



Article
scientifique

Revue de la
littérature

2024

Published
version

Open
Access

This is the published version of the publication, made available in accordance with the publisher's policy.

Bacteriological diagnosis of osteoarticular infections caused by *Kingella kingae* ; a narrative review

De Marco, Giacomo; Vazquez, Oscar; Paris, Elio; Cochard, Blaise Damien; Steiger, Christina; Dayer, Romain Olivier Pierre; Ceroni, Dimitri

How to cite

DE MARCO, Giacomo et al. Bacteriological diagnosis of osteoarticular infections caused by *Kingella kingae* ; a narrative review. In: Frontiers in pediatrics, 2024, vol. 12, p. 1520636. doi: 10.3389/fped.2024.1520636

This publication URL: <https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:189217>

Publication DOI: [10.3389/fped.2024.1520636](https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2024.1520636)



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

George A. Syrogiannopoulos,
University of Thessaly, Greece

REVIEWED BY

Romain Basmaci,
Hôpital Louis-Mourier, France

*CORRESPONDENCE

Dimitri Ceroni
✉ dimitri.ceroni@hug.ch

RECEIVED 31 October 2024

ACCEPTED 12 December 2024

PUBLISHED 07 January 2025

CITATION

De Marco G, Vazquez O, Paris E, Cochard B,
Steiger C, Dayer R and Ceroni D (2025)

Bacteriological diagnosis of osteoarticular
infections caused by *Kingella kingae*; a
narrative review.

Front. Pediatr. 12:1520636.

doi: 10.3389/fped.2024.1520636

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 De Marco, Vazquez, Paris, Cochard,
Steiger, Dayer and Ceroni. This is an open-
access article distributed under the terms of
the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Bacteriological diagnosis of osteoarticular infections caused by *Kingella kingae*; a narrative review

Giacomo De Marco¹, Oscar Vazquez¹, Elio Paris², Blaise Cochard¹, Christina Steiger¹, Romain Dayer¹ and Dimitri Ceroni^{1*}

¹Pediatric Orthopedic Unit, Pediatric Surgery Service, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland, ²Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

In recent years, advancements in modern laboratory diagnostics have identified *Kingella kingae* (*K. kingae*) as the major cause of osteoarticular infections in early childhood. The introduction of novel diagnostic methods has ushered in a new era, transitioning from underrated infections to recognizing *K. kingae* as the primary etiology of skeletal system infections in children. This article provides a new perspective on *K. kingae*, exploring innovative diagnostic methods that have improved and will continue to transform the management of these infections.

KEYWORDS

Kingella kingae, pediatric, orthopedic, osteoarticular infections, early childhood

1 Introduction

Since 1988, Pablo Yagupsky, a Professor of Pediatrics and Clinical Microbiology at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel, has been warning the scientific community about the significant role played by *Kingella kingae* (*K. kingae*) in osteoarticular infections. His discovery, which he modestly defined as serendipitous, sparked exponential interest in *K. kingae*, leading to significant advances in its microbiological diagnosis over the last two decades. The number of cases of osteoarticular infections (OAI) attributed to *K. kingae* has drastically increased, particularly since the 2000s.

K. kingae is now regarded as the leading bacterial cause of osteoarticular infections, especially in children under 48 months of age (1–3). In addition to classical acute hematogenous osteomyelitis and septic arthritis, this pathogen can cause atypical osteoarticular infections, including spondylodiscitis (4–10), subacute osteomyelitis (11, 12), pyomyositis (13), bursitis (14), and tendon sheath infections (15–17). Regardless of the infection site, *K. kingae* OAIs are typically characterized by mild clinical presentations and modest inflammatory responses, often resulting in few symptoms evocative of OAI (1–3, 18–20). In view of the poor recognition of OAI due to *K. kingae* and the difficulties in establishing their diagnosis, research over the last 2 decades has focused on ways to improve recognition of these infections. This narrative review tries to summarize more than 20 years of observations of OAI caused by *K. kingae*, focusing specifically on all the strategies that have been developed to establish the bacteriological diagnosis. To do this, we have identified the most pertinent and recent literature on this specific topic using recognized and relevant databases such as Pubmed, Embase and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. The relevant literature was thereafter analyzed and we provided a critical discussion of it, trying to identify new insights.

