

### **Archive ouverte UNIGE**

https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch

Article scientifique

Article 2015

Published version

**Open Access** 

This is the published version of the publication, made available in accordance with the publisher's policy.

# Global, Regional, and National Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, Fruit Juices, and Milk: A Systematic Assessment of Beverage Intake in 187 Countries

Singh, Gitanjali M; Micha, Renata; Khatibzadeh, Shahab; Shi, Peilin; Lim, Stephen; Andrews, Kathryn G; Engell, Rebecca E; Ezzati, Majid; Mozaffarian, Dariush; Gaspoz, Jean-Michel; Guessous, Idris

### How to cite

SINGH, Gitanjali M et al. Global, Regional, and National Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, Fruit Juices, and Milk: A Systematic Assessment of Beverage Intake in 187 Countries. In: PloS one, 2015, vol. 10, n° 8, p. e0124845. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0124845

This publication URL:https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:90131Publication DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0124845

© The author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>



# 

**Citation:** Singh GM, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, Lim S, Andrews KG, et al. (2015) Global, Regional, and National Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, Fruit Juices, and Milk: A Systematic Assessment of Beverage Intake in 187 Countries. PLoS ONE 10(8): e0124845. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0124845

Editor: Michael Müller, University of East Anglia, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: June 19, 2014

Accepted: March 18, 2015

Published: August 5, 2015

**Copyright:** © 2015 Singh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** Dr. Singh was supported by a T32 Training Grant in Academic Nutrition (DK007703) from the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases and a K99/R00 Pathway to Independence Award (1K99HL124321) from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Initial data collection for this work was supported by a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to the 2010 Global Burden of Diseases study. The sponsors of the study had no **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Global, Regional, and National Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, Fruit Juices, and Milk: A Systematic Assessment of Beverage Intake in 187 Countries

Gitanjali M. Singh<sup>1</sup><sup>©</sup>, Renata Micha<sup>1,5</sup><sup>©</sup>, Shahab Khatibzadeh<sup>2</sup>, Peilin Shi<sup>1</sup>, Stephen Lim<sup>3</sup>, Kathryn G. Andrews<sup>3</sup>, Rebecca E. Engell<sup>3</sup>, Majid Ezzati<sup>4</sup>, Dariush Mozaffarian<sup>1</sup>\*, Global Burden of Diseases Nutrition and Chronic Diseases Expert Group (NutriCoDE)<sup>¶</sup>

 Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Health Policy, Tufts University, Boston, MA, United States of America, 2 Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States of America, 3 Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle, WA, United States of America, 4 Department of Global Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, 5 Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Athens, Athens, Greece

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

¶ Membership of the NutriCoDE author group is provided in the Acknowledgments.

Dariush.Mozaffarian@tufts.edu

## Abstract

### Background

Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs), fruit juice, and milk are components of diet of major public health interest. To-date, assessment of their global distributions and health impacts has been limited by insufficient comparable and reliable data by country, age, and sex.

### Objective

To quantify global, regional, and national levels of SSB, fruit juice, and milk intake by age and sex in adults over age 20 in 2010.

### Methods

We identified, obtained, and assessed data on intakes of these beverages in adults, by age and sex, from 193 nationally- or subnationally-representative diet surveys worldwide, representing over half the world's population. We also extracted data relevant to milk, fruit juice, and SSB availability for 187 countries from annual food balance information collected by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization. We developed a hierarchical Bayesian model to account for measurement incomparability, study representativeness, and sampling and modeling uncertainty, and to combine and harmonize nationally representative dietary survey data and food availability data.

### Results

In 2010, global average intakes were 0.58 (95%UI: 0.37, 0.89) 8 oz servings/day for SSBs, 0.16 (0.10, 0.26) for fruit juice, and 0.57 (0.39, 0.83) for milk. There was significant



role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Competing Interests: The authors would like to provide the following disclosures: Dr. Mozaffarian reports research grants from GlaxoSmithKline, Sigma Tau, Pronova, and the National Institutes of Health for a completed investigator-initiated, not-for-profit, randomized clinical trial of fish oil supplements for the prevention of post-surgical complications; ad hoc travel reimbursement and/or honoraria for one-time scientific presentations or reviews on diet and cardiometabolic diseases from Bunge, Pollock Institute, Quaker Oats, and Life Sciences Research Organization (each modest); ad hoc consulting fees from McKinsey Health Systems Institute, Foodminds, and Nutrition Impact (each modest); Unilever North America Scientific Advisory Board membership (modest); and royalties from UpToDate, for an online chapter on fish oil (modest). Harvard University has filed a provisional patent application, that has been assigned to Harvard University, listing Dr. Mozaffarian as a co-inventor to the US Patent and Trademark Office for use of trans-palmitoleic acid to prevent and treat insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, and related conditions (Patent name: "Use of trans-palmitoleate in identifying and treating metabolic disease"; Patent number: 8,889,739). All other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. This information does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

heterogeneity in consumption of each beverage by region and age. Intakes of SSB were highest in the Caribbean (1.9 servings/day; 1.2, 3.0); fruit juice consumption was highest in Australia and New Zealand (0.66; 0.35, 1.13); and milk intake was highest in Central Latin America and parts of Europe (1.06; 0.68, 1.59). Intakes of all three beverages were lowest in East Asia and Oceania. Globally and within regions, SSB consumption was highest in younger adults; fruit juice consumption showed little relation with age; and milk intakes were highest in older adults.

### Conclusions

Our analysis highlights the enormous spectrum of beverage intakes worldwide, by country, age, and sex. These data are valuable for highlighting gaps in dietary surveillance, determining the impacts of these beverages on global health, and targeting dietary policy.

### Introduction

Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs), fruit juice, and milk are components of diet that substantially affect health. SSB intake has been linked with weight gain, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and dental caries [1-8]. Although moderate consumption of fruit juice may be an important source of vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants, excessive fruit juice consumption has been associated with weight gain and development of dental caries [9-11]. Milk is an important source of vitamin D, calcium, protein, and calories especially in children and the elderly, while high intakes have been linked with incidence of prostate cancer [12,13].

Although beverage consumption substantially impacts health, few nationally-representative studies on dietary intakes of SSBs, fruit juice, and milk are publically available [14–18]. Of the existing data published on beverage consumption in countries worldwide, no study has yet assessed global geographic, age, or time trends comprehensively such that both within- and between-country comparisons can be made. This paucity of comprehensive global estimates limits the ability to assess the effects of beverage intakes on disease burdens and hinders evaluation of dietary policies and interventions worldwide. Moreover, since age and sex are major determinants of dietary patterns and their effects on disease, comparable information on variation in SSB, fruit juice, and milk consumption by age and sex is vital for effectively targeting health policy within countries.

To comparably quantify levels of consumption of these major non-alcoholic caloric beverages, we systematically reviewed, compiled, and extracted national, mostly individual-level data on the consumption of SSBs, fruit juice, and milk, from countries around the world. We similarly assessed data on levels of calcium consumption worldwide, given its relevance to milk intake. In addition, we extracted and assessed data relevant to SSB, fruit juice, and milk annual availability in 187 countries from the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) food balance database [19]. We developed and applied statistical methods to address data comparability and missingess in the beverage intake survey data, to combine individuallevel beverage intake data with country-level beverage availability data, and to quantify the combined uncertainty from all data sources. We report comprehensive and comparable estimates of global, regional, and national consumption patterns of SSBs, fruit juices, and milk, by age and sex, in high, middle, and low-income countries.

### Methods

This work was performed by the Nutrition and Chronic Diseases Expert Group (NutriCoDE) as part of the 2010 Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors (GBD) Study [20,21]. Our methods for identification, access, and selection of dietary risk factors and data have been reported elsewhere [22–24]. Briefly, we performed systematic searches to identify survey microdata on age- and sex-specific intakes of SSBs, fruit juices, and milk from countries around the world between 1990 and 2010. We assessed the sampling methods and diet assessment methods of all surveys identified, and included only those with nationally- or subnationallyrepresentative samples and valid diet assessment methods. We extracted data from survey microdata using standardized methods to ensure comparability across surveys. In addition, we retrieved, assessed, and extracted annual data relevant to SSB, fruit juice, and milk availability in each of 187 countries from FAO food availability data collected annually between 1990 and 2010. Finally, we used hierarchical statistical modeling methods to combine and harmonize food availability data with dietary intake data, to account for different survey sampling methodologies and diet assessment methods, to estimate missing dietary intake data in data-sparse countries, and to capture sampling and modeling uncertainty. Using these methods, we were able to quantify consumption levels of SSBs, fruit juices, and milk by age and sex in 187 countries worldwide over at 20 year period. Given its relevance to milk intake, calcium was also assessed worldwide.

