4. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.74.127501

This publication URL: <https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:952>

Publication DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.74.127501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.127501)

On the frequency of gravitational waves

Chiara Caprini,^{1,*} Ruth Durrer,^{1,†} and Riccardo Sturani^{1,2,‡}

¹*Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève,
24 quai Ernest Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland*

²*INFN, Italy*

(Dated: September 6, 2006)

We show that there are physically relevant situations where gravitational waves do not inherit the frequency spectrum of their source but its wavenumber spectrum.

PACS numbers: 04.30.-w, 04.30.Db

I. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a source of (weak) gravitational waves (GWs), an anisotropic stress $\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t)$. The induced GWs can be calculated via the linearized Einstein equations. In the case of an isolated, non-relativistic, far away source, one can derive the quadrupole formula (see e.g. [1]), $r = |\mathbf{x}|, c = 1$

$$h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{2G}{r} \ddot{Q}_{ij}(t - r), \quad (1)$$

where Q_{ij} denotes the quadrupole of the source, and we are considering the perturbed metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$. The wave has the same time dependence as the source. If we have an isolated source which has a harmonic time dependence with frequency ω_s , $\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \tilde{\Pi}_{ij}(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\omega_s t}$, the wave zone approximation gives, far away from the source,

$$h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{4Ge^{-i\omega_s(t-r)}}{r} \int d^3x' \Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}')e^{-i\omega_s \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x}'} . \quad (2)$$

Again, h_{ij} has inherited the frequency of the source: it is a spherical wave whose amplitude in direction $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is determined by $\tilde{\Pi}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$ with $\mathbf{k} = \omega_s \hat{\mathbf{x}}$. As we will show in this brief report, this simple and well known fact from linearized general relativity and, equivalently, electrodynamics, has led to some errors when applied to GW sources of cosmological origin.

As an example we consider a first order phase transition in the early universe. This can lead to a period of turbulent motion in the broken phase fluid, giving rise to a GW signal which is in principle observable by the planned space interferometers LISA or BBO [2–8]. One can describe this phase of turbulence in the fluid as a superposition of turbulent eddies: eddies of characteristic size ℓ rotate with frequency $\omega_\ell \simeq v/\ell \neq 1/\ell$, since $v < 1$. The wavenumber spectrum of the eddies peaks at $k_L \simeq 1/L$, where L is the stirring scale, and has the usual

Kolmogorov shape for $k \gtrsim 1/L$ ($\ell < L$). The above considerations about GW generation prompted several workers in the field [2–6] to infer that the induced GWs will directly inherit the frequency of the eddies. Especially, even if the turbulent spectrum in k -space peaks at wave number $k_L \simeq 1/L$, Refs. [2–6] conclude that the GW energy density spectrum peaks instead at the largest eddy frequency $k = \omega_L \neq k_L$. The argument to reach this conclusion is simple and seems, at first sight, convincing: one may consider each eddy as a source in the wave zone which oscillates at a given frequency. The GWs it produces have therefore the same frequency. Adding the signal from all the eddies incoherently, one obtains a GW energy density spectrum which peaks at the same frequency as the frequency spectrum of the eddies, namely at $\omega = \omega_L$. Since GWs obey the dispersion relation $k = |\omega|$, the k -space distribution of the GWs then cannot agree with the k -space distribution of the source. In this brief report we show why this simple argument is not correct. In the above case, the GW energy spectrum actually peaks at $\omega = k_L$ and the k -space distribution (but not the frequency distribution) of the source and the GWs agree [7].

Thus, the result (2) has to be used with care in the case of a cosmological GW source which is active only for a finite amount of time and is spread over the entire universe. In Section III we discuss several cases of cosmological sources to which this consideration applies. This is relevant especially in view of future searches for a stochastic GW background which might be able to detect some of the features predicted for GW spectra of primordial origin (in particular, in the case of turbulence after a phase transition, the peak frequency) [8].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II we analyze an academic example of a source which can be represented as a Gaussian wave packet both, in k -space and in frequency space. We show when the wave will inherit the wave number of the source and when its frequency. We then discuss the case of a generic source. In Section III we consider a typical cosmological source: stochastic, statistically homogeneous and isotropic, and short lived. There we also explain the subtleties which are responsible for the above mentioned misconception in the case of turbulence, and we discuss other examples of GW sources for which our findings are relevant. The

*Electronic address: chiara.caprini@physics.unige.ch

†Electronic address: ruth.durrer@physics.unige.ch

‡Electronic address: riccardo.sturani@physics.unige.ch

final section contains our conclusions.