2 The limitations of gram stain in *K. kingae* diagnosis

Still today, the traditional Gram stain remains of current practice in osteoarticular infections since it combines technical simplicity, inexpensive equipment, and rapid execution time (21). Gram staining is routinely performed on osteoarticular samples like synovial fluid or bone tissue aspirates to confirm infection, suggest the presence of a specific species, and guide the initial antibiotic therapy (21). However, Gram staining has proven ineffective in diagnosing *K. kingae*-related OAIs. In fact, Gram stains are rarely positive in *K. kingae* cases, likely due to the low bacterial concentration in samples (an average of 15 CFUs per ml) (22). Moreover, Gram stains may yield misleading results, as the Gram-negative coccobacilli can be difficult to distinguish from fibrin clumps (22–25). Therefore, when the clinical presentation and biological parameters strongly suggest *K. kingae* OAI, performing a Gram stain may be not recommended, as it is likely to be negative and it will waste part of the precious sample.

3 The inadequacy of conventional bacteriological techniques

K. kingae is a facultative anaerobic, β -hemolytic, Gram-negative organism that is notoriously difficult to grow on routine solid cultures of blood or body fluids such as bone exudates or synovial fluid (25). Its isolation rate in standard cultures is less than 10% in confirmed cases (9). To overcome this issue, clinical specimens should be inoculated into aerobic blood culture vials (BCV), specifically onto trypticase soy agar with 5% sheep blood, or chocolate agar. The inoculation of a small sample into a large volume of liquid medium dilutes potential inhibitory factors, improving bacterial survival and detection (26).

Thus, a variety of automated or manual blood culture systems, such as BACTEC (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD, USA), BacT/Alert (OrganonTeknika Corporation, Durham, NC, USA), Isolator 1.5 Microbial Tube (Wampole Laboratories, Cranbury, NJ, USA), or Hemoline DUO (bioMérieux, Lyon, France), were developed for improving the the yield of cultures (26, 27). No controlled study has been performed to identify the best blood culture system for this purpose (21).

Although these techniques have improved the detection of pathogens, a significant number of purulent specimens seeded onto solid media still resulted in negative cultures (25). Consequently, the etiology of invasive diseases caused by *K. kingae*, such as septic arthritis and osteomyelitis, remained undetected in young children, leading probably to their classification as “culture-negative bone infections of unknown origin” (25).

4 The advent of nucleic acid amplification assays

Since the early 2000s, nucleic acid amplification assays (NAAs) have enabled the detection of infinitesimal quantities

of bacterial RNA and DNA, offering clinicians powerful tools for identifying bacteriological agents in clinical samples (28, 29). These molecular techniques can detect the pathogen within hours, regardless of the pathogen’s viability, or previous antibiotic exposure (21). Initially, NAAs targeted the 16S rRNA gene, which is present in all bacteria. The prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene is approximately 1,500 bp long, with nine variable regions interspersed between conserved regions (21). Variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene are frequently used for phylogenetic classification of genus or species in diverse microbial populations.

However, the sensitivity of PCR tests targeting the 16S rRNA gene proved insufficient for detecting OAIs caused by *K. kingae* [sensitivity 300 CFUs/ml (30)], since *K. kingae* is often present in very low concentrations in synovial fluid aspirates (on average 15 CFUs/ml) (30, 31).