### Identification of national beverage data

Between Mar 2008 and Sep 2010 we identified survey data on SSB, fruit juice, and milk intake in adults ( $\geq$ 20 years of age), through systematic searches of multiple literature databases including MEDLINE, Embase, CAB abstracts, WHOLIST (WHO library), and SIGLE (grey literature database), hand-searches of reference lists, and direct contact with authors [22]. We defined the beverage categories to correspond as closely as possible to definitions used in published meta-analyses of their health effects [6,10,12], as well as to incorporate definitions used in survey data that we collected from around the world. Specifically, we defined SSBs as sugarsweetened beverages containing over 50 kcal/80z serving, including sodas, fruit drinks, sports/ energy drinks, pre-sweetened iced tea, and homemade sugar-sweetened beverages such as frescas. Fruit juices were defined as beverages containing 100% fruit or vegetable juice with no added sweeteners. Milk included both skim, lowfat, and whole milk and other dairy drinks. Calcium intake was assessed as total dietary intake of calcium, excluding supplements.

Our direct contact with experts worldwide (Corresponding Members of NutriCoDE) proved to be the most fruitful data source and we developed a protocol and timeline for requesting primary de-identified (fully anonymized) data in a standardized format. Surveys were included if they were from a population-based sample (e.g. primarily nationally- or subnationally-representative populations with no evidence of selection bias) and if the data were based on a standard diet assessment tool. If no individual-level survey data on dietary intakes could be identified for a country, we evaluated other sources of data, such as household consumption and expenditure surveys [22].

We also identified country-level data relevant to SSB, fruit juice, and milk availability in all 187 nations of interest using food balance data collected by the FAO for every year between 1980 and 2010. These food balance data measure the total per-capita annual availability of particular foods for human consumption, taking into consideration agricultural production, imports, and exports in each country. While the FAO does collect information on milk availability in all 187 countries, it does not collect data on the availability of SSBs or fruit juices. Since SSBs comprise a major source of dietary added sugars [5,25,26] we used FAO total sugar availability data as a proxy for information on SSB country-level availability. Similarly, since roughly 40% of fresh fruit available per capita is converted into fruit juice[27], we used FAO fruit availability data as a proxy for information on fruit juice availability in all 187 countries.

### Extraction and standardization of beverage data

We used standardized data retrieval methods which have been described elsewhere [21,23,24]. From each dietary survey obtained from Corresponding Members, published literature, or other sources, we extracted data on mean and standard deviation of intake of SSBs, fruit juice, and milk, as well as information on survey location, time period, representativeness, sampling design, and sample size into a standardized electronic extraction spreadsheet. We extracted uniform metrics and units of beverage intake from all surveys to the extent possible. We assessed data plausibility and checked for extraction errors. Quality of diet assessment methods used in each survey were assessed using methods described previously [22]. We used consistent methods to analyze and aggregate all survey data to ensure comparability across surveys. For nationally-representative survey microdata, we included sampling weights, primary sampling unit, and stratum in our analyses, when available. In surveys employing multiple 24 hr diet recalls, we quantified mean intakes by averaging all days of dietary assessment (usually 2 days), and we used a corrected population standard deviation (SD) to account for within- versus between-person variation [28]. Dietary intakes were standardized using the residual method [28] to 2000 kcal/d, thereby producing more comparable estimates across age, sex, and country.

### Pooling and statistical analysis of beverage data

Although we used systematic data retrieval and standardization methods as described above, the data we extracted from national/subnational surveys of individual-level dietary intakes were not always comparable, varying in representativeness, urban or rural coverage, age groups, dietary instruments, or dietary metrics. Furthermore, the data we extracted from FAO food balance sheets accounted for overall availability of the beverages of interest at the country level and not individual-level consumption of these beverages. To combine individual-level beverage intake data with country-level beverage availability data, to address issues of data incomparability, and to capture the uncertainty in estimates of beverage intake due to measurement error, sampling uncertainty, and modeling uncertainty, we used established age-integrating Bayesian hierarchical modeling methods [23,24,29]. This model estimated the mean consumption of each beverage and its uncertainty for each age-sex-country-year subgroup. Importantly, the model harmonized FAO food availability data with intake data in countries that had both types of data and calibrated FAO data to more closely approximate intake data in countries that had only availability data[30]. For each beverage, the primary model inputs were survey-level quantitative data, including country-, time-, age-, and sex- specific consumption levels; data on the numbers of subjects in each stratum; survey-level indicator covariates for sampling representativeness, dietary assessment method, and type of dietary metric; country-level year-specific data relevant to availability of that beverage from the FAO; and country, region (21 regions), and superregion (7 groupings of regions) random effects.

Additional details about the model are presented in Appendix A in <u>S1 File</u>. To ensure that pooled estimates give weight to the best available data, the model included additional offset and variance components to account for differences between national vs. subnational surveys, individual-level vs. household-level dietary data, primary vs. secondary dietary metrics, and optimal vs. suboptimal dietary assessment methods in each case giving greater weight to the preferred characteristic. Models were fit using a randomized Markov Chain Monte Carlo

(MCMC) algorithm based on the Adaptive Metropolis step function. Models were assessed for convergence of MCMC iterations and validated using goodness-of-fit tests as described elsewhere [23,24,29]. Qualitative evaluation of model estimates for beverage consumption levels was also conducted by comparing the estimates with known high-quality data and by contacting subject-matter experts to assess the plausibility of model estimates.

Our modeling approach quantified uncertainty in beverage consumption estimates as completely as possible, including sampling and measurement uncertainty in dietary intakes in national survey data, uncertainty associated with suboptimal metrics, subnational samples, or household-level surveys, and uncertainty associated with incomplete global coverage of national individual-level survey data. To quantify the combined uncertainty from the aforementioned sources, we used Monte Carlo simulations, drawing 1,000 times from the posterior distribution of each exposure for each age, sex, country, and year. We computed the mean exposure from the 1000 draws, and the 95% uncertainty intervals were calculated as the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the 1000 draws. Absolute and relative difference in exposure between 1990 and 2010 was calculated at the draw level to account for the full spectrum of uncertainty. Using these methods, we quantified the consumption levels (mean and uncertainty intervals) of SSBs, milk, and fruit juice among men and women in seven age groups in 187 countries in 1990 and 2010.

In addition, we analyzed patterns in beverage consumption stratified by BMI and country income level. We analyzed the cross-country age- and sex-specific correlations of the three beverages with country-, age-, and sex-specific BMI data obtained through the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries and Risk Factors 2010 Study [31]. Country income-level classifications were obtained from the World Bank, and were developed using the World Bank Atlas method [32]: low-income countries are those with per-capita gross national income (GNI) (in U.S. dollars)  $\leq$  \$1045 in 2013; middle-income countries have per-capita GNI > \$1045 and < \$12,746; high-income countries are those with per-capita GNI per capita  $\geq$  \$12,746. Lower-middle-income and upper-middle-income are separated at per-capita GNI of \$4,125. All analyses were performed in Python or R.

### Results

Global survey data on individual-level SSB consumption were derived from 62 surveys, including 51 countries and 612,000 individuals, and representing 63% of the world's adult population (Table 1). 88.2% of the survey data on SSB consumption were nationally-representative, and 72.1% were from low- and middle-income countries. Data on fruit juice intake were from 56 surveys, 86.7% of which were nationally-representative. These data on fruit juice consumption included information on 569,000 individuals from 46 countries worldwide, representing 58% of the world's population. Milk consumption data included 75 surveys worldwide, based on information from 77 countries and 689,000 individuals, with 79.2% of the data representative at the national level and 53.4% of the data from low- and middle-income countries. Countrylevel FAO data relevant to the annual availability of each of the three beverages were available for all 187 countries in our analysis for every year between 1980 and 2010 (Table 1).

### Global distribution of sugar-sweetened beverage consumption

In 2010, global SSB consumption in adults over age 20 averaged 0.58 (95%UI: 0.37, 0.89) 8 oz servings/day (<u>Table 2</u>). SSB consumption was highest in men aged 20–39 (1.04, 95%UI: 0.63, 1.7 servings/day), and lowest in women aged 60 and over (0.34, 95%UI: 0.20, 0.53 servings/ day). In general, SSB consumption was higher in upper-middle income countries (0.80, 95% UI: 0.51, 1.22 servings/day) and lower-middle income countries (0.59, 95%UI: 0.34, 0.95

### 

Table 1. Availability and characteristics of individual-level dietary survey data and U.N. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) food availability data used to estimate sugar-sweetened beverage, fruit juice, and milk consumption levels by world region.