II. THE GAUSSIAN PACKET

Let us consider a scalar field $h(\mathbf{x}, t)$ fulfilling the usual wave equation with source Π (for the sake of simplicity, we omit the tensorial structure which is irrelevant for the problem we want to address here):

$$\square h(\mathbf{x}, t) = -16\pi G \Pi(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (3)$$

Here $\square = -\partial_t^2 + \Delta$. In terms of the Fourier transform of the source,

$$\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int dt \int d^3x e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x} - \omega t)} \Pi(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (4)$$

the solution of Eq. (3) is ($k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$)

$$h(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{iG}{\pi^2} \int d^3k \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{k} \left[\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{k}, -k) e^{-ikt} - \tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{k}, k) e^{ikt} \right]. \quad (5)$$

To illustrate the possible behavior of this solution, we now consider a source whose Fourier transform has a Gaussian profile both in wavenumber and frequency,¹

$$\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \Pi_0 \exp \left[-\frac{(k - k_s)^2}{2\Delta_k^2} \right] \exp \left[-\frac{(\omega - \omega_s)^2}{2\Delta_\omega^2} \right], \quad (6)$$

where k_s and ω_s represent the characteristic frequency and wavenumber of this source (k_s is positive, while ω_s can be either positive or negative). Inserting (6) in solution (5) one immediately obtains

$$h(\mathbf{x}, t) = i \frac{4G \Pi_0}{\pi r} \int_0^\infty dk \sin(kr) \exp \left[-\frac{(k - k_s)^2}{2\Delta_k^2} \right] \times \left[e^{-ikt} \exp \left(-\frac{(k + \omega_s)^2}{2\Delta_\omega^2} \right) - e^{ikt} \exp \left(-\frac{(k - \omega_s)^2}{2\Delta_\omega^2} \right) \right], \quad (7)$$

where $r \equiv |\mathbf{x}|$. The resulting wave is a superposition of plane waves with frequency $\pm k$. To estimate which is the dominant frequency of this superposition, *i.e.* the frequency at which the amplitude peaks, one has to look at the maximum of the double Gaussian in (7). In particular for a source which has $\Delta_\omega \ll \Delta_k$, we expect the spectrum to be peaked at $k \simeq \omega_s$: we recover result (2), namely a spherical wave that oscillates with the characteristic frequency of the source. In the opposite case $\Delta_\omega \gg \Delta_k$, the spectrum is peaked at $k \simeq k_s$, and the wave inherits the wavenumber of the source.

This simple academic example provides us an insight about the behavior of more realistic sources. Note that

the spacetime distribution of the above wave-packet is again a Gaussian packet with spatial extension $\Delta_x = 1/\Delta_k$ and temporal spread $\Delta_t = 1/\Delta_\omega$. A typical astrophysical source of GWs is long lived and has a very distinct frequency (supernovae, binary systems). On the other hand it is well localized in space, so we can expect the ordinary $\Delta_\omega \ll \Delta_k$ case. Note however, that the signal is suppressed if k_s is very far from $\pm\omega_s$. For a typical binary we have $\omega_s \simeq vk_s$, where v is the velocity of the system and it is well known that fast binaries emit a stronger GW signal than slow ones.

On the other hand, consider a cosmological source which is spread over all of space in a statistically homogeneous and isotropic way, to respect the symmetries of the Friedmann universe. This source is very extended but it may be active only over a short period of time. In a Friedmann universe, sources can be switched on and off everywhere at approximately the same time, *e.g.* at a given temperature. This kind of sources may then fall in the $\Delta_\omega \gg \Delta_k$ range. In the extremal case of a source which is a delta function in time, $\Delta_\omega \rightarrow \infty$, the frequency spectrum of the generated radiation is entirely determined by the structure of the source in k -space.

The goal of the next section is to present an example of such a source. As a preparation, let us first consider a generic source $\Pi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ which is active from some initial time t_{in} to a final time t_{fin} . Using the retarded Green's function in k -space

$$G_R(k, t) = 4\pi\Theta(t) \frac{\sin(kt)}{k}, \quad (8)$$

one finds the solution to Eq. (3) at time $t > t_{\text{fin}}$

$$h(\mathbf{k}, t) = A \frac{\sin(k(t - t_{\text{fin}}))}{k} + B \cos(k(t - t_{\text{fin}})), \quad (9)$$

with

$$A(\mathbf{k}) = 16\pi G \int_{t_{\text{in}}}^{t_{\text{fin}}} dt' \cos(k(t_{\text{fin}} - t')) \Pi(\mathbf{k}, t') \\ B(\mathbf{k}) = 16\pi G \int_{t_{\text{in}}}^{t_{\text{fin}}} dt' \frac{\sin(k(t_{\text{fin}} - t'))}{k} \Pi(\mathbf{k}, t'). \quad (10)$$

If the source has some typical frequency ω_s satisfying $(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}})\omega_s \gg 1$, the integrals A and B approximate delta functions of $k - \omega_s$ and $k + \omega_s$. However, frequencies of the order of $(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}})^{-1}$ or smaller are not especially amplified and only a detailed calculation can tell where the resulting GW spectrum peaks.