Subsequent advances in NAAs have significantly improved detection, with species-specific real-time PCR assays developed to target genes unique to *K. kingae*, including the *rtxA* and the *rtx B* genes that encodes the RTX toxin (30), the *groEL* gene (also called *cpn60*) that encodes the chaperonin 60 protein (32), and the *mdh* gene (malate dehydrogenase) (33). A comparative study suggested that PCR test targeting the *mdh* gene is probably more sensitive than those targeting the *groEL* gene and the *rtx* locus, making it the preferable molecular diagnostic assay (33). These molecular methods can also detect antibiotic-resistance-associated genes, rendering traditional cultures methods obsolete and enabling targeted antimicrobial therapy (32). Since its availability, this new diagnostic approach has provided irrefutable evidence that *K. kingae* has become the most common pathogen guilty for primary infections in bones, joints, intervertebral discs, and tendon sheaths, especially among children aged 6–48 months old (1–3, 34).

5 Detecting oropharyngeal *K. kingae* carriage as a diagnostic tool

Real-time PCR assays targeting oropharyngeal samples have shown high sensitivity and specificity for detecting *K. kingae* colonization. Since *K. kingae* colonization of the oropharynx is a prerequisite for bloodstream invasion and subsequent musculoskeletal infection, some researchers have proposed non-invasive diagnostic on detecting oropharyngeal carriage (35). The sensitivity and the specificity of the test were 100% and 90.5%, while its accuracy was estimated to be 93% (35). These assays have proven especially useful in diagnosing OAIs in cases where small joints are affected or when sampling is contraindicated, such as during spondylodiscitis (4, 7, 10). However, the predictive value of the test is limited, as the oropharyngeal carriage rate is 10%–12% among the young pediatric population, reducing by the same the predictive value of the NAAT result (21). This notwithstanding, many emergency centers have adopted this diagnostic strategy which reconciles technical simplicity, inexpensive equipment, and rapid execution time.

6 The rise of metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS)?

Over the last years, the experience has shown us that even when sensitive NAATs were employed, failure to identify a pathogen could occur in a large fraction of bone and joint infections (1–3), indicating that novel diagnostic methods proved essential for improving the recognition of their bacterial etiology. This problem is even more pronounced for *K. kingae*, because the bacterial load present in the samples can be very low (31). The introduction of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology has marked a major step forward in identifying microorganisms (28). NGS is a technique that makes possible the fast sequencing of the base pairs in entire genomes or in targeted regions of RNA or DNA samples.

This revolutionary technology, which offers speed, scalability, and ultra-high throughput, enables the rapid sequencing of every genome present in a clinical sample (usually blood, CSF, or other normally sterile body fluids), obtaining millions of DNA strands, and thus reducing the requisite for the traditional cloning methods used in previous genome sequencing techniques (28). In theory, mNGS arbitrarily amplify all the germs present in a clinical sample; it can detect any pathogen, and, about it, this technology can currently unambiguously identify >1,400 species, whereas the turnaround time has been shortened to 1–2 days (36). Interestingly, the detection and identification can be performed without *a priori* knowledge of the suspected etiologic agent, and, since a comprehensive database grounded on single nucleotide polymorphisms is available, a resolution at the subspecies or strain level can be achieved (37). NGS will probably become indispensable in microbiology since it will replace, with its genomic definition of pathogens, the conventional characterization of pathogens by staining properties, study of morphology, and metabolic criteria. The characterization of the pathogens' genomes better will define what they are and will be able to bring crucial information about drug sensitivity. We can expect that NGS will move towards a more focused amplification of specific genomic regions of interest instead of massive simultaneous parallel sequencing as soon as the pathogens most frequently incriminated in OAI will be recognized. Thus, this future more selective sequencing will end up achieving better specificity and improved sensibility and faster identification of suspected pathogens, thereby reducing the overall costs of the investigations (28).

7 Liquid biopsy as a non-invasive diagnostic approach

Identifying the pathogen responsible for an infection is essential since this is a precondition to tailoring a definitive antibiotic treatment regarding the therapy type, route, and duration (38, 39). In addition, recognition of the pathogen and its virulence factors may also condition the need and indication for complementary surgical treatment (38). Currently, the

pathogen's identification involves carrying out an invasive surgical procedure that we would like to be able to avoid in certain circumstances. Thus, the need to identify the pathogen responsible for an OAI seems to constitute a sufficient element for performing, at least, an arthrocentesis or a bone puncture (38).