Initiality         Initia	Region <sup>1</sup>	Adult population		Sugar-sweetened beverage		Fruit juice		Milk	
East Asia, and South Asia and Central Asia, Eastern Europe and Central Europe and Cen		(millions)		Individual-level dietary survey data	FAO country- level food availability data <sup>2</sup>	Individual-level dietary survey data	FAO country- level food availability data <sup>3</sup>	Individual-level dietary survey data	FAO country- level food availability data <sup>4</sup>
Time period of data         1995–2008         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980-2010	East Asia, Southeast Asia, and South Asia	2146	Number of surveys(% nationally representative)	12 (75.0)	22	9 (77.8)	22	19 (57.9)	22
Total sample size         902,929         NA <sup>8</sup> 980,090         NA         975,857         NA           Eastern Europe and Central Europe and Central Europe and Central Europe         273         Number of surveys(%, 4 (75.0)         29         666.7)         290-2010         1980-2010 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Time period of data</td> <td>1995–2008</td> <td>1980–2010</td> <td>1995–2008</td> <td>1980–2010</td> <td>1991–2008</td> <td>1980–2010</td>			Time period of data	1995–2008	1980–2010	1995–2008	1980–2010	1991–2008	1980–2010
Central Asia, Estern Europe, and Central Europe and Central Europe (1)         Rame for surveys(k) (1)         (75.0)         Second (1)			Total sample size	302,292	NA <sup>5</sup>	360,909	NA	375,657	NA
Image for an error of data         2000-2005         1980-2010         1980-201	Central Asia, Eastern Europe, and Central Europe	273	Number of surveys (% nationally representative)	4 (75.0)	29	6 (66.7)	29	11 (72.7)	29
Ford ample size12,977NA18,095NA28,045NAWestern Europe Mationally representatives28,06,0 anitonally representatives28,06,0 anitonally representatives31,93,51			Time period of data	2000–2005	1980–2010	1993–2005	1980–2010	1993–2005	1980–2010
Western Europe         501         Number of surveys <sup>(%</sup> )         22 (90.6)         23         31 (93.5)         23         31 (93.5)         23           Time period of data         1986-2009         1980-2010         1980-			Total sample size	12,977	NA	18,095	NA	28,645	NA
Image period of data         1986-2009         1980-2010         1986-2009         1980-2010         1986-2009         1980-2010           North Africa and the Middle East Microally representatives         Number of surveys (% alionally representative)         3 (66.7)         1 (100)         1 (100)         1 (100)         2005-2009         1 (100)         1 (100)         2 (100) <td>Western Europe</td> <td>301</td> <td>Number of surveys(% nationally representative)</td> <td>32 (90.6)</td> <td>23</td> <td>31 (93.5)</td> <td>23</td> <td>31 (93.5)</td> <td>23</td>	Western Europe	301	Number of surveys(% nationally representative)	32 (90.6)	23	31 (93.5)	23	31 (93.5)	23
Total sample size94,08NA92,698NA93,624NANorth Atrica and the Middle East255Number of surveys(% representative)3(66.7)190-20101900-20102005-20091980-2010Time period of data2005-20091980-20101200-20091980-20102005-20091980-2010Sub-Saharan Africa320Number of surveys(% representative)1(100)651(100)652(50)2(50)65Total sample size76,790NA1,502NA1980-201019951980-201019951980-2010Africa Africa10015021800-201019951980-201019951980-201019951980-201019951980-2010Africa AfricaNumber of surveys(% representative)1,502NA1,609NANA1,609NATotal sample size1,502NA1,502NA1,699NA1,6091980-20101990-20061980-20101990-20061980-20101990-20061980-20101990-20061980-20101990-20061980-20101990-20061980-20101990-20061980-2010			Time period of data	1986–2009	1980–2010	1986–2009	1980–2010	1986–2009	1980–2010
North Africa ang the Middle Easi Multical Easi Mu			Total sample size	94,408	NA	92,698	NA	93,624	NA
Image for the sample size in	North Africa and the Middle East	225	Number of surveys (% nationally representative)	3 (66.7)	19	1 (100)	19	3 (66.7)	19
FunctionTotal sample size76,790NA2,592NA73,798NASub-Saharan Africa200Number of surveys() representative1(10)5555Ima period of data1951980-20101980-20101992-00119951980-2010Itin period of data1950160-20101992.00160-20101995.00190-2010Itin period of data1930.00190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data1930.00190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data1930.00190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data1930.00190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data190-2000190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data190-2000190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data190-2000190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data190-2000190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data190-2000190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period of data190-2000190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010190-2010Itin period			Time period of data	2005–2009	1980–2010	2008–2009	1980–2010	2005–2009	1980–2010
Sub-Saharan Africa320Number of surveys(h indicinally representative)1 (100)651 (100)652 (50)65Time period of data19951980-201019951980-201019951980-20101980-2010Latin America and the Caribbean (momeresentative)Number of surveys(h representative)5 (80)373 (66.7)374 (75.0)37Latin America and the Caribbean (momeresentative)Number of surveys(h representative)1980-20101993-20071980-20102003-20061980-2010Latin America and the Caribbean (momeresentative)1993-20071980-20101980-20102003-20061980-2010Latin America and the Caribbean (momeresentative)1993-20071980-20101980-20101980-20102003-20061980-2010Latin America and the Caribbean (momeresentative)1993-20071980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-2010Latin America and the Caribbean (momeresentative)1990-20061980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-2010Latin America and transple size1990-20061980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-2010Latin America and bea teapenetative)1990-20061980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-20101980-2010Latin America and bea teapenetative)1990-20061980-20101980-20101980-2010 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Total sample size</td><td>76,790</td><td>NA</td><td>2,592</td><td>NA</td><td>73,798</td><td>NA</td></t<>			Total sample size	76,790	NA	2,592	NA	73,798	NA
Time period of data         1995         1980–2010         1995         1980–2010         1995         1980–2010           Total sample size         1,502         NA         1,502         NA         1,699         NA           Latin America and the Caribbean the Caribbean         199         Number of surveys(% nationally representative)         5(80, 37)         3(66.7)         37         4(75.0)         37           Latin America and the Caribbean         1999-entot of data         1993-2007         1980-2010         1980-2010         2003-2006         1980-2010           Latin America and the Caribbean         Time period of data         1993-2007         1980-2010         1980-2010         2003-2006         1980-2010           Latin America and the Caribbean         Time period of data         1992-2007         1980-2010         1980-2010         203-2006         1980-2010           Latin America and the Caribbean         2026         NA         1371         NA         23,438         NA           Latin America and New Zealand         26         Number of surveys(% representative)         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010         1980-2010	Sub-Saharan Africa	320	Number of surveys(% nationally representative)	1 (100)	65	1 (100)	65	2 (50)	65
Total sample size         1,502         NA         1,502         NA         1,699         NA           Latin America ant the Caribbean $319$ Number of surveys(% nationally representative) $5$ (80) $37$ $3$ (66.7) $37$ $4$ (75.0) $37$ Latin America and the Caribbean $199$ $1993 - 2007$ $1980 - 2010$ $1980 - 2010$ $2003 - 2006$ $1980 - 2010$ Latin America and the Caribbean $100$ $193 - 2007$ $1980 - 2010$ $1980 - 2010$ $1980 - 2010$ $2003 - 2006$ $1980 - 2010$ Loss         Time period of data $1993 - 2007$ $1980 - 2010$ $1980 - 2010$ $2100$ <t< td=""><td></td><td>Time period of data</td><td>1995</td><td>1980–2010</td><td>1995</td><td>1980–2010</td><td>1995</td><td>1980–2010</td></t<>			Time period of data	1995	1980–2010	1995	1980–2010	1995	1980–2010
Latin America and the Caribbean the Caribbean the Caribbean the Caribbean the Caribbean319Number of surveys(% spresentative)5(80)373(66.7)374(75.0)37Image of data total sample size193-20071980-20101993-20071980-20102003-20061980-2010Image of data total sample size32,282NA1371NA23,438NAU.S. and Canada Los226Number of surveys(% representative)2(100)2<1000			Total sample size	1,502	NA	1,502	NA	1,699	NA
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Latin America and the Caribbean	319	Number of surveys(% nationally representative)	5 (80)	37	3 (66.7)	37	4 (75.0)	37
Total sample size32,82NA1371NA23,438NAU.S. and Canada Low226Number of surveys(% nationally representative)2(100)22(100)2(100)2(100)2(100)2(100)2(100)2(100)1980-2010			Time period of data	1993–2007	1980–2010	1993–2007	1980–2010	2003–2006	1980–2010
U.S. and Canada         226         Number of surveys(% prepresentative)         2 (100)			Total sample size	32,282	NA	1371	NA	23,438	NA
Time period of data         1990–2006         1980–2010         1980–2010         1990–2006         1980–2010         1990–2006         1980–2010           Australia and New Zealand         17.4         Number of surveys(%) ationally representative)         3 (100)         2         3 (100)	U.S. and Canada	226	Number of surveys(% nationally representative)	2 (100)	2	2 (100)	2	2 (100)	2
Total sample size         70,011         NA         70,011         NA         70,011         NA           Australia and New Zealand         17.4         Number of surveys(% nationally representative)         3(100)         2         3(100)         3(100)         2         3(100)         2         3(100)			Time period of data	1990–2006	1980–2010	1990–2006	1980–2010	1990–2006	1980–2010
Australia and New Zealand         17.4         Number of surveys(% a) (100)         2         3 (100)         2         3 (100)         2           Image: I			Total sample size	70,011	NA	70,011	NA	70,011	NA
Time period of data         1995–2002         1980–2010         1995–2002         1980–2010         1995–2002         1980–2010           Total sample size         21,709         NA         21,709         NA         21,709         NA         21,709         NA           Globe         3827.4         Number of surveys(% nationally representative)         62 (88.2)         187         56 (86.7)         187         75 (79.2)         187           Time period of data         1986–2009         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010	Australia and New Zealand	17.4	Number of surveys(% nationally representative)	3 (100)	2	3 (100)	2	3 (100)	2
Total sample size         21,709         NA         21,709         NA         21,709         NA           Globe         3827.4         Number of surveys(% representative)         62 (88.2)         187         56 (86.7)         187         75 (79.2)         187           Time period of data         1986–2009         1980–2010         1986–2009         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010         1980–2010			Time period of data	1995–2002	1980–2010	1995–2002	1980–2010	1995–2002	1980–2010
Globe         3827.4         Number of surveys(% nationally representative)         62 (88.2)         187         56 (86.7)         187         75 (79.2)         187           Time period of data         1986–2009         1980–2010         1986–2009         1980–2010         1986–2009         1980–2010         1986–2009         1980–2010 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Total sample size</td><td>21,709</td><td>NA</td><td>21,709</td><td>NA</td><td>21,709</td><td>NA</td></td<>			Total sample size	21,709	NA	21,709	NA	21,709	NA
Time period of data         1986–2009         1980–2010         1986–2009         1980–2010         1986–2009         1980–2010	Globe	3827.4	Number of surveys(% nationally representative)	62 (88.2)	187	56 (86.7)	187	75 (79.2)	187
			Time period of data	1986–2009	1980–2010	1986–2009	1980–2010	1986–2009	1980–2010