III. A STOCHASTIC, HOMOGENEOUS AND ISOTROPIC SHORT LIVED SOURCE

We now analyze the case of a typical GW source of primordial origin: a stochastic field, statistically homogeneous and isotropic. Let us consider the two point function of the spatial Fourier transform of the anisotropic

¹ The source (6) is not real in physical space; to make it real one should symmetrize it in ω_s . But we can as well consider a complex source whose real part represents the physical source.

stress tensor

$$\langle \Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, t) \Pi_{kl}^*(\mathbf{k}', t') \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') M_{ijkl}(k, t, t'), \quad (11)$$

and analogously for the induced GW

$$\langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, t) h_{kl}^*(\mathbf{k}', t) \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') H_{ijkl}(k, t), \quad (12)$$

where we write $H_{ijkl}(k, t)$ for $H_{ijkl}(k, t, t)$. For simplicity we consider the wave equation (3) in flat space-time, and ignore the tensor structure of H and M . From the above definitions and from Eq. (3), one finds that in Fourier space the relation between the spectral power of the energy momentum tensor and that of the GW is

$$\tilde{H}(k, \omega, \omega') = (16\pi G)^2 \frac{\tilde{M}(k, \omega, \omega')}{(\omega^2 - k^2)(\omega'^2 - k'^2)}. \quad (13)$$

Fourier transforming over ω and ω' and taking into account the correct boundary and initial conditions $H \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow -\infty$, one obtains

$$H(k, t) = \frac{(8\pi G)^2}{k^2} \text{Re} [M(k, k, k) - e^{-2ikt} M(k, k, -k)], \quad (14)$$

where we have used that $M(k, \omega, \omega') = M^*(k, -\omega, -\omega')$. This result can also be obtained via convolution of $M(k, t, t')$ with the Green's functions $G_R(k, t) G_R(k, t')$ of Eq. (8). To interpret Eq. (14) let us consider a source which is active from some initial time t_{in} to a final time t_{fin} and is oscillating with frequency ω_s ,

$$M(k, t, t') = \begin{cases} F(k) e^{i\omega_s(t-t')} & \text{if } t \in [t_{\text{in}}, t_{\text{fin}}] \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin [t_{\text{in}}, t_{\text{fin}}]. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Inserting the Fourier transform of this somewhat simplistic source in the above Eq. (14) one finds

$$H(k, t) = (16\pi G)^2 \frac{F(k)}{k^2} \frac{\sin^2[(k - \omega_s)(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}})/2]}{(k - \omega_s)^2}, \quad (16)$$

plus terms which average to zero over an oscillation period. The square root of the last factor in this expression becomes $\pi\delta(k - \omega_s)$ when $\omega_s(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}}) \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, if $\omega_s(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}}) \gg 1$, the resulting GW spectrum $H(k, t)$ is peaked at ω_s , the typical frequency of the source. However, if $\omega_s(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}}) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, the last term does not significantly influence the spectrum, which can then be peaked there where $F(k)$ is. The typical frequency of the wave is then given by the typical wave number of the source. Note however, that at very high wavenumbers, $(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}})(k - \omega_s) \gg 1$ the last factor in Eq. (16) always leads to suppression. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Even though this flat space result cannot be taken over literally in a cosmological context, since we neglected the expansion of the universe, let us discuss in its lights, at least qualitatively, some examples of cosmological GW sources.




FIG. 1: The quantity $\sin^2((k - \omega_s)\Delta)/(k - \omega_s)^2$, $\Delta = (t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}})/2$, is shown as a function of $k - \omega_s$ for the values of $\Delta = 1$ (black, solid) 0.3 (red dotted) and 10 (blue, dashed). For clarity the red (dotted) and black (solid) curves are displaced.

If **turbulence** is generated in the early universe during a first order phase transition, as discussed in the introduction, one has the formation of a cascade of eddies. The largest ones have a period comparable to the time duration of the turbulence itself (of the phase transition). According to Eq. (16), these eddies generate GWs which inherit their wavenumber. Smaller eddies instead have much higher frequencies, and one might at first think that they imprint their frequency on the GW spectrum. However, since they are generated by a cascade from the larger eddies, they are correlated and cannot be considered as individual sources of GWs. Therefore, their contributions cannot be added incoherently. A detailed calculation actually shows that also the small eddies imprint (mainly) their wave number in the GW spectrum [7], in contrast with the findings of Refs. [2–6].