Liquid biopsy, which involves detecting pathogen DNA in plasma using mNGS, represents a revolutionary approach to diagnosing OAIs caused by *K. kingae*, without invasive procedure. The mNGS method performed in plasma samples can detect not only pathogens circulating in the bloodstream but also those responsible for focal infections (40). In fact, DNA from infected joints, bones, or other musculoskeletal tissues is released into the bloodstream, where it can be detected and identified using mNGS (40). For *K. kingae*, the pathogen's DNA will be released from infected sites as joints, bone or other osteoarticular tissues to the patient's blood where it can be potentially detected and identified with certainty and security (41). A multicenter study recently demonstrated the efficacy of liquid biopsy in diagnosing *K. kingae* spondylodiscitis in infants, where conventional blood cultures failed to identify the pathogen (41). About it, mNGS performed in plasma with a commercially available test, Karius test, Redwood city, CA, USA, allowed the detection of *K. kingae* in 10 infants with spondylodiscitis. Thus, the detection of *K. kingae*'s DNA by liquid biopsy enabled an adapted and adjusted antibiotic treatment in 90% of infants with spondylodiscitis (41).

This method has the potential to eliminate the need for source sample collection via invasive and costly surgical procedures, and this is especially true since most of OAIs caused by *K. kingae* do not require surgical procedures for their treatment.

8 Nanotechnology-based diagnostic tools: future perspectives

Nanotechnology-based biosensors have demonstrated increased sensitivity in detecting various analytes, including bacterial species (42–44). The typical biosensors consist generally of immobilized bio-sensitive materials as recognition moieties (a part of a specific molecule) and physical or chemical transducers that translate the recognition information into measurable signals. Commonly employed bio-recognition elements involve antibodies, nucleic acid derivatives, peptides, enzymes, or whole cells (45, 46). The targets of nanoparticle-based biosensors (NPB) for the detection of pathogens can be therefore either specific purified proteins or receptors on the surface of pathogen microorganisms (47), bacterial virulence factors (48, 49), the whole cells (50). To date, a considerable number of biosensors, answering to the name aptamers, have been developed and selected against versatile pathogenic species. Although no biosensors have been developed exclusively for *K. kingae*, the technologies used for other bacterial microorganisms may be adapted to this specific osteoarticular pathogen. Despite these encouraging advances, major challenges remain and revolve mainly around the need to standardize diagnostic methods and to ensure their accessibility in healthcare settings.

9 Conclusions

This review examines the roles and utility of traditional and modern diagnosis methods in managing *K. kingae* OAIs in children. While conventional culture methods remain insufficient, advances in molecular techniques, particularly NAAs and mNGS, have greatly improved pathogen detection. The future of *K. kingae* diagnosis probably lies in the continued development of non-invasive methods such as liquid biopsy and nanotechnology-based sensors, which promise to enhance diagnostic accuracy while minimizing the need for surgical procedure.

Author contributions

GD: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. OV: Writing – review & editing. EP: Writing – review & editing. BC: Writing – review & editing. CS: Writing – review & editing. RD: Writing – review & editing. DC: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The