(Continued)

#### Table 1. (Continued)

PLOS ONE

Region <sup>1</sup>	Adult population (millions)	Sugar-sweet	Sugar-sweetened beverages		Fruit juice		Milk	
	()	Individual-level dietary survey data	FAO country- level food availability data <sup>2</sup>	Individual-level dietary survey data	FAO country- level food availability data <sup>3</sup>	Individual-level dietary survey data	FAO country- level food availability data <sup>4</sup>	
	Total sample siz	e 611,971	NA	568,887	NA	688,581	NA	

<sup>1</sup> The countries in each region are as follows:

#### East Asia, Southeast Asia, and South Asia-

East Asia: China, Hong Kong SAR (China), Macau SAR (China), Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Taiwan.

Southeast Asia: Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Maldives, Myanmar, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Viet Nam. South Asia: Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan

Oceania: Cook Islands, Fiji, French Polynesia, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of), Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Vanuatu

#### Central Asia, Eastern Europe, and Central Europe-

Central Asia: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Mongolia, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan

Eastern Europe: Belarus, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, Russian Federation, Ukraine

Central Europe: Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Montenegro, Poland, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Macedonia (Former Yugoslav Republic of)

#### Western Europe-

Andorra, Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Greenland, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom

#### North Africa and the Middle East-

Algeria, Bahrain, Egypt, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Morocco, Occupied Palestinian Territory, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Yemen

#### Sub-Saharan Africa-

Central Africa: Angola, Central African Republic, Congo, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, East Africa Burundi, Comoros, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Rwanda, Seychelles, Somalia, Sudan, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia East Africa: Burundi, Comoros, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Rwanda, Seychelles, Somalia, Sudan, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia

West Africa: Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, São Tomé and Príncipe, Togo

Southern Africa: Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland, Zimbabwe

#### Latin America and the Caribbean-

Andean Latin America: Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru

Central Latin America: Colombia, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Venezuela

Southern Latin America: Argentina, Chile, Uruguay

Tropical Latin America: Brazil, Paraguay

Caribbean: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Guyana, Haiti, Jamaica, Netherlands Antilles, Puerto Rico, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago

#### U.S. and Canada-

United States of America, Canada

### Australia and New Zealand—

Australia, New Zealand

<sup>2</sup> The U.N. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) did not collect country-level data on sugar-sweetened beverage availability, per se, in the time period 1980– 2010, so as a proxy we used FAO data on the total availability of sugar in the 187 countries of interest. FAO availability data captures the net availability of particular food items for human consumption in a given country and year, accounting for imports, exports, and agricultural production.

<sup>3</sup>The FAO did not collect country-level data on fruit juice availability, per se, in the time period 1980–2010, so as a proxy we used FAO data on the total availability of fruits in the 187 countries of interest.

<sup>4</sup>FAO availability data for milk captures the net availability of milk for human consumption in a given country and year, accounting for imports, exports, and agricultural production.

<sup>5</sup>As FAO food balance data represents country-level availability of food, sample size is not relevant to this type of data and is listed as "NA" (not applicable) for all FAO data in the table above.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124845.t001

### Table 2. Mean consumption of SSBs, fruit juice, milk, and calcium by age, sex, and country income level.

	Mean (95%UI) of beverage intakes					
	SSB(servings/day)	Fruit juice(servings/day)	Milk(servings/day)	Calcium(mg/day)		
		Globe				
Women						
Ages 20 to 39	0.94 (0.56,1.50)	0.23 (0.13,0.37)	0.55 (0.36,0.82)	626 (515,758)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.50 (0.30,0.80)	0.16 (0.09,0.27)	0.53 (0.35,0.80)	641 (528,776)		
Ages 60 and older	0.34 (0.20,0.53)	0.16 (0.09,0.26)	0.68 (0.44,1.01)	685 (564,827)		
Women overall	0.56 (0.33,0.89)	0.18 (0.10,0.29)	0.60 (0.39,0.90)	656 (540,793)		
Men						
Ages 20 to 39	1.04 (0.63,1.66)	0.18 (0.11,0.30)	0.51 (0.33,0.76)	574 (472,695)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.55 (0.33,0.87)	0.13 (0.08,0.22)	0.48 (0.32,0.72)	587 (483,710)		
Ages 60 and older	0.37 (0.22,0.58)	0.13 (0.07,0.21)	0.62 (0.41,0.92)	627 (516,758)		
Men overall	0.61 (0.37,0.97)	0.14 (0.08,0.24)	0.55 (0.36,0.82)	600 (494,726)		
Both sexes overall	0.58 (0.37,0.89)	0.16 (0.10,0.26)	0.57 (0.39,0.83)	629 (527,747)		
		Country income level <sup>1</sup>				
		High income				
Women						
Ages 20 to 39	0.84 (0.58,1.20)	0.36 (0.24,0.53)	0.70 (0.52,0.95)	780 (686,887)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.43 (0.29,0.61)	0.25 (0.17,0.38)	0.68 (0.50,0.92)	798 (703,908)		
Ages 60 and older	0.29 (0.20,0.42)	0.25 (0.16,0.37)	0.85 (0.63,1.15)	851 (751,967)		
Women overall	0.49 (0.33,0.70)	0.28 (0.19,0.42)	0.76 (0.56,1.02)	816 (719,927)		
Men						
Ages 20 to 39	0.95 (0.65,1.35)	0.29 (0.19,0.43)	0.65 (0.48,0.88)	716 (629,815)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.47 (0.32,0.67)	0.21 (0.14,0.31)	0.61 (0.45,0.82)	730 (643,831)		
Ages 60 and older	0.32 (0.22,0.45)	0.20 (0.13,0.30)	0.77 (0.57,1.04)	778 (686,886)		
Men overall	0.54 (0.37,0.77)	0.23 (0.15,0.34)	0.69 (0.51,0.93)	747 (657,850)		
Both sexes overall	0.51 (0.37,0.71)	0.25 (0.18,0.36)	0.72 (0.55,0.95)	782 (697,879)		
		Upper middle income				
Women						
Ages 20 to 39	1.29 (0.77,2.06)	0.30 (0.16,0.52)	0.68 (0.44,1.04)	667 (544,814)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.69 (0.42,1.11)	0.22 (0.12,0.37)	0.67 (0.43,1.01)	684 (558,833)		
Ages 60 and older	0.46 (0.28,0.74)	0.20 (0.11,0.35)	0.85 (0.55,1.28)	730 (598,888)		
Women overall	0.77 (0.46,1.22)	0.23 (0.13,0.41)	0.75 (0.48,1.13)	699 (571,851)		
Men						
Ages 20 to 39	1.42 (0.85,2.25)	0.24 (0.13,0.42)	0.63 (0.40,0.95)	611 (499,743)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.75 (0.45,1.20)	0.18 (0.09,0.31)	0.61 (0.39,0.91)	625 (511,759)		
Ages 60 and older	0.51 (0.31,0.80)	0.17 (0.09,0.29)	0.77 (0.50,1.16)	668 (547,811)		
Men overall	0.84 (0.50,1.33)	0.19 (0.10,0.33)	0.68 (0.44,1.03)	639 (523,777)		
Both sexes overall	0.80 (0.51,1.22)	0.21 (0.12,0.35)	0.72 (0.48,1.05)	670 (559,801)		
		Lower middle income				
Women						
Ages 20 to 39	0.94 (0.51,1.60)	0.16 (0.08,0.28)	0.46 (0.27,0.74)	564 (439,713)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.51 (0.28,0.87)	0.11 (0.06,0.20)	0.45 (0.27,0.72)	578 (450,730)		
Ages 60 and older	0.34 (0.19,0.57)	0.11 (0.05,0.20)	0.57 (0.34,0.91)	617 (481,779)		
Women overall	0.56 (0.31,0.95)	0.12 (0.06,0.22)	0.50 (0.30,0.80)	591 (460,746)		
Men						
Ages 20 to 39	1.04 (0.57,1.76)	0.13 (0.06,0.23)	0.42 (0.25,0.68)	516 (401,653)		
Ages 40 to 59	0.56 (0.30,0.95)	0.09 (0.05,0.17)	0.41 (0.24,0.65)	528 (412,668)		
Ages 60 and older	0.37 (0.20,0.63)	0.09 (0.04,0.16)	0.52 (0.31,0.83)	565 (440,714)		