Primordial magnetic fields are another cosmological source of GWs. They simply evolve by flux conservation and dissipation of energy at small scales, and have no characteristic oscillation frequency. Their lifetime is typically from their creation in the early universe until matter domination (after which only a negligible amount of GWs is produced [9, 10]). Also in this case the wavenumber is imprinted in the induced GW spectrum. The suppression for $k(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}}) \gg 1$ is seen by the fact that GWs are generated mainly on super-horizon scales, $kt \lesssim 1$. Once a scale enters the Hubble horizon, further GW generation is negligible and the produced wave evolves simply by redshifting its frequency.

A similar case is represented by GWs produced by the **neutrino anisotropic stresses**, which generate a turbulent phase [11] or simply evolve by neutrino free streaming [12]. In the first case, the source is short lived and therefore its typical wavenumber is imprinted on the GW spectrum. In the second case there is no typical frequency and, like for magnetic fields, the relevant time is the time until a given wavenumber enters the horizon, $kt \simeq 1$. Again, the GW frequency corresponds to the




FIG. 2: The past light cone (on which gravitons propagate) of a detector observing for a given time interval sweeps a portion of space in the temporally confined source: therefore, the wave number of the source becomes the frequency of the emitted GW. On the other hand, it really sees the time evolution of a spatially confined source, and therefore its frequency.

source's wave number.

A counter example is the stochastic GW background produced by **binaries of primordial black holes** which are copiously produced in some models with extra-dimensions [13]. In this case, one has a well defined typical frequency and the sources are long lived, so that $\omega_s(t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{in}}) \gg 1$. The GWs inherit the frequency of the source.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To elucidate further our findings, let us consider again a spatially homogeneous source with well defined wave number k , which is alive only for a brief instant in time (a typical cosmological source). To determine the frequency of the generated GW, we observe it for some period of time (which is much longer than the inverse of the frequency). Since the source is active only for a very short period, as time goes on we observe GWs generated

in different positions: what we see as happening in time, actually corresponds to a graviton-snapshot of the source in space, taken at the instant of time when it was on (see the bold solid lines in Fig. 2).

Conversely, for a source which occupies a very small spatial extension but lives for a long time (*e.g.* a binary star system), the situation is inverted. The GW signal arriving at the observer comes from virtually always the same position, but from different times. In this case the GW really monitors the time evolution of the source and therefore acquires its frequency (see the bold dashed lines in Fig. 2).

This duality between k and ω is actually very natural, since they are related by Lorentz boosts. In general, both the spatial and time structure of a source lead to the generation of GWs.

In this brief report we have shown that the standard lore that GWs oscillate with the same frequency as their source is not always correct. Special care is needed in the cosmological context, where the universe has the same temperature everywhere and can undergo some drastic change simultaneously (but in a uncorrelated way) in a brief interval of time. This can lead to sources of GWs which are very extended in space, but short lived. Moreover, GWs from sources which only have a very slow time dependence and have no typical frequency, inherit usually the spectrum of the source in k -space.

In general, a source can have a complicated spectrum with structure in both k -space and frequency space. If the situation is not as clear cut as in the Gaussian wave packet, a detailed calculation is needed to obtain the correct GWs spectrum. On the top of that, as one sees explicitly in Eq. (5), only the values (\mathbf{k}, ω) with $|\mathbf{k}| = |\omega|$ of the Fourier transform of the source contribute to the GW amplitude.

Acknowledgment

We thank Florian Dubath, Stefano Foffa, Arthur Kosowsky, Michele Maggiore, Gonzalo de Palma and Geraldine Servant for stimulating discussions. This work is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

-
- [1] N. Straumann, *General Relativity With Applications to Astrophysics*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer (Berlin 2004), p. 248ff.
 - [2] M. Kamionkowski, A. Kosowsky and M.S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D49**, 2837 (1994).
 - [3] A. Kosowsky, A. Mack and T. Kahniashvili, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 024030 (2002).
 - [4] A.D. Dolgov, D. Grasso and A. Nicolis, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 103505 (2002).
 - [5] A. Nicolis, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, L27 (2004).
 - [6] A.D. Dolgov and D.Grasso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 11301 (2002)
 - [7] C. Caprini and R. Durrer, (2006) [astro-ph/0603476].
 - [8] C. Grojean, G. Servant, (2006) [hep-ph/0607107].
 - [9] C. Caprini and R. Durrer, *Phys. Rev.* **D65**, 023517 (2002).
 - [10] R. Durrer, P. Ferreira and T. Kahniashvili, *Phys. Rev.* **D61**, 043001 (2000).
 - [11] A. Dolgov and D. Grasso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 011301 (2002).
 - [12] S. Bashlinsky, (2005) [astro-ph/0505502].
 - [13] K. Inoue and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 021101 (2003).