References

- Juchler C, Spyropoulou V, Wagner N, Merlini L, Dhoubi A, Manzano S, et al. The contemporary bacteriologic epidemiology of osteoarticular infections in children in Switzerland. *J Pediatr*. (2018) 194:190–6.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.11.025
- Samara E, Spyropoulou V, Tabard-Fougère A, Merlini L, Valaikaite R, Dhoubi A, et al. *Kingella kingae* and osteoarticular infections. *Pediatrics*. (2019) 144(6):e20191509. doi: 10.1542/peds.2019-1509
- Coulin B, Demarco G, Spyropoulou V, Juchler C, Vendeuvre T, Habre C, et al. Osteoarticular infection in children. *Bone Joint J*. (2021) 103-B:578–83. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.103B3.BJJ-2020-0936.R2
- Ceroni D, Belaieff W, Kanavaki A, Della Llana RA, Lascombes P, Dubois-Ferrière V, et al. Possible association of *Kingella kingae* with infantile spondylodiscitis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. (2013) 32:1296–8. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3182a6df50
- Ceroni D, Chargui M, De Marco G, Steiger C, Dayer R. Better comprehension of primary pyogenic spinal infections. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. (2023) 42:E39–40. doi: 10.1097/INF.00000000000003745
- Dayer R, Alzahrani MM, Saran N, Ouellet JA, Journeau P, Tabard-Fougère A, et al. Spinal infections in children: a multicenter retrospective study. *Bone Joint J*. (2018) 100-B(4):542–8. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.100B4.BJJ-2017-1080.R1
- Chargui M, Krzysztofiak A, Bernaschi P, De Marco G, Coulin B, Steiger C, et al. Presumptive bacteriological diagnosis of spondylodiscitis in infants less than 4 years by detecting *K. kingae* DNA in their oropharynx: data from a preliminary two centers study. *Front Pediatr*. (2022) 10:1046254. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.1046254. Erratum in: *Front Pediatr*. (2023) 11:1170911. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1170911
- Garron E, Viehweger E, Launay F, Guillaume JM, Jouve JL, Bollini G. Nontuberculous spondylodiscitis in children. *J Pediatr Orthop*. (2002) 22:321–8.
- Principi N, Esposito S. Infectious discitis and spondylodiscitis in children. *Int J Mol Sci*. (2016) 17(4):539. doi: 10.3390/ijms17040539
- Ceroni D, Cherkaoui A, Kaelin A, Schrenzel J. *Kingella kingae* spondylodiscitis in young children: toward a new approach for bacteriological investigations? A preliminary report. *J Child Orthop*. (2010) 4:173–5. doi: 10.1007/s11832-009-0233-2
- Spyropoulou V, Dhoubi Chargui A, Merlini L, Samara E, Valaikaite R, Kampouroglou G, et al. Primary subacute hematogenous osteomyelitis in children: a clearer bacteriological etiology. *J Child Orthop*. (2016) 10:241–6. doi: 10.1007/s11832-016-0739-3

publication of this article was supported by open access funding by the University of Geneva (CH).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

- Ceroni D, Belaieff W, Cherkaoui A, Lascombes P, Schrenzel J, De Coulon G, et al. Primary epiphyseal or apophyseal subacute osteomyelitis in the pediatric population: a report of fourteen cases and a systematic review of the literature. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. (2014) 96:1570–5. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.M.00791
- Chargui M, De Marco G, Steiger C, Borner B, Habre C, Dayer R, et al. Primary pyomyositis caused by *Kingella kingae* in a 21-month-old infant: a case report. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. (2022) 41:E62–3. doi: 10.1097/INF.00000000000003410
- Pitts CC, Smith WR, Conklin MJ. Pediatric infectious prepatellar bursitis with *Kingella kingae*. *Case Rep Orthop*. (2020) 2020:1–3. doi: 10.1155/2020/6586517
- Lironi C, Steiger C, Juchler C, Spyropoulou V, Samara E, Ceroni D. Pyogenic tenosynovitis in infants: a case series. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. (2017) 36:1097–9. doi: 10.1097/INF.00000000000001673
- Ceroni D, Merlini L, Salvo D, Lascombes P, Dubois-Ferrière V. Pyogenic flexor tenosynovitis of the finger due to *Kingella kingae*. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. (2013) 32:702–3. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3182868f17
- El Houmami N, Yagupsky P, Ceroni D. *Kingella kingae* hand and wrist tenosynovitis in young children. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol*. (2018) 43:1001–4. doi: 10.1177/1753193418764818
- Coulin B, DeMarco G, Vazquez O, Spyropoulou V, Gavira N, Vendeuvre T, et al. Osteoarticular infections in children: accurately distinguishing between MSSA and *Kingella kingae*. *Microorganisms*. (2022) 11(1):11. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms11010011
- Ceroni D, Cherkaoui A, Ferey S, Kaelin A, Schrenzel J. *Kingella kingae* osteoarticular infections in young children: clinical features and contribution of a new specific real-time PCR assay to the diagnosis. *J Pediatr Orthop*. (2010) 30:301–4. doi: 10.1097/BPO.0b013e3181d4732f
- Ceroni D, Cherkaoui A, Combescure C, François P, Kaelin A, Schrenzel J. Differentiating osteoarticular infections caused by *Kingella kingae* from those due to typical pathogens in young children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. (2011) 30:906–9. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e31821c3ae
- Yagupsky P. The past, present, and future of *Kingella kingae* detection in pediatric osteoarthritis. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. (2022) 12(12):2932. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12122932
- Williams N, Cooper C, Cundy P. *Kingella kingae* septic arthritis in children: recognising an elusive pathogen. *J Child Orthop*. (2014) 8:91–5. doi: 10.1007/s11832-014-0549-4