(Continued)



#### Table 2. (Continued)

		Mean (95%UI) of beverage intakes						
	SSB(servings/day)	Fruit juice(servings/day)	Milk(servings/day)	Calcium(mg/day)				
Men overall	0.61 (0.34,1.04)	0.10 (0.05,0.18)	0.46 (0.27,0.74)	540 (421,684)				
Both sexes overall	0.59 (0.34,0.95)	0.11 (0.06,0.19)	0.48 (0.30,0.74)	566 (452,700)				
Low income								
Women								
Ages 20 to 39	0.56 (0.30,0.96)	0.04 (0.02,0.08)	0.29 (0.16,0.47)	454 (355,572)				
Ages 40 to 59	0.30 (0.16,0.50)	0.03 (0.01,0.06)	0.28 (0.16,0.46)	465 (363,585)				
Ages 60 and older	0.20 (0.11,0.33)	0.03 (0.01,0.06)	0.35 (0.20,0.58)	497 (389,625)				
Women overall	0.33 (0.18,0.56)	0.03 (0.02,0.06)	0.31 (0.18,0.51)	476 (372,599)				
Men								
Ages 20 to 39	0.62 (0.34,1.06)	0.04 (0.02,0.07)	0.26 (0.15,0.43)	416 (326,526)				
Ages 40 to 59	0.33 (0.18,0.55)	0.03 (0.01,0.05)	0.25 (0.14,0.41)	426 (334,538)				
Ages 60 and older	0.22 (0.12,0.37)	0.02 (0.01,0.05)	0.32 (0.18,0.52)	455 (357,575)				
Men overall	0.36 (0.20,0.62)	0.03 (0.01,0.05)	0.28 (0.16,0.46)	436 (342,550)				
Both sexes overall	0.35 (0.20,0.56)	0.03 (0.02,0.06)	0.30 (0.18,0.47)	456 (366,563)				

<sup>1</sup>Income categorizations based on the World Bank classification system: (<u>http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications/country-and-lending-groups</u>).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124845.t002

servings/day) than in high income (0.51, 95%UI: 0.37, 0.71 servings/day) or low income (0.35, 95%UI: 0.20, 0.56 servings/day) countries.

There was also large heterogeneity across geographical regions: almost a 10-fold difference between highest and lowest regional intake levels. Of 21 world regions, SSB consumption was highest in the Caribbean (1.9, 95%CI: 1.2, 3.0 servings/day), and lowest in East Asia (0.20, 95% CI: 0.16, 0.25 servings/day). SSB consumption was also high in Central Latin America, high-income North America, and Andean Latin America, with average intakes of over 0.8 servings per day of SSBs (Fig 1A and Table C in S1 File).

### Global distribution of fruit juice consumption

Adults worldwide in 2010 consumed an average of 0.16 (95%UI: 0.10, 0.26) servings/day of fruit juice, with greatest intake in women aged 20–39 (0.23, 95%UI: 0.13, 0.37) (<u>Table 2</u>). Fruit juice consumption on average increased with country income level, highest in high-income countries (0.25, 95%UI: 0.18, 0.36), and lowest in low-income countries (0.03, 95%UI: 0.02, 0.06).

Across geographic regions, fruit juice intake ranged from 0.66 (95%UI: 0.36, 1.13) servings/ day to 0.013 (95%UI: 0.011, 0.017) servings/day, highest in Australasia and lowest in East Asia. Adults in Australasia, high-income North America, Central Latin America, and Andean Latin America consumed over a third of a serving per day of fruit juice, and adults in South Asia and East Asia consumed less than a quarter of a serving per day (Table C in <u>S1 File</u>).

### Global distributions of milk consumption and calcium intake

Milk consumption among adults averaged 0.57 (95%UI: 0.39, 0.83) servings/day globally and was on average highest in older adults than in younger adults: 0.68 (95%UI: 0.39, 0.90) servings/day in women age 60 and over and 0.51 (95%UI: 0.33, 0.76) servings/day in men aged 20–39 (Table 2). Adults in wealthier countries typically drank more milk than in poorer countries









Fig 1. Consumption of non-alcoholic caloric beverages in 187 countries worldwide. A) SSBs, B) Fruit juice, C) Milk. Mean country-level beverage consumption levels in servings/day are represented by the color scales in each panel. Note that the scale range differs in each panel.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124845.g001

(high income: 0.72, 95%UI: 0.55, 0.95; upper-middle income: 0.72, 95%UI: 0.48, 1.05; lower-middle income: 0.48, 95%UI: 0.30, 0.74; low-income: 0.30, 95%UI: 0.18, 0.47 servings/day).

Across 21 world regions, Central Latin America was the region with highest milk intake (1.06, 95%UI: 0.68, 1.59 servings/day), and milk consumption also exceeded three-quarters of a serving in Europe and Southern Sub-Saharan Africa. Adults in East Asia and Oceania consumed the least milk, less than a quarter of a serving per day (Table C in <u>S1 File</u>).

Calcium intake was highly correlated with milk consumption in 2010 (r = 0.71), with highest levels in Western Europe (911, 95%UI: 824, 1009 mg/day) and U.S/Canada (872, 95%UI: 828, 918 mg/day), lowest levels in Eastern Sub-Saharan Africa (441, 95%UI: 348, 554) and a global average of 629 (95%UI: 527, 747) mg/day.

### National distributions of beverage consumption

**SSBs.** Across 187 countries, Trinidad and Tobago had the highest average consumption of SSBs, at 2.5 (95%UI: 1.5, 4.0) servings/day, and adults in Barbados, Suriname, Cuba, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, the Dominican Republic, and Grenada drank over 2 servings/day of SSBs (Fig 1A and Table C in <u>S1 File</u>). China had the lowest levels of SSB consumption (0.05, 95%UI: 0.04, 0.06 servings/day), and SSB intake levels in North Korea and Azerbaijan were similarly low. Adults in the U.S. had the 26<sup>th</sup>-highest consumption of SSBs out of 187 countries, averaging 1.0 (95%UI: 0.9, 1.2) servings/day.

**Fruit juice**. Fruit juice consumption was highest in New Zealand (0.83, 95%UI: 0.44, 1.44 servings/day), and also exceeded three-quarters of a serving/day in Colombia (Fig 1B and Table B in <u>S1 File</u>). Adults in Eritrea, Burkina Faso, China, and Togo all had very low fruit juice consumption, close to zero servings/day. In the United States, adults on average drank about a third of a serving of fruit juice per day (0.36, 95%UI: 0.31, 0.41), ranking 21st of 187 countries worldwide.

**Milk.** Adults in Sweden and Iceland consumed the most milk in 2010, at 1.6 (95%UI: 1.4, 1.8) servings/day, and adults in Costa Rica, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Finland, and Sri Lanka also consumed over 1.3 servings/day on average (Fig 1C and Table B in S1 File). North Korea, and Indonesia had the lowest levels of milk consumption at less than 0.05 servings/day. In the United States, milk consumption among adults averaged 0.69 (95%UI: 0.61, 0.77) servings/day, ranking 64th out of 187 countries. Calcium intake followed similar patterns to milk intake and was highest in Finland and Iceland, where adults consumed over 1000 mg/day, and lowest in Mozambique and Malawi, where consumption was below 325 mg/day.

### Age, sex, and time trends in beverage consumption

**SSBs.** SSB consumption generally followed an inverse age gradient, highest in adults under age 40, and lowest in adults over age 60 (Fig 2A and Table 2). There was a steep inverse age gradient in regions in Latin America and the Caribbean and in high-income North America, which was attenuated in regions of lower SSB consumption, such as East and South Asia By age and sex, regional consumption of SSBs was highest in men aged 20–39 in the Caribbean at 3.4 (95%UI: 2.0, 5.6) servings/day (Table C in <u>S1 File</u>). Men and women under age 60 in the Caribbean and Central Latin America also consumed over 1.5 servings/day of SSBs. Regional intake of SSBs was lowest in women over age 60 in East Asia (0.12, 95%UI: 0.09, 0.15 servings/day).

At the country level, in 2010 men aged 20–29 in Trinidad and Tobago (5.1, 95%UI: 2.9, 8.7 servings/day) consumed the most SSBs in the world, and women over age 80 in China consumed the least (0.026, 95%CI: 0.022, 0.031 servings/day) (Table B in <u>S1 File</u>). The cross-country correlation between SSB consumption and mean body-mass index (BMI) also followed an





Fig 2. Global non-alcoholic caloric beverage consumption in 21 regions by age. A) SSBs, B) Fruit juice, C) Milk. Consumption levels are shown in four age groups for each region and each region is color-coded as shown in the legend.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124845.g002

inverse age gradient, with strongest correlation in adults under age 45, and weakest correlation in in adults over age 65 (Fig.3). Between 1990 and 2010, SSB consumption increased in several countries in Latin America and the Caribbean and Southeast Asia, however, at the regional level these changes were not statistically significant (Fig A in <u>S1 File</u>).