23. Dubnov-Raz G, Ephros M, Garty BZ, Schlesinger Y, Maayan-Metzger A, Hasson J, et al. Invasive pediatric *Kingella kingae* infections: a nationwide collaborative study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* (2010) 29:639–43. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181d57a6c
24. Dubnov-Raz G, Scheuerman O, Chodick G, Finkelstein Y, Samra Z, Garty BZ. Invasive *Kingella kingae* infections in children: clinical and laboratory characteristics. *Pediatrics.* (2008) 122:1305–9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2007-3070
25. Yagupsky P. *Kingella kingae*: from medical rarity to an emerging paediatric pathogen. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2004) 4:358–67. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(04)01046-1
26. Yagupsky P, Dagan R, Howard CW, Einhorn M, Kassis I, Simu A. High prevalence of *Kingella kingae* in joint fluid from children with septic arthritis revealed by the BACTEC blood culture system. *J Clin Microbiol.* (1992) 30:1278–81. doi: 10.1128/jcm.30.5.1278-1281.1992
27. Høst B, Schumacher H, Prag J, Arpi M. Isolation of *Kingella kingae* from synovial fluids using four commercial blood culture bottles. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2000) 19:608–11. doi: 10.1007/s100960000324
28. Ceroni D, Dayer R, Steiger C. Are we approaching the end of pediatric culture-negative osteoarticular infections? *Future Microbiol.* (2019) 14:917–9. doi: 10.2217/fmb-2019-0141
29. Demarco G, Chargui M, Coulin B, Borner B, Steiger C, Dayer R, et al. *Kingella kingae* osteoarticular infections approached through the prism of the pediatric orthopedist. *Microorganisms.* (2021) 10(1):25. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10010025
30. Cherkaoui A, Ceroni D, Emonet S, Lefevre Y, Schrenzel J. Molecular diagnosis of *Kingella kingae* osteoarticular infections by specific real-time PCR assay. *J Med Microbiol.* (2009) 58:65–8. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.47707-0
31. Yagupsky P, Press J. Use of the isolator 1.5 microbial tube for culture of synovial fluid from patients with septic arthritis. *J Clin Microbiol.* (1997) 35:2410–2. doi: 10.1128/jcm.35.9.2410-2412.1997
32. Gené A, García-García JJ, Sala P, Sierra M, Huguet R. Enhanced culture detection of *Kingella kingae*, a pathogen of increasing clinical importance in pediatrics. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* (2004) 23:886–8. doi: 10.1097/01.inf.0000137591.76624.82
33. El Houmami N, Durand GA, Bzdrenga J, Darmon A, Minodier P, Seligmann H, et al. A new highly sensitive and specific real-time PCR assay targeting the malate dehydrogenase gene of *Kingella kingae* and application to 201 pediatric clinical specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* (2018) 56(8):e00505–18. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00505-18
34. Ilharborde B, Bidet P, Lorröt M, Even J, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Liguori S, et al. New real-time PCR-based method for *Kingella kingae* DNA detection: application to samples collected from 89 children with acute arthritis. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2009) 47:1837–41. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00144-09
35. Ceroni D, Dubois-Ferriere V, Cherkaoui A, Gesuele R, Combesure C, Lamah L, et al. Detection of *Kingella kingae* osteoarticular infections in children by oropharyngeal swab PCR. *Pediatrics.* (2013) 131:e230–5. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-0810
36. Ramchandrar N, Burns J, Coufal NG, Pennock A, Briggs B, Stinnett R, et al. Use of metagenomic next-generation sequencing to identify pathogens in pediatric osteoarticular infections. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* (2021) 8(7):ofab346. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofab346
37. Veenemans J, Overdeest IT, Snelders E, Willemsen I, Hendriks Y, Adesokan A, et al. Next-generation sequencing for typing and detection of resistance genes: performance of a new commercial method during an outbreak of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2014) 52:2454–60. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00313-14
38. De Marco G, Vazquez O, Gavira N, Ramadani A, Steiger C, Dayer R, et al. Surgery's role in contemporary osteoarticular infection management. *Front Pediatr.* (2022) 10:1043251. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.1043251. Erratum in: *Front Pediatr.* (2023) 11:1170859. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1170859
39. Luhmann JD, Luhmann SJ. Etiology of septic arthritis in children: an update for the 1990s. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* (1999) 15:40–2. doi: 10.1097/00006565-199902000-00012
40. Han D, Li R, Shi J, Tan P, Zhang R, Li J. Liquid biopsy for infectious diseases: a focus on microbial cell-free DNA sequencing. *Theranostics.* (2020) 10:5501–13. doi: 10.7150/thno.45554
41. Ahmed AA, Arun A, Lindner MS, Sarmiento Clemente A, Del Valle Penella A, et al. Rapid, non-invasive plasma-based microbial cell-free DNA next generation sequencing (the Karius test) outperforms the standard of care for the detection of *Kingella kingae* pediatric spinal infections. *PAS-meeting*; Denver, CO, USA (2022).
42. Nesakumar N, Lakshmanakumar M, Srinivasan S, Arockia Jayalatha JBB, Balaguru Rayappan JB. Principles and recent advances in biosensors for pathogens detection. *ChemistrySelect.* (2021) 6:10063–91. doi: 10.1002/slct.202101062
43. Wang Q, Wang J, Huang Y, Du Y, Zhang Y, Cui Y, et al. Development of the DNA-based biosensors for high performance in detection of molecular biomarkers: more rapid, sensitive, and universal. *Biosens Bioelectron.* (2022) 197:113739. doi: 10.1016/j.bios.2021.113739
44. Chen XF, Zhao X, Yang Z. Aptasensors for the detection of infectious pathogens: design strategies and point-of-care testing. *Mikrochim Acta.* (2022) 189(12):443. doi: 10.1007/s00604-022-05533-w
45. Sande MG, Rodrigues JL, Ferreira D, Silva CJ, Rodrigues LR. Novel biorecognition elements against pathogens in the design of state-of-the-art diagnostics. *Biosensors (Basel).* (2021) 11(11):418. doi: 10.3390/bios11110418
46. Liu Q, Wu C, Cai H, Hu N, Zhou J, Wang P. Cell-based biosensors and their application in biomedicine. *Chem Rev.* (2014) 114:6423–61. doi: 10.1021/cr2003129
47. Dua P, Kim S, Lee D-K. Nucleic acid aptamers targeting cell-surface proteins. *Methods.* (2011) 54:215–25. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2011.02.002
48. Wang K, Gan L, Jiang L, Zhang X, Yang X, Chen M, et al. Neutralization of staphylococcal enterotoxin B by an aptamer antagonist. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2015) 59:2072–7. doi: 10.1128/AAC.04414-14
49. Lahousse M, Park HC, Lee SC, Ha NR, Jung IP, Schlesinger SR, et al. Inhibition of anthrax lethal factor by ssDNA aptamers. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* (2018) 646:16–23. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2018.03.028
50. Kaur H. Recent developments in cell-SELEX technology for aptamer selection. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj.* (2018) 1862:2323–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2018.07.029