**Fruit juice.** In contrast to SSBs, regional fruit juice consumption showed little relationship with age (Fig 2B). Women aged 20–39 in Australasia had the highest levels of fruit juice intake, at 0.92 (95%CI: 0.47, 1.7) servings/day and men over age 60 in East Asia had the lowest, at 0.01 (0.01, 0.02) servings/day (Table C in <u>S1 File</u>).

Among all countries, fruit juice consumption was highest in women aged 20–29 in New Zealand (1.3, 95%UI: 0.6, 2.3 servings/day) and close to zero in men and women of all ages in Eritrea and Burkina Faso. Changes in fruit juice consumption between 1990 and 2010 were not statistically significant at the regional level (Fig A in <u>S1 File</u>) and showed little relationship with mean BMI (Fig C in <u>S1 File</u>).

**Milk.** Unlike either SSB or fruit juice consumption, milk intake in many regions was lower in younger ages and higher in older ages (Fig 2C; Fig B in <u>S1 File</u>). At the regional level, women over age 60 in Central Latin America drank the most milk (1.3, 95%UI: 0.8, 1.9 servings/day), and men under age 60 in East Asia drank the least (0.07, 95%UI: 0.05, 0.08 servings/day). As with milk consumption, calcium intake increased with age, highest in women over age 60 in Western Europe and high-income North America, and lowest in men under age 40 in Eastern Sub-Saharan Africa and East Asia (Table C in <u>S1 File</u>).





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124845.g003

By country, milk intake was highest in the world in women over age 80 in Sweden and Iceland (2.1, 95%UI: 1.8, 2.4 servings/day) and lowest in men under age 50 in North Korea (0.03, 95%UI: 0.02, 0.06 servings/day) (Table B in <u>S1 File</u>). At the regional level, changes in milk consumption between 1990 and 2010 were generally not statistically significant.

### Discussion

These results, based on collection and harmonization of both individual-level national dietary intake surveys and national food availability data provide comprehensive estimates of global, regional, and national consumption of SSBs, fruit juice, and milk, by age and sex. These are the first quantitative estimates of non-alcoholic beverage consumption in 187 countries of the world, and provide information that can inform several areas in global health. First, these results identify gaps in current dietary data around the world, indicating the need for improved dietary surveillance in particular world regions. Second, these data provide the basis for quantitative assessment of the impact of beverage intakes on disease burdens [33]. Third, this work provides estimates of beverage intakes that will be useful baselines for measuring the efficacy of policies and interventions related both to undernutrition and overnutrition.

In 2010, beverage consumption varied significantly by region. SSB intake was highest in the Americas, particularly in parts of Latin America and the Caribbean, where both commercial and homemade SSBs are widely consumed [34]. Fruit juice intake was highest in Australia and New Zealand, perhaps reflecting high levels of production and marketing in those countries [35]. Milk intake was highest in parts of Northern Europe where dairy farming is widespread and dairy products have traditionally beendietary mainstays [36,37]. Consumption of SSBs, fruit juices, and milk was particularly low in East Asia, perhaps indicating the sociocultural importance of tea-drinking in that region, the widespread consumption of soy-based beverages, as well as the high prevalence of lactose-intolerance [38–41]. Milk consumption was also low in parts of East Africa, which may reflect lower availability of milk [42], the prevalence of lactose-intolerance [38], preferred intake of alternative traditional beverages[43], or other cultural and macroeconomic factors that require further investigation.

Although there was little difference in beverage consumption levels and trends between men and women, beverage consumption showed major variation by age, with younger adults drinking more SSBs, and older adults drinking more milk. Higher consumption of SSB in younger adults may stem from a generational effect, which may in part be due to heavier marketing and advertising of SSBs to younger populations [44,45]. Higher consumption of milk in older adults may be due to dietary guidelines promoting milk consumption to increase calcium intake and prevent bone mineral loss, especially among older women at risk for osteoporosis [26], or may also be due to a generational effect.

Several strengths of this study can be noted. We conducted systematic searches and contacted experts worldwide to collect, evaluate, and analyze global individual-level data on consumption levels of SSBs, milk, and fruit juice. Data on dietary intakes of these beverages were primarily from nationally-representative surveys and were age-, sex-, and time-specific. We used standardized methods for data extraction and analysis across surveys and beverage categories to ensure comparability of the dietary information collected, and we assessed surveys for quality of measurement methods to maximize data validity. In addition to individual-level information on beverage consumption from national surveys, we also extracted data relevant to SSB, fruit juice, and milk annual availability in each of the 187 countries in our analysis between 1990 and 2010 from FAO food balance sheets, and harmonized these data with intake data using hierarchical modeling methods. Having both high-quality national survey data on beverage intake, albeit with partial global coverage, in addition to beverage availability data with complete global coverage provided as comprehensive data as possible for estimating global levels of consumption of SSBs, fruit juices, and milk. We used established hierarchical modelling methods to combine national survey data on individual-level beverage intakes with country-level data relevant to beverage availability, to address differences in dietary intake data representativeness and measurement methodologies, and to capture uncertainty due to measurement error, sampling uncertainty, and modeling uncertainty. The model was informed by time-varying covariates, incorporated uncertainty in primary data, and was subjected to external validation. These methods have allowed us to investigate in considerable detail global trends in the consumption of three major beverage categories.

These results should be interpreted with some limitations in mind. We noted sparsity of individual-level beverage intake data in particular geographical regions and time periods despite our systematic approach to survey identification. In particular, fewer data sources on individual-level intakes were available to inform estimates of beverage consumption in 1990 than in 2010, and comparatively fewer data sources on individual-level intakes were available in Oceania and much of Sub-Saharan Africa, and some South Asian countries. However, we incorporated into our analysis data on beverage availability from FAO food balance sheets for each of 187 countries in every year between 1990 and 2010 so that estimates for every country in the analysis were informed by data from multiple time points. Additionally, identification of areas of data sparsity is important in itself for identifying gaps in global dietary surveillance and planning future surveys. The food availability data used in this analysis are reported by individual countries and may therefore heterogeneously capture availability from non-commercial channels, which could be important sources of beverages in many low/middle income countries[46]; however, we used established methods to harmonize food availability data with measured intake levels to minimize bias due to such factors. Some surveys with pre-categorized dietary data had slightly different classifications of SSBs, fruit juices, and milk than those used in our study; however, such cases were limited and our modeling approach downweighted studies using non-optimal metrics in the model,. As this analysis was part of a larger initative that focused on chronic disease-related dietary intakes (the GBD Nutrition and Chronic Diseases Expert Group (NutriCoDE)), we did not collect data in children in this round of analysis, although children are included in further data collection efforts that are currently underway.

Our efforts to systematically collect, evaluate, and pool data from both individual-level national dietary intake surveys and country-level food availability data have provided a comprehensive assessment of the global consumption of SSBs, fruit juices, and milk. Our results also highlight the sparsity of data on individual-level dietary intakes in particular world regions, illustrating the need for improved future dietary surveillance using validated, stan-dardized, nationally-representative surveys. Given that carrying out such surveys can be expensive and logistically challenging, our work also provides a robust modeling methodology by which dietary intakes can be estimated in data-sparse regions. These results are valuable for providing a detailed picture of global beverage consumption levels, useful both in quantifying disease burdens related to beverage intake and in framing health policies and interventions directed at reducing these disease burdens.

### **Supporting Information**

**S1 File. Supporting Appendix, Tables, and Figures.** (PDF)

### Acknowledgments

This work was undertaken as part of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study. The results in this paper are prepared independently of the final estimates of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors study. We thank the Russia Longitudinal Monitoring Survey Phase 2, funded by the USAID and NIH (R01-HD38700), Higher School of Economics and Pension Fund of Russia, and the University of North Carolina Population Center (5 R24 HD050924) (Source: "Russia Longitudinal Monitoring survey, RLMS-HSE"), conducted by HSE and ZAO "Demoscope" together with Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and the Institute of Sociology RAS (RLMS-HSE sites: http:// www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/rlms, http://www.hse.ru/org/hse/rlms) for sharing data with us. We thank Barbara Bowman, MS, PhD, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA; Patricia Constante Jamie, PhD, School of Public Health, University of São Paulo, Sao Paolo, Brazil; Karen Lock, PhD, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK; and Joceline Pomerleau, PhD, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK for advising and guidance on initial search strategy. We thank Louise Dekker, MSc, Jenna Golan, MPH, Shadi Kalantarian, MD, MPH, Liesbeth Smit, PhD, and Georgina Waweru Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA for assistance with data collection.

The Global Burden of Diseases Nutrition and Chronic Diseases Expert Group (NutriCoDE) authorship group includes:

- *Core Group*: Dariush Mozaffarian, MD, DrPh, Tufts University Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Health Policy, Boston, MA, USA; Majid Ezzati, PhD, Imperial College London, London, UK; Saman Fahimi, MD, MPH, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; Shahab Khatibzadeh, MD, MPH, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA; Renata Micha, PhD, Tufts University Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Health Policy, Boston, MA, USA; John Powles, MB, BS, MA, FFPH, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; Peilin Shi, PhD, Tufts University Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Health Policy, Boston, MA, USA.
- Other Members: Ibrahim Elmadfa, PhD, Institute of Nutritional Sciences, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; Mayuree Rao, BA, The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; Pattra Wirojratana, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA; Gitanjali Singh, PhD, Tufts University Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Health Policy, Boston, MA USA.
- Dietary Exposure Imputation: Stephen S. Lim, PhD, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA; Kathryn G. Andrews, MPH, African Leaders Malaria Alliance, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania; Rebecca E Engell, BA, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.
- Dietary Exposures—Corresponding Members (alphabetical order): Pamela A. Abbott, PhD, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom; Morteza Abdollahi, MD, MPH, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute Iran; Enrique Abeyá Gilardon, MD, MPH, Ministerio de Salud, Argentina; Habibul Ahsan, MD, University of Chicago, USA; Mohannad Abed Alfattah Al Nsour, MD, Eastern Mediterranean Public Health Network (EMPHNET), Jordan; Suad N. Al-Hooti, MSc, Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research, Kuwait; Carukshi Arambepola, MD, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka; Hubert Barennes, PhD, Institut Francophone pour la Médecine Tropicale, Lao PDR; Simon Barquera, PhD, Instituto Nacional de Salud Publica (INSP), Mexico; Ana Baylin, MD, DrPH, University of

Michigan, US; Wulf Becker, PhD, National Food Agency, Sweden; Peter Bjerregaard, MD, DrMedSci, National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark; Lesley T. Bourne, PhD, Environment and Health Research Unit, Medical Research Council, South Africa; Neville Calleja, MD, MFPH, Department of Health Information & Research, Malta; Mario V. Capanzana, PhD, Food and Nutrition Research Institute, Philippines; Katia Castetbon, PhD, Institut de Veille Sanitaire, France; Hsing-Yi Chang, DrPH, National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan; Yu Chen, PhD, New York University School of Medicine, United States; Melanie J. Cowan, MPH, WHO, Switzerland; Stefaan De Henauw, MD, PhD, Ghent University, Department of Public Health, Belgium; Eric L. Ding, ScD, Harvard Medical School and Harvard School of Public Health, USA; Charmaine A. Duante, MSc, Food and Nutrition Research Institute, Department of Science and Technology, Philippines; Pablo Duran, PhD, Dirección Nacional de Maternidad e Infancia, Ministerio de Salud de la Nación, Argentina; Ibrahim Elmadfa, Professor, Institute of Nutritional Sciences, University of Vienna, Austria; Heléne Enghardt Barbieri, National Food Agency, Uppsala, Sweden; Farshad Farzadfar, MD, ScD, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran; Dulitha N. Fernando, PhD, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka; Aida Filipovic Hadziomeragic, MD, MSc, Institute of Public Health of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bosnia and Herzegovina; Regina M. Fisberg, PhD, Faculty of Public Health, University of São Paulo, Brazil; Simon Forsyth, BA (UQ), School of Population Health, University of Queensland, Australia; Didier Garriguet, MSc, Statistics Canada, Canada; Jean-Michel Gaspoz, MD, MPH, Geneva University Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine of Geneva, Switzerland; Dorothy Gauci, Post Graduate Diploma (Epidemiology), Department of Health Information and Research, Malta; Brahmam N.V. Ginnela, MB, BS, DPH, National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, India; Idris Guessous, MD, Geneva University Hospitals, Switzerland; Martin C. Gulliford, FFPH, King's College London, UK; Wilbur Hadden; Christian Haerpfer, PhD, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom; Daniel J. Hoffman, PhD, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, USA; Anahita Houshiar-Rad MSc, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran; Inge Huybrechts, PhD, Ghent University, Department of Public Health, Belgium; Nahla C. Hwalla, PhD, American University of Beirut, Lebanon; Hajah Masni Ibrahim, SM, Ministry of Health, Brunei; Manami Inoue, MD, PhD, Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Japan; Maria D. Jackson, PhD, University of the West Indies, Jamaica; Lars Johansson, PhD, Norwegian Directorate of Health, Norway; Lital Keinan-Boker, MD, PhD, Ministry of Health, Israel; Cho-il Kim, PhD, Korea Health Industry Development Institute, Republic of Korea; Eda Koksal, PhD, Gazi University, Turkey; Hae-Jeung Lee; Yanping Li, PhD, Harvard School of Public Health, USA; Nur Indrawaty Lipoeto, PhD, Andalas University, Indonesia; Guansheng Ma, PhD, National Institute for Nutrition and Food Safety, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, China; Guadalupe L. Mangialavori, MS RD, Ministerio de Salud de la Nación (National Health Ministry), Argentina; Yasuhiro Matsumura, PhD, Bunkyo University, Japan; Stephen T. McGarvey, PhD, Brown University, USA; Mei Fen Chan; Gert B.M. Mensink, PhD, Robert Koch Institute, Germany; Rafael A. Monge-Rojas, PhD, Costa Rican Institute for Research and Education and Nutrition and Health (INCIENSA), Costa Rica; Abdulrahman O. Musaiger, PhD, Arab Center for Nutrition, Bahrain; Nagalla Balakrishna, PhD, National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, India; Androniki Naska, PhD, Dept. of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School, Greece; Marga C. Ocke, PhD, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Netherlands; Maciej Oltarzewski, MSc, National Food and Nutrition Institute, Poland; Philippos Orfanos, MSc, Dept. of Hygiene,

Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School, Greece; Marja-Leena Ovaskainen, PhD, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland; Wen-Harn Pan, PhD, Division of Preventive Medicine and Health Services Research, Institute of Population Health Sciences, National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan; Demosthenes B. Panagiotakos, PhD, Harokopio University, Greece; Gulden A. Pekcan, PhD, Hacettepe University Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Turkey; Stefka Petrova, MD, PhD, National Center of Public Health and Analyses, Bulgaria; Noppawan Piaseu, PhD, Mahidol University, Thailand; Christos Pitsavos, MD, Athens University Medical School, Greece; Luz Gladys Posada, RD, MS, Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia; Leanne M. Riley, MSc, WHO, Switzerland; Luz Maria Sánchez-Romero, MD MSc, National Institute of Public Health, Mexico; Rusidah BT. Selamat, MSc, Nutrition Division, Ministry of Health, Malaysia; Sangita Sharma; Abla Mehio Sibai, PhD, American University of Beirut Faculty of Health Sciences, Lebanon; Rosely Sichieri, MD, PhD, State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; Chansimaly Simmala, MD, Institut of Tropical Medecin, Laos; Laufey Steingrimsdottir, PhD, Professor, Iceland; Gillian Swan; Elżbieta Halina. Sygnowska, MSc PhD, National Institute of Cardiology, Poland; Lucjan Szponar, MD;PhD, National Food and Nutrition Institute, Poland; Heli Tapanainen, MSc, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland; Robert Templeton; Anastasia Thanopoulou, MD, PhD, Diabetes Center, 2nd Department of Internal Medicine, National University of Athens, Hippokration General Hospital, Greece; Holmfridur Thorgeirsdóttir, MSc, Directorate of Health, Iceland; Inga Thorsdottir; Antonia Trichopoulou, MD, Hellenic Health Foundation, Greece; Shoichiro Tsugane, MD, PhD, National Cancer Center, Japan; Aida Turrini, MD, National Research Institute on Food and Nutrition, Italy; Sirje Vaask, PhD, Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia; Coline van Oosterhout, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Netherlands; J Lennert Veerman, PhD, The University of Queensland, Australia; Nowak Verena; Anna Waskiewicz, MSc, PhD., Institute of Cardiology, Department of Cardiovascular Diseases Epidemiology, Prevention and Health Promotion, Poland; Sahar Zaghloul, PhD., National Nutrition Institute, Egypt; Gábor Zajkás, MD, National Institute of Food and Nutrition Sciences, Hungary.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: GMS RM SK PS SL KGA REE ME DM. Analyzed the data: GMS RM SK PS KGA REE. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GMS RM SK PS KGA REE SL ME DM. Wrote the paper: GMS RM DM.

### References

- de Ruyter JC, Olthof MR, Seidell JC, Katan MB (2012) A trial of sugar-free or sugar-sweetened beverages and body weight in children. N Engl J Med 367: 1397–1406. doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa1203034</u> PMID: <u>22998340</u>
- Ebbeling CB, Feldman HA, Chomitz VR, Antonelli TA, Gortmaker SL, Osganian SK, et al. (2012) A randomized trial of sugar-sweetened beverages and adolescent body weight. N Engl J Med 367: 1407–1416. doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa1203388</u> PMID: <u>22998339</u>
- EPIC-InterAct (2013) Consumption of sweet beverages and type 2 diabetes incidence in European adults: results from EPIC-InterAct. Diabetologia 56: 1520–1530. doi: <u>10.1007/s00125-013-2899-8</u> PMID: <u>23620057</u>
- Hu FB, Malik VS (2010) Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes: epidemiologic evidence. Physiol Behav 100: 47–54. doi: <u>10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.01.036</u> PMID: <u>20138901</u>
- Malik VS, Pan A, Willett WC, Hu FB (2013) Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr 98: 1084–1102. doi: <u>10.3945/ajcn.</u> <u>113.058362</u> PMID: <u>23966427</u>

- Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Despres JP, Hu FB (2010) Sugar-sweetened beverages, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease risk. Circulation 121: 1356–1364. doi: <u>10.1161/</u> <u>CIRCULATIONAHA.109.876185</u> PMID: <u>20308626</u>
- Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Despres JP, Willett WC, Hu FB (2010) Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Care 33: 2477–2483. doi: 10.2337/dc10-1079 PMID: 20693348
- Marshall TA (2013) Preventing dental caries associated with sugar-sweetened beverages. J Am Dent Assoc 144: 1148–1152. PMID: 24080931
- Dennison BA (1996) Fruit juice consumption by infants and children: a review. J Am Coll Nutr 15: 4S–11S. PMID: <u>8892177</u>
- Dennison BA, Rockwell HL, Baker SL (1997) Excess fruit juice consumption by preschool-aged children is associated with short stature and obesity. Pediatrics 99: 15–22. PMID: 8989331
- O'Neil CE, Nicklas TA, Zanovec M, Fulgoni VL 3rd (2011) Diet quality is positively associated with 100% fruit juice consumption in children and adults in the United States: NHANES 2003–2006. Nutr J 10: 17. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-10-17 PMID: 21314991
- Song Y, Chavarro JE, Cao Y, Qiu W, Mucci L, Sesso HD, et al. (2013) Whole milk intake is associated with prostate cancer-specific mortality among U.S. male physicians. J Nutr 143: 189–196. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>3945/in.112.168484</u> PMID: <u>23256145</u>
- Rice BH, Quann EE, Miller GD (2013) Meeting and exceeding dairy recommendations: effects of dairy consumption on nutrient intakes and risk of chronic disease. Nutr Rev 71: 209–223. doi: <u>10.1111/nure.</u> <u>12007</u> PMID: <u>23550782</u>
- Gibson S, Shirreffs SM (2013) Beverage consumption habits "24/7" among British adults: association with total water intake and energy intake. Nutr J 12: 9. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-12-9 PMID: 23305461
- Han E, Kim TH, Powell LM (2013) Beverage consumption and individual-level associations in South Korea. BMC Public Health 13: 195. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2458-13-195</u> PMID: <u>23497024</u>
- Kit BK, Fakhouri TH, Park S, Nielsen SJ, Ogden CL (2013) Trends in sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among youth and adults in the United States: 1999–2010. Am J Clin Nutr 98: 180–188. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.057943 PMID: 23676424
- Ng SW, Ni Mhurchu C, Jebb SA, Popkin BM (2012) Patterns and trends of beverage consumption among children and adults in Great Britain, 1986–2009. Br J Nutr 108: 536–551. doi: <u>10.1017/</u> <u>S0007114511006465</u> PMID: <u>22186747</u>
- Nikpartow N, Danyliw AD, Whiting SJ, Lim HJ, Vatanparast H (2012) Beverage consumption patterns of Canadian adults aged 19 to 65 years. Public Health Nutr 15: 2175–2184. doi: <u>10.1017/</u> <u>\$1368980012003898 PMID: 22931911</u>
- FAO (2013) U.N. Food and Agriculture Organization Food Balance Sheets. Available: <u>http://faostatfaoorg/site/354/defaultaspx</u>.
- Murray CJ, Ezzati M, Flaxman AD, Lim S, Lozano R, Michaud C, et al. (2012) GBD 2010: a multi-investigator collaboration for global comparative descriptive epidemiology. Lancet 380: 2055–2058.
- Murray CJ, Ezzati M, Flaxman AD, Lim S, Lozano R, Michaud C, et al. (2012) GBD 2010: design, definitions, and metrics. Lancet 380: 2063–2066. doi: <u>10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61899-6</u> PMID: <u>23245602</u>
- 22. Micha R, Kalantarian S, Wirojratana P, Byers T, Danaei G, Elmadfa I, et al. (2012) Estimating the global and regional burden of suboptimal nutrition on chronic disease: methods and inputs to the analysis. Eur J Clin Nutr 66: 119–129. doi: <u>10.1038/ejcn.2011.147</u> PMID: <u>21915137</u>
- Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, Fahimi S, Lim S, Andrews KG, et al. (2014) Global, regional, and national consumption levels of dietary fats and oils in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis including 266 country-specific nutrition surveys. BMJ 348: g2272. doi: <u>10.1136/bmj.g2272</u> PMID: <u>24736206</u>
- Powles J, Fahimi S, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, Ezzati M, et al. (2013) Global, regional and national sodium intakes in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis of 24 h urinary sodium excretion and dietary surveys worldwide. BMJ Open 3: e003733. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003733 PMID: 24366578
- Guthrie J, JF M (2000) Food sources of added sweeteners in the diets of Americans. J Am Diet Assoc 100: 43–48. PMID: <u>10646004</u>
- USDA (2010) 2010 Dietary guidelines. Available: <u>http://wwwhealthgov/dietaryguidelines/dga2010/ dietaryguidelines2010pdf</u>.
- ERS U (2010) Food Availability Per Capita Data System. Available: <u>http://wwwersusdagov/data-products/food-availability-(per-capita)-data-system/aspx.</u>
- 28. Willett WC (2013) Nutritional Epidemiology. New York, NY, USA: Oxford University Press.
- 29. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H, et al. (2012) A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21

regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 380: 2224–2260. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8 PMID: 23245609

- Del Gobbo LC, Khatibzadeh S, Imamura F, Micha R, D M (2015) Global dietary intake of major food items: a comparison of FAO food supply data and the Global Dietary Database. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition in press.
- Finucane MM, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ, Danaei G, Lin JK, Paciorek CJ, et al. (2011) National, regional, and global trends in body-mass index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 960 country-years and 9.1 million participants. Lancet 377: 557–567. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62037-5 PMID: 21295846
- WorldBank (2015) Country and Lending Groups. Available: <u>http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-and-lending-groups</u>.
- Stevens GA, Singh GM, Lu Y, Danaei G, Lin JK, Finucane MM, et al. (2012) National, regional, and global trends in adult overweight and obesity prevalences. Popul Health Metr 10: 22. doi: <u>10.1186/</u> <u>1478-7954-10-22 PMID: 23167948</u>
- 34. Stern D, Piernas C, Barquera S, Rivera JA, Popkin BM (2014) Caloric beverages were major sources of energy among children and adults in Mexico, 1999–2012. J Nutr 144: 949–956. doi: <u>10.3945/jn.114.</u> <u>190652</u> PMID: <u>24744311</u>
- Bonfiglioli C, Hattersley L, King L (2011) Australian print news media coverage of sweet, non-alcoholic drinks sends mixed health messages. Aust N Z J Public Health 35: 325–330. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1753-6405.2011.00726.x</u> PMID: <u>21806726</u>
- Hjartaker A, Lagiou A, Slimani N, Lund E, Chirlaque MD, Vasilopoulou E, et al. (2002) Consumption of dairy products in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohort: data from 35 955 24-hour dietary recalls in 10 European countries. Public Health Nutr 5: 1259–1271. PMID: 12639231
- 37. IDF (2014) The World Dairy Situation 2014. Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation.
- Ingram CJ, Mulcare CA, Itan Y, Thomas MG, Swallow DM (2009) Lactose digestion and the evolutionary genetics of lactase persistence. Hum Genet 124: 579–591. doi: <u>10.1007/s00439-008-0593-6</u> PMID: <u>19034520</u>
- Wang YG, Yan YS, Xu JJ, Du RF, Flatz SD, Kuhnau W, et al. (1984) Prevalence of primary adult lactose malabsorption in three populations of northern China. Hum Genet 67: 103–106. PMID: 6235167
- Lee HS, Duffey KJ, Popkin BM (2012) South Korea's entry to the global food economy: shifts in consumption of food between 1998 and 2009. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 21: 618–629. PMID: 23017321
- Tsugane S, Sawada N (2014) The JPHC study: design and some findings on the typical Japanese diet. Jpn J Clin Oncol 44: 777–782. doi: <u>10.1093/jjco/hyu096</u> PMID: <u>25104790</u>
- **42.** WHO/FAO (2003) Diet, Nutrition, and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases. WHO Technical Report Series: 160.
- Oi Y, Kitabatake N (2003) Analysis of the carbohydrates in an East African traditional beverage, togwa. J Agric Food Chem 51: 7029–7033. PMID: <u>14611166</u>
- Kelly B, Halford JC, Boyland EJ, Chapman K, Bautista-Castano I, Berg C, et al. (2010) Television food advertising to children: a global perspective. Am J Public Health 100: 1730–1736. doi: <u>10.2105/AJPH.</u> <u>2009.179267</u> PMID: <u>20634464</u>
- FTC (2012) A review of food marketing to children and adolescents: follow up report. Federal Trade Commision. Available: <u>http://www.ftc.gov/reports/review-food-marketing-children-adolescents-follow-report</u>.
- 46. FAO (2007) Promises and challenges of the informal food sector in developing countries. Available: <u>http://wwwfaoorg/docrep/010/a1124e/a1124e00htm. http://www.fao.org/docrep/010/a1124e/a1124e00.htm</u